

**ALLAH IS NOT GOD  
ALLAH (of the Quran) NEVER EXISTED  
ALLAH AKA MUHAMMAD**

**MURDERER TERRORIST  
TORTURER RAPIST  
CHILD MOLESTER**

**Quran Verse 9:29** Fight those who do not believe in Allah..nor follow the religion of truth

**QURAN IS NO HOLY BOOK  
BUT A BOOK OF EVIL**

**THE DESTRUCTION OF ISLAM WITH  
JUST ONE WORD**

## **THE STRUGGLE FOR FREEDOM CONTINUES**

**IF YOU ARE NOT WILLING TO FIGHT FOR YOUR FREEDOM THEN YOU DON'T DESERVE TO BE A FREE PEOPLE. FREEDOM IS NOT ONLY A RIGHT- IT IS A RESPONSIBILITY THAT MUST BE DEFENDED FOR FUTURE GENERATIONS.**

**"If the freedom of speech is taken away then dumb and silent we may be led, like sheep to the slaughter."**

*George Washington*

**"The time will come when anyone who kills you will think that by doing this he is serving God." (John 16:2)**

This book will be a collection of articles that I will post and publish during the coming years. If you haven't already read the ISLAM IS EVIL IN THE NAME OF GOD™ TRILOGY or Allah Monster of History then go to:  
<http://www.godofmoralperfection.com/> for free download.

### **ISLAM IS EVIL IN THE NAME OF GOD™ BOOK TRILOGY**

**There are 3 books that form the Islam Is Evil In The Name Of God™ Trilogy.**

**ISLAM IS EVIL IN THE NAME OF GOD™**

**PROPHET MUHAMMAD: MONSTER OF HISTORY**

**ISLAM AND SHARIA LAW ARE TREASON: JIHAD IS TREASON**

**These books are all available for free at <http://www.godofmoralperfection.com/>**

## CONTENT

**PRAYING ON THE STREETS OF PARIS: Taking Back What Allah Has Given To Muslims**

**ISLAM IN ACTION**

**SHARIA LAW IN ACTION 4**

**THE DECREASING FREEDOM OF FREE SPEECH 9**

**Free-speech in Great Britain 9**

**Free speech in America 14**

**Free-speech in Islam 19**

**ISLAM IS AN OBSCENITY**

**THE ENEMY OF MY ENEMY IS MY ENEMY 30**

**ISLAM IS THE NEW FAR RIGHT 34**

**THE TREATY OF WASHINGTON 42**

**MUHAMMAD WAS ALLAH AND ALLAH WAS MUHAMMAD**

**MUHAMMAD AKA ALLAH AKA MUHAMMAD**

**MUHAMMAD THE CREATOR OF ALLAH 50**

**THERE IS NO ISLAM WITH A HUMAN FACE**

**PROPHET MUHAMMAD WAS A MONSTER OF HISTORY WITH NO HUMAN FACE 61**

**DEMOCRACY: ONE MAN/ONE WOMAN ONE VOTE FOREVER**

**DEMOCRACY ON EARTH AND IN HEAVEN 70**

**CITIZENSHIP: THE HIGHEST HONOR: THE GREATEST GIFT**

**ISLAM WILL BAN ISLAM 77**

**Islam's Degrading Dictates toward Women Entertained in British Court 80**  
**Islam: The Worst Anti-Woman Ideology Ever**

**Islam in Action: Father Stones Daughter to Death 84**

<b>Jihadists in Kenya Mall Massacre: ‘All Muslims Leave, We Want to Kill Non-Muslims Only’</b>	<b>88</b>
<b>Caught up in Jihad Raid? What's a Muslim to Do?</b>	<b>92</b>
<b>MUHAMMAD - THE FIRST AND GREATEST ISLAMOPHOBE AN ATTACK ON MY RELIGION ISLAM IS NOT BEING PERVERTED. ISLAM IS A PERVERSION</b>	<b>95</b>
<b>MUHAMMAD: THE BIG, BAD WOLF Germany Adds Lessons in Islam to Better Blend Its Melting Pot SANITIZING EVIL</b>	<b>102</b>
<b>THE MORAL PERFECTION OF MUHAMMAD</b>	<b>108</b>
<b>ANGEL GABRIEL THE FIRST KILLER PREDATOR DRONE</b>	<b>112</b>
<b>Michelle Obama, Boko Haram and Prophet Muhammad WHITE WASHING EVIL</b>	<b>122</b>
<b>Declaring Boko Haram A Perverted, Monstrosity of Islam Is a Perverted, Monstrosity of Islam</b>	
<b>WHOM YOUR RIGHT HANDS POSSESS ISLAM IN ACTION OWNING AND RAPING SEX SLAVES IS HALAL</b>	
<b>ALLAH SUPPORTS THE CRIMES OF HIS CREATOR IN THE QURAN</b>	<b>129</b>
<b>MUHAMMAD WAS ALLAH AND ALLAH WAS MUHAMMAD MUHAMMAD AKA ALLAH AKA MUHAMMAD MUHAMMAD THE CREATOR OF ALLAH</b>	
<b>WAR FOR WOMEN WAR FOR HUMANITY DEFCON 1 ECONOMIC SANCTIONS</b>	<b>142</b>

# Praying on the Streets of Paris: Taking Back What Allah Has Given To Muslims

ISLAM IN ACTION

SHARIA LAW IN ACTION



On December 12, 2010, French politician Marie Le Pen said on Muslims' praying on the streets of Paris:

"For those who want to talk a lot about World War II, if it's about occupation, then we could also talk about it (Muslim prayers in the streets), because that is occupation of territory."

"It is an occupation of sections of the territory, of districts in which religious laws apply. It's an occupation."

"There are of course no tanks, there are no soldiers, but it is nevertheless an occupation and it weighs heavily on local residents."

For speaking her mind about a disturbing reality that grips the streets of Western nations, the EU revoked her parliamentary impunity on July 3, 2013 so that she could be prosecuted for hate-crime charges in French Court.

Sajjad Karim, a British Tory MEP on the parliament's legal affairs committee, was among those who voted for the revoking of Ms Le Pen's parliamentary immunity.

"There is a red line between freedom of speech and inciting racial hatred," he said. "I, along with many other MEPs, today voted to drop Ms Le Pen's immunity and I am confident that the majority of the European Parliament will follow our lead in July."

### **Le Pen wrong -- the earth belongs to Muslims**

Marie Le Pen has got it all wrong. The unlawful occupiers of the streets of France, indeed the entire nation of France, are the French kafirs. What she doesn't understand is that everything the world's kafirs think as belonging to them actually belongs to Muslims. Allah, the creator and owner of the Universe, says exactly that in the Quran (33:27):

"And He made you heirs to their land and their dwellings and their property, and (to) a land which you have not yet trodden, and Allah has power over all things."

In other words, the land and property of the Kafirs, which are still out of the rich of Muslims, has been given to Muslims by Allah. And Allah directed Muslims to capture it:

"Do they see Us advancing, gradually reducing the land (in their control), curtailing its borders on all sides? It is they who will be overcome." (Quran 21:44)

Therefore, Muslims praying on the streets of Paris are not occupiers; instead, they are the rightful owners of the streets. In other words, in order to assert their God-given right to own what the Kafir claim as their own, Muslims must oust the kafirs block by block, street by street, city by city, country by country from their illegal occupation. And they can apply the following Allah-ordained tools for taking back what belongs to them from the Kafirs:

"...murder them and treat them harshly" (Quran 9:123)

"Make war on those who have received the Scriptures [Jews and Christians] but do not believe in Allah or in the Last Day. They do not forbid what Allah and His Messenger have forbidden. The Christians and Jews do not follow the religion of truth until they submit and pay the poll tax [jizya] and they are humiliated." (Q 9:29)

The sentence "They do not forbid what Allah and His Messenger have forbidden" in the above verse means that *they do not accept what Islam ordains*, i.e. Islam's divine law or

Sharia. So, as per this divine command of Allah, Christians and Jews, who do not follow the law of Islam and reject the religion of truth (i.e. Islam), must be fought relentlessly until they submit to Islamic rule and law and pay special taxes (jizya) Allah has levied upon them.

What better way to re-conquer the streets for Allah than to fill them with tens of thousands of Muslim men. The entire area is paralyzed. Kafirs cannot come into these areas during prayer.

Those kafirs, who live there, cannot leave or enter their dwellings. Many times Muslim guards enforce this sanction. And Muslims are doing the same across other metropolis of Europe, Russia and North America:

Muslims praying on the streets of Paris.

[http://www.youtube.com/watch?feature=player\\_detailpage&v=gT-aNRI9gtg](http://www.youtube.com/watch?feature=player_detailpage&v=gT-aNRI9gtg)

Muslims praying on streets of London.

[http://www.youtube.com/watch?feature=player\\_detailpage&v=wlGHnd\\_u3tc](http://www.youtube.com/watch?feature=player_detailpage&v=wlGHnd_u3tc)

Muslims praying on Streets of Moscow.

[http://www.youtube.com/watch?feature=player\\_detailpage&v=TOSFT3FxxqM](http://www.youtube.com/watch?feature=player_detailpage&v=TOSFT3FxxqM)

Muslims praying on the streets of St. Petersburg

[http://www.youtube.com/watch?feature=player\\_detailpage&v=6Xxk-hBtZDQ](http://www.youtube.com/watch?feature=player_detailpage&v=6Xxk-hBtZDQ)

Muslims praying on Streets of New York:

[http://www.youtube.com/watch?feature=player\\_detailpage&v=iu2U6MprDM4](http://www.youtube.com/watch?feature=player_detailpage&v=iu2U6MprDM4)

## **THE WORLD IS ONE GIGANTIC MOSQUE OF ALLAH**

Allah has made praying an indispensable duty upon all Muslims, which they must perform diligently and without delay wherever they be. Indeed, Prophet Muhammad said, the whole earth is one giant mosque for Muslims and they can pray wherever they want:

"The (whole) earth has been made a mosque (or a place of prayer) and a means of purification for me, so wherever a man of my ummah may be when the time for prayer comes, let him pray"

(Reported by al- Bukhaari, al-Fath, no. 335)

"The (whole) earth has been made good for me, a means of purification and a mosque (or place of prayer); so wherever a man may be when the time for prayer comes, let him pray wherever he is." (Saheeh Muslim, no. 521)

Therefore, as soon as the time of prayer arrives, Muslims must prayer wherever they are – whether in a mosque, in a hallway or park, on a sidewalk or parking lot, or even on the street. The entire surface of the earth is a prayer mat for them, which entirely belongs to them.

So, Ms Marine Le Pen has a made a grave mistake by boastfully speaking against what Allah, the Lord of the Universe, has made lawful. And opposing Allah has its due punishment, a painful doom, which will start with her facing the French Court.



# The decreasing freedom of free-speech

## Jake Neumann and Jon MC

### Introduction.

In this article British writer **Jon MC** and American commentator and writer **Jake Neumann** explore some issues surrounding free-speech at present (2013).

### Universal Free speech.

The UN Universal Declaration of Human Rights (UDHR) states in article 19:

“Everyone has the right to freedom of opinion and expression, and to seek, receive and impart information through any media and regardless of frontiers.’ UN Universal Declaration of Human Rights, article 19. (1948)

In its formulation the UDHR drew on two earlier declarations, the US Constitution (1776-1789) and its first 10 amendments - collectively called the “Bill of Rights” (1791) and the French “Declaration of the rights of man and citizen” (1793), both of which enact free-speech clauses.

In article 19 the UDHR declared that **free speech is a basic human right**. Whilst it might be thought that this right is an absolute right, this is in fact not the case. Almost all Countries restrict free-speech to some degree. Most Countries have libel/slander and/or defamation laws and most people would consent that a person should have legal protection from having lies told about them – particularly those that “lower their standing” in the community.

While not a treaty itself, the Declaration was explicitly adopted for the purpose of defining the meaning of the words "fundamental freedoms" and "human rights" appearing in the United Nations Charter, which *is* binding on all member states. Thus there is the implicit understanding that the laws of **all** signatories should conform, or be brought to conform, to the declaration which is considered a foundational document for international human rights. This in turn would mean that some restrictions on stating “opinion and expression” would be in contravention of the spirit, if not the letter, of international law.

### Free-speech in Great Britain

The UK does not have a written constitution, instead much of it's constitution derives from ancient laws, common law and even tradition.

Whilst free-speech in the UK is not protected in the way that it is in America, the legal assumption is that one may speak freely, provided such speech is “honest”.

This freedom is circumscribed by the laws of libel and slander, which are the two legally acknowledged versions of “defamation”. Neither extends to deceased persons, nor is being simply abusive “defamatory”.

Before going further, it is necessary to point out that a person is only “defamed” if the

remarks (whether written or spoken) are likely to be “damaging to their reputation”. This is important because it means (for instance) that a career criminal would be unlikely to be able to successfully sue me if I “defamed” him/her by saying “S/he stole my xxxxxx”. Their reputation is already sufficiently “low” that saying such a thing will not lower it further. On the other hand, if I said it about the local Vicar, s/he could sue successfully – unless I was telling the truth of course!

The basic position in UK law is that if someone feels they have been defamed, it is up to the person who wrote/said the defamatory comments to prove that their remarks are **true**, though the claimant has to show that they are the victim of the defamation. (Thus a remark made about a “Mr.Smith” would be unlikely to be defamatory, unless the Mr.Smith in question could show he was the person concerned.)

In other words, you can't tell damaging lies about people and expect to get away with it! Neither does it matter if you are merely repeating someone else's defamatory remarks – you too can be sued {5}

Thus when writing about a living person, it is wise to make absolutely certain that what is written is the truth.

The UK's defamation laws are biased towards the claimant and expensive to defend, thus even if you write the truth and thus win a libel action you are likely to be very out of pocket. Hopefully, the recent 2013 bill will address these issues and re-balance the UK law, though the common law (case law) aspects will take time to develop.

Slander laws are more rigorous in that for “passing remarks” a very much higher standard of evidence of defamation is required. In practice, such cases are rarely brought today.

Blasphemy laws in Britain were repealed in 2008, thus there is no restriction on free-speech when talking about a religion. (The laws only provided a modicum of protection and that only to some sects/parts of Christianity.)

In 2005 ACPO published “Hate Crime: delivering a quality service”, colloquially known as the “2005 hate crime manual” {6}.

On page 9 it has this to say: (Emphases mine.)

2.2.1 A Hate Incident is defined as: Any incident, which may or may not constitute a criminal offence, **which is perceived by the victim or any other person**, as being motivated by prejudice or hate.

2.2.2 A Hate Crime is defined as: Any hate incident, which constitutes a criminal offence, **perceived by the victim or any other person**, as being motivated by prejudice or hate.

2.2.3 It is vitally important to note that all hate crimes are hate incidents. However some hate incidents may not constitute a criminal offence and therefore will not be recorded as a hate crime...

...

**2.2.6 The perception of the victim or any other person is the defining factor in determining a hate incident.**

Sections 2.2.10-14 draw a distinction between a “hate incident” and a “hate crime”. Abuse (related to sex, race, disability, faith etc.) would be a “hate incident”, however a greater degree of malignancy would be needed for this to tip over into a “hate crime”.

...

Section 2.3.5 has this to say: Faith Related Incident. Any incident **which is perceived to be based upon prejudice towards or hatred of the faith of the victim or so perceived by the victim or any other person.**

Thus it is clear that it is the **perception** of the incident as “hateful” that is key to determining whether or not it is treated as a hate-crime or hate-incident.

Furthermore, it does not matter **who** perceives it as “hateful”. If anybody, **even someone not present at the time** perceives the incident as “hateful” it is either a hate-incident or a hate-crime{8}.

This is, frankly, an incredibly dangerous precedent to set, since it means that quite literally anything perceived as “hateful” by someone (no matter how ludicrously) **must be recorded as a hate-incident** at least.

Thus in the UK we are in the risible position that those most easily offended and insulted will, inevitably, be the greatest “victims” of hate-incidents.

Whilst speaking about religion as such and indeed attacking a given religion is not subject to either slander or libel laws – these laws only apply to living people, the “perception clause” in hate-crime documentation means that there is the potential for the restriction of free-speech since such attacks will be deemed “hate-incidents” if they are “perceived to be based on prejudice or hatred of [a] faith by ... any ... person.”

This clearly feeds the notion of “Islamophobia” since any criticism of Islam can be deemed a “hate-incident” *ergo* the person critiquing Islam is guilty of “hatred” *ergo* they are an Islamophobe.

Note also that truth is no defence! If the statement, even if demonstrably true, offends someone and they **perceive** it as being motivated by hatred of their religion, then *de facto* it is a hate-incident.

In principle, I have no problem with “hate-speech” legislation, but it is my belief that such legislation should be tightly drawn such that “hate-speech” has to be defined as that likely to promote violence **towards** a given group in society and **specifically rules out** the idea that something may be “hate-speech” because a group in society finds some speech upsetting or offensive and **reacts** with or threatens violence as a result.

In 2006 the UK enacted the “Racial and religious hatred Act 2006” which defined a (small) number of crimes if the actions, words or writings of people were **intended** to “*stir up religious hatred*”(29B). Thus it would be possible to fall foul of this act if published writings were deemed likely to stir up religious hatred.

The act also included a clause specifically permitting “*discussion, criticism or expressions of antipathy, dislike, ridicule, insult or abuse of particular religions or the beliefs or practices of their adherents*” (29J).

Thus the test must be whether or not a “reasonable person” would regard what is written as being “**intend[ed]** *thereby to stir up religious hatred*”. In legal terms this is a test of *mens rea*.

This seems perfectly satisfactory and reasonable and were the law applied even-handedly (or in the English law expression “indifferently”) to all, then its stated goals would be achieved.

The likelihood of action being taken against speech depends on how likely it is that *someone* will be offended or insulted by it. Rowan Atkinson made a speech on this issue in which he pointed out that the Act(s) support “*the Outrage Industry: self-appointed arbiters of the public good, encouraging media-stoked outrage, to which the police feel under terrible pressure to react*” and that “*Under the law’s current wording, anything could be interpreted subjectively as “insult”; criticism, ridicule, and sarcasm, any unfavourable comparison, or merely stating an alternative point of view to the orthodoxy can be interpreted as insult.*” and thus fall foul of the law.

As Peter Tatchell points out, in the UK “*I was arrested for saying the homophobia and sexism of Islamist extremists is akin to the mentality of the Nazis. Separately, a youth was arrested for calling Scientology a dangerous cult. In both instances, it was deemed we had committed religious hate crimes.*”

Clearly, Tatchell's words were taken as likely to “stir up religious hatred” towards (or perhaps **by**) Muslims, yet Tatchell's words would appear to fall under the terms of section 29J of the act rather than 29B.

Recently, (June 27<sup>th</sup>, 2013) the UK government banned Robert Spencer and Pamela Geller from entering the UK. Both stand accused in the Home Office letters they received of “*making statements that **may** foster hatred which **might** lead to inter-*

*community violence in the UK*". Note the conditionals in the letter (and law) and the ambiguity as to which community would author the violence.

Conversely, when a white boy is beaten and stabbed by "Asians" ("Asians" is the UK press code for "Muslims"), then even if the victim perceives the attack as racially motivated, it is not so recorded.

Muhammad al-Arifi, who has advocated **Jew-hatred**, wife-beating, and **jihad violence**, entered the U.K. recently with no difficulty.

Anjem Choudary is widely known as a "hate preacher" in the UK. He has, most recently, called for both President Obama and Prime Minister Cameron to be killed. He will not be prosecuted, the Police stating that "*The material fell below an evidential threshold to pursue a criminal case against him.*"

Mehdi Hasan is a political editor of the "Huffington Post" UK and appears on UK TV despite previously having said that all 'Kuffar' (a derogatory term in the Koran for non-Muslims) '*live like cattle and have no intelligence.*' Holding such a view has clearly not harmed his career at all, but a non-Muslim's public career would be destroyed if a comparable statement was made about Muslims.

"Sheikh" Yasser al-Habib, who lives in Buckinghamshire has a reputation for offending ... other Muslims. Khalid Mahmood, a Labour MP, says of him: "*This man deliberately sets out to offend, if it was the English Defence League or the British National Party using this sort of rhetoric the authorities would, quite rightly, come down on them like a ton of bricks. Yet this guy, because he is a Muslim, gets away with it. This could cause problems of the kind we have not had in the UK before.*" In case you are wondering, Mahmood is meaning "inter-community violence in the UK" - now where have we heard this before? {9}

Thus we see that the **implementation** of this law is **not** even handed. On the one hand the UK system takes action against Geller, Spencer, Tatchell (and several others) for remarks that are merely insulting to (e.g.) Islam; whereas al-Arafi, al-Habib and Choudary (to name but three) are permitted a much greater degree of free-speech for words that **directly incite violence and/or religious/racial hatred**. If people do – or even say – anything that "offends" Muslims, their actions are either "hate incidents" or hate-crimes, whereas if the victim is non-Muslim and the perpetrators Muslim then, despite the wording of the law, the attack will not be classified as a "hate" matter, sometimes even in cases involving serious injury.

I can't emphasize this enough: people can fall foul of this law **not** because their speech stirs up (i.e. incites) hatred towards (e.g.) Muslims, but because of the fear that their speech will incite (e.g.) Muslims **to** violence. The glaring example of such "incitement" in the UK was Salman Rushdie and his book "The Satanic verses", which caused riots, death

threats and murders. One wonders whether he would be allowed to publish the book in the UK today – it was published in 1998, eight years before the 2006 “hate crime” act.

Another point worth mentioning is that a religiously based hate-crime can, as far as I know, only be defined by the religion of the **victim**, not the perpetrator. Thus those Muslims who attacked (e.g.) Gunner Lee Rigby whilst chanting “Allah Akbar” are **not** guilty of a religious hate-crime since Rigby's religion (if any) was not a reason for his murder, even though the religion of the perpetrators clearly was {10}. This omission in the law is obviously perverse.

### **Free speech in America**

Although both Britain and the United States are democracies with free elections that lead - in the UK to the election of a House of Commons and the political party with the majority of seats forms the government while in the US political parties field candidates for Senate and House of Representatives with a Presidential candidate being selected by The Electoral College after nationwide votes - the two countries are dramatically different in the application of free speech especially when it comes to criminalization of hate speech as hate crimes. Both countries however are virtually identical in the non application of these laws to Muslims.

### **The American Declaration of Independence (1776) and the United States Bill of Rights (1791) are foundational documents in the history of the US:**

We hold these truths to be self-evident, that all men are created equal, that they are endowed by their Creator with certain unalienable Rights, that among these are Life, Liberty and the pursuit of Happiness.

The first amendment, ratified, December 15, 1791, states:

**"Congress shall make no law** respecting an establishment of religion, or prohibiting the free exercise thereof, or **abridging the freedom of speech**, or of the press, or the right of the people peaceably to assemble, and to petition the Government for a redress of grievances."

These are powerful, timeless words. They stand as a beacon for all humanity. These words are not only in the Constitution of the United States, they are a fore-runner to the UN UDHR and the ECHR.

In the US, freedom of speech gives you the full right to demean, make fun of and even speak racial slurs against any person or group. But this does not mean that there are no consequences to such actions. Sports casters have been fired for uttering racial slurs.

Media/political personalities have been condemned for degrading remarks - many losing endorsement fortunes as advertisers run from them.

Like the UK and other Countries, the US legal system defines defamation of a **living person** as follows:

“Defamation is an act of communication that causes someone to be shamed, ridiculed, held in contempt, lowered in the estimation of the community, or to lose employment status or earnings or otherwise suffer a damaged reputation. Such defamation is couched in 'defamatory language'. Libel and slander are subcategories of defamation. Defamation is primarily covered under state law, but is subject to First Amendment guarantees of free speech. The scope of constitutional protection extends to statements of opinion on matters of public concern that do not contain or imply a provable factual assertion.”

Thanks to the first amendment and a series of case-law results a **successful** defamation claim can only be brought if it can be shown that the defamatory statement(s) are a matter of fact not opinion and that they are **not** a matter of “fair comment and criticism”. What compounds these laws is the legal independence of the US states – thus a defamatory statement in one state may not be held to be so in another.

A relatively recent phenomenon in the U.S. is that of “lawfare”:

“...lawfare is about more than just delegitimizing a state's right to defend itself; **it is about the abuse of the law and our judicial systems to undermine** the very principles they stands for: the rule of law, the sanctity of innocent human life, and **the right to free speech. Lawfare is not something in which persons engage in the pursuit of justice**; it is a negative undertaking and must be defined as such to have any real meaning. Otherwise, we risk diluting the phenomenon and feeding the inability to distinguish between what is the correct application of the law, on the one hand, and what is lawfare, on the other. Because that is the essence of the issue here, how do we distinguish between that which constitutes a constructive, legitimate legal battle (even if the legal battle is against us and inconvenient) from that which is a counterproductive perversion of the law, which should be allocated no precedent? The delineation is not as simple as some may like to make it; that is, that lawsuits against terrorists are good, and legal actions against the U.S. and Israel are bad. **Now, the question is not "who is the target," but "what is the intention" behind the legal action: is it to pursue justice, to apply the law in the interests of freedom and democracy, or is the intent to undermine the system of laws being manipulated?"** (Brooke Goldstein)

To this we might add the intent of silencing the person(s) with whom the plaintiff disagrees – either through fear of the threat of lawfare (in which case they do not speak out at all) or as a result of the financial costs resulting. Fighting such a suite often proves very expensive and time-consuming for the defendant(s) who can be financially ruined by legal bills in the \$100K region as a result – even if the suite is withdrawn before trial (which means a person is unlikely to risk further lawfare attacks in the future).

This has the corollary that people can be intimidated into silence by the mere threat of

lawfare even if they know they would win any case were it to reach trial.

The U.S. **legal system** defines hate speech as follows:

“Hate speech is defined as a communication that carries no meaning other than the expression of hatred for some group, **especially in circumstances in which the communication is likely to provoke violence. It is an incitement to hatred** primarily against a group of persons defined in terms of race, ethnicity, national origin, gender, religion, sexual orientation, and the like. **Hate speech can be any form of expression regarded as offensive to racial, ethnic and religious groups** and other discrete minorities or to women.” (Emphases mine.)

Hatred is demeaning to human dignity – for both the hater and the hated. Yet, abhorrent as hate speech is, it is not necessarily a criminal act, **but hate speech that calls for violence, murdering, terrorizing, enslaving or torturing of any individual or groups is criminal.**

Only speech that poses an imminent danger of unlawful action, where the speaker has the intention to incite such action and there is the likelihood that this will be the consequence of his or her speech, may be restricted and punished by that law.

There were a number of very important legal cases that have defined what constitutes hate speech in the United States.

In 1969, the Supreme Court ruled that; "*The constitutional guarantees of free speech and free press do not permit a state to forbid or proscribe advocacy of the use of force, or of law violation **except where such advocacy is directed to inciting imminent lawless action and is likely to incite or produce such action.***" and in 1992 *R.A.V. v. City of St. Paul*, the Supreme Court categorically stated that "*hate speech was legal **unless it will lead to imminent hate violence.***"

In 1993, the [National Telecommunications and Information Administration](#) (NTIA) released a report titled "[The Role of Telecommunications in Hate Crimes.](#)" This report gave one of the first definitions by government on hate speech. According to NTIA hate speech is:

- Speech that advocates or encourages violent acts or crimes of hate.
- Speech that creates a climate of hate or prejudice, which may in turn foster the commission of hate crimes.

There are now 2 major attempts underway in the US to weaken if not destroy the First Amendment as it relates to Islam by criminalizing criticism of Islam as a hate crime.

In order to undercut the First Amendment the FBI in alliance with the Department of Justice endorsed the very clever and novel legal strategy of utilizing The Civil Rights Act of 1964 declaring that criticism of Islam was a violation of Muslim's civil rights and therefore a crime that could be prosecuted under the Civil Rights Act.

The Civil Rights Act of 1964 ([Pub.L. 88–352, 78 Stat. 241](#), enacted July 2, 1964) is a landmark piece of [civil rights](#) legislation in the [United States](#) that outlawed major forms of discrimination against racial, ethnic, national and religious minorities, and women. It



ended unequal application of voter registration requirements and racial segregation in schools, at the workplace and by facilities that served the general public (known as "public accommodations").

Quoting from POLITICO:

"Bill Killian, U.S. attorney for the Eastern District of Tennessee, was **quoted by the Tullahoma News** this week suggesting that some inflammatory material on Islam might run afoul of federal civil rights laws.

"We need to educate people about Muslims and their civil rights, and as long as we're here, they're going to be protected,"

Killian told the newspaper.

"This is an educational effort with civil rights laws as they play into freedom of religion and exercising freedom of religion," Killian said about the meeting. "This is also to inform the public what federal laws are in effect and what the consequences are."

The Department of Justice did not respond Friday to a question about what guidelines it draws concerning offensive speech and Islam, or whether the department believes that civil rights statutes could be used to stifle criticism of Islam.

While threats directed at individuals or small groups can lead to punishment, First Amendment experts expressed doubt that the government has any power to stop offensive material about Islam from circulating.

"He's just wrong," said Floyd Abrams, one of the country's most respected First Amendment attorneys. "The government may, indeed, play a useful and entirely constitutional role in urging people not to engage in speech that amounts to religious discrimination. But it may not, under the First Amendment, prevent or punish speech even if it may be viewed as hostile to a religion."

"And what it most clearly may not do is to stifle political or social debate, however rambunctious or offensive some may think it is," Abrams said.

A conservative watchdog group, Judicial Watch, accused the Obama administration of using federal law to specifically protect Muslims from criticism.

"In its latest effort to protect followers of Islam in the U.S. the Obama Justice Department warns against using social media to spread information considered inflammatory against Muslims, threatening that it could constitute a violation of civil rights," the group wrote in **a blog post**.

In recent years, the federal government has faced difficult questions about how to respond to material posted about Islam and the 'Prophet' Muhammad — especially when the content causes riots or attacks abroad."

The rapid Islamization of the FBI, Department of Justice and the US army is a direct threat to freedom and democracy because it places these agencies with their virtually unregulated police powers against those fighting Islam. These agencies create an atmosphere of fear that can stifle free speech and make a farce of the First Amendment. Snowden revealed the uncontrolled police state apparatus that was arrayed not in monitoring Mosques but tracking the entire population. There can be no doubt that anti Islam websites and those fighting Islam are being closely monitored including their phone conversations, reading of emails, tracking their daily activities including car trips. In this reality (reminiscent of that in the novel “1984”), fear is being utilized as a tool to create an atmosphere of NO SPEECH IS FREE SPEECH.

PJ Media’s Patrick Poole [noted](#) in May, 2012 that the FBI training manual's counter-terrorism lexicon made [no mention of terrorism](#) in regards to al Qaeda, Hamas, jihad, Islam, or the Muslim Brotherhood.

“The fact is religion has been expunged from counter-terrorism training,” said counter-terrorism specialist with the Foundation for Defense of Democracies Sebastian Gorka to the [Washington Times](#). He added, “The FBI can’t talk about Islam and they can’t talk about jihad.”

In October of 2011, an organization called “Muslim Advocates,” a group composed of fifty-seven Muslim advocacy groups from across the country, signed and sent a letter to high level Obama administration officials. They urged the administration to enact a widespread purge within law enforcement departments and agencies of any materials that could be deemed biased or discriminatory against Muslims.

Brennan shared with the 57 Muslim advocacy organizations the plan the White House had going forward, stating, “Departments and Agencies are taking aggressive steps to create broader review processes and build rigorous CVE curriculum standards.” These included:

*“Collecting all training materials that contain cultural or religious content, including information related to Islam or Muslims; (2) establishing a process, in construction with subject matter experts, to ensure that such materials comply with core American values, professional standards, and the United States Constitution; and (3) writing guidance for CVE training which will be shared with components, field offices, and external partners. Moreover, we are committed to engaging in a sustained dialogue with all relevant stakeholders on these issues as we move forward.”*

In short white washing Islam.

We in America believe in free speech, but also censure hate speech, and even ban speech that **incites** violence and terrorism. This obviously creates a certain tension between ideals that are, in some respects, pulling in opposite directions and, more importantly, opens up the possibility of these tensions being used to manipulate free speech/hate speech/criminal hate speech boundaries to protect or criminalize speech that various

groups deem “hateful”.

### **Free-speech in Islam**

I am sure that to some the title of this section sounds like an oxymoron, but I would remind the reader of two points: firstly, all Countries (and even the US which sees itself as a great champion of freedom) actually limit free-speech to some degree and secondly many Muslims assert that they believe in free-speech and indeed criticise the West for hypocrisy in that Western Countries ban defamation of a person yet allow “defamation” of Allah and his Prophet, which to them is a vastly more important matter.

On the face of it, extending defamation laws to dead personages is not irrational. Why should it be possible, without any risk of legal sanction, to tarnish the name of a dead person by uttering defamatory remarks against them?

However, there are two (or three – depending on how you wish to think about it) other factors that must be considered.

The first is the honour/shame culture of Islam{11}. For our purposes it will be sufficient to say that “honour” forbids saying anything 'bad' about a fellow Muslim and that, therefore, so doing is shameful and shaming. What is significant is that the issue is **not whether what is said is true or not**, merely whether what is said/written would be shaming or not.

The second is the doctrine of “*Sitr*”{12}. In essence this means that any “haram” (unlawful) doing by a (Muslim) person should be covered up (more literally “veiled”) and not spoken about – unless they are repeat offenders in which case Sharia Law should take its course.

Taken together, these two (three) things mean that anything “bad” or “shameful” done by a (Muslim) person should be overlooked and not spoken/written about.

The consequence of this is that anything, **even if it is the truth**, that reflects negatively on a (Muslim) person should not be spoken/written about. The corollary of this is that many Muslims instinctively define “defamation” in terms of honour/shame rather than truth/lie.

To put this more clearly: **In the eyes of Islam a truthful statement can be “defamatory” if it brings shame on it's subject**. What “adds insult to injury” is that such a thing should be covered up anyway. Thus the person who exposes such a matter of “shame” has not only “shamed” another, they have also broken the Islamic “honour code”{13}.

Such a definition of “defamation” is entirely foreign to Western thought.

Bearing this in mind, let us now move on to consider the ramifications for free-speech in Islam.

### **Islamic Free-speech and non-Muslims.**

The Pact of Umar, despite existing in several forms, is the archetypal document defining relations between Muslims and Dhimmis (subjugated people). In the pact a number of conditions are imposed on the Dhimmi population{14}.

There are several key clauses in the pact. The first to consider is:

“If we break any of these promises that **we set for your benefit** against ourselves, then our Dhimmah [promise of protection] is broken and **you are allowed to do with us what you are allowed of people of defiance and rebellion.**”

This clause (the last in the main body of the pact) makes it clear that the pact is to the **benefit of the Muslims** (and by implication to the **detriment** of the Dhimmis); but more importantly, if the Dhimmis break the pact, the Muslims “*are allowed to do with us [Dhimmis] what you are allowed of people of defiance and rebellion*”. What this means is that a Dhimmi who breaks the pact has lost its “protection” and s/he can be lawfully (i.e. permitted by Sharia law) killed, enslaved or despoiled. In fact such a pact-breaking-Dhimmi is regarded in **exactly** the same light as an actively hostile “Harbi”{15}. Worse is that this is a “hostage” clause: if any one Dhimmi broke his/her pact, all the Dhimmi community could be held to be in breach – at least in theory.

This then begs the question as to what sort of things might breach the pact.

For the consideration of free-speech the key clause of the pact is “*We will respect Muslims and move from the places we sit in if they choose to sit in them*”. This might seem relatively innocuous, but the “respect” clause is widely understood to mean much more than giving up seats to Muslims.

**Hanbal** and **Malik** hold that **four** things put the dhimmi outside the law: blasphemy (“disrespect”) of Allah, of His book, of His religion, and of His Prophet.

**Abu Hanifa** taught that they must not be too severe with dhimmis who insulted the Prophet. **Shafe’i** said that one who repented of having insulted the Prophet might be pardoned and restored to his privileges. (Often proof of repentance would mean conversion to Islam.)

(Malik, Shafe’i, Hanbal and Hanifa are the originators of the four main (and eponymously named) schools of Islamic Jurisprudence in Sunni Islam.)

What we see here is that the Dhimmi must not speak “blasphemy” against Islam in any way; not against Allah, or Mohammed, or the Koran, or “Islam” (this latter, practically speaking, Shariah law). These rules, derived from the requirement of the pact for Dhimmis to respect Muslims and coupled with the honour/shame culture and Sitr, **silence any criticism of Islam whatsoever**. As noted above, Salman Rushdie, the UK author, fell afoul of this attitude.

Thus inherent in Islam is a limitation to free-speech that says **non-Muslims cannot criticise Islam in any way**, as Muḥammad al-A‘zamī once wrote: “*Certainly anyone can write on Islam, but only a devout [not learned!] Muslim has the legitimate prerogative to write on Islam and its related subjects.*” Thus at best all non-Muslims writing on Islam are “illegitimate” and at worst they are blasphemous{16}.

That it is “unlawful” for non-Muslims to criticise Islam can be seen from the Blasphemy laws found in Countries (such as Pakistan) where even the allegation of a “blasphemous” statement is sufficient to put a non-Muslim's (and their community's) life in great danger.

## Islamic Free-speech and Muslims.

Muslims in the West most definitely criticise Islam, but that is not the point – they, after all, are protected by free-speech legislation and legal systems that would regard their killing (for instance) by their co-religionists as murder, rather than a lawful response to their “blasphemy”.

In early “medieval” Islamic history, criticism was permitted – within certain bounds. It was acceptable to criticise the state and the religious authorities and Al-Ma'arri (973-1058 A.D.) went as far as to criticise the “traditions” (i.e. hadith) and their interpretation as well as Islamic religious dogma and “religion” in general, though he seems to have picked his words so as to not criticise “faith” (or “*Iman*” in Arabic) which would have been construed as a **direct** attack on Islam.

However, from the late 10<sup>th</sup> century A.D. on, Sunni Islam “*closed the door to ijtahid*”{17} and as a result increasingly de-legitimised such criticism for about 90% of the world's Muslims. Thus for the last millennium or thereabouts, Islam *per se* has been held as being above criticism by (almost) all Muslims.

Also, in Islam Mohammed is considered as being the “perfect human being”{18}. As such he too must be held above criticism.

A further point to consider is that in Islam Sharia law is “Allah's law”. As such it also has a “divine” status. This has the corollary that (from the Islamic perspective) nothing it permits can be wrong and nothing it forbids can be right and furthermore, since it is “divine law”, it cannot be changed by mere mankind.

Today the position for a Muslim is very similar to that for a non-Muslim. Any “defamation” or “blasphemy” of “*Allah, His book, His religion, and of His Prophet*” could easily cost a Muslim his life, because such a statement could be treated as (ultimately) an expression of apostasy.

This is not to say that the various sects within Islam do not attack each other – they do, for example the Sunni/Shia mutual denigration and fratricide has nigh on 1400 years of history to it! But no sect of Islam would **directly** attack “*Allah, His book, His religion, [or] His Prophet*”, rather they attack each other's **interpretations and implementations** thereof.

Thus there is no history or practice of criticism (which would be seen as “shameful”) in Islam as there is in Judaism or Christianity, since this would be seen to impugn the “divine” stature of Islam.

All of the above clearly has consequences for free-speech, in that “Islam” is deemed to be above criticism – particularly by “uneducated” (or ignorant){19} non-Muslims, though ignorant Muslims also must not criticise.

In 1990 the OIC{20} adopted the “Cairo Declaration on Human Rights in Islam” (CDHRI) which affirms Islamic Shari'ah as its sole source. The CDHRI states that it is “*general guidance for Member States [of the OIC] in the Field of human rights*”. The declaration is usually seen as an Islamic response to the UN UDHR – which is criticised by some Muslims as being inherently “Western” or “Christian”{21}. Article 24 states “*All the rights and freedoms stipulated in this Declaration are **subject to the Islamic***

*Shari'ah*" and article 25 adds that "*The Islamic Shari'ah is the **only source of reference for the explanation or clarification of any of the articles of this Declaration.***" thus ramming home the **subordination of all rights to Sharia**. Thus, for example, Dhimmis (here meaning non-Muslims resident in Muslim-majority Countries{22}) may be expected to abide by conditions similar to the Pact of Umar and Muslim women may be denied the vote, though this depends on the interpretation of Sharia used.

Thus the position within Islam is that free-speech must only exist in terms that are Sharia-compliant and as part-and-parcel of this Sharia forbids "defamation" and "blasphemy" of Islam. Let me again remind the reader that any criticism of "*Allah, His book, His religion, and His Prophet*" that was "shameful" - i.e. any negative critique at all{23} - could easily fall foul of one (or both) of those requirements.

Within Islam there is also unlimited freedom to "defame" (according to both the western and Muslim definitions) other belief systems and peoples with little or no chance of legal re-dress at law. The reason for this is quite simple: the Koran itself is very defamatory - indeed outright hateful - with respect to non-Muslims and since the Koran is "Allah's word" it must be true, *ergo* such statements are **not** defamatory and may be freely spread even to the point of inciting "*imminent hate violence*" against a target group. Thus the extent of Islamic free-speech in relation to anti-kafir (i.e. against non-Muslims) hate-speech actually extends into regions that would be deemed "hate-crimes" under US law. Such speech is based on verses in the Koran and the prophetic hadith{24} and thus would be **entirely acceptable** under the CDHRI, since such speech is allowed under Sharia law interpretations.

### **Islamic free-speech and the West.**

However, since the provisions of CDHRI could only apply to "*member states*" of the OIC, the OIC had been tireless in trying to ban "defamation of religion" (don't forget this means **criticism** of Islam) world-wide through the agency of the UN itself{25}. However, with growing opposition it became clear to the OIC in 2010 that such attempts would fail to gain enough support to make it beyond the non-binding resolution phase.

Consequently they switched track and in 2011 the UNHRC adopted "resolution 16/18" titled "**Combating intolerance, negative stereotyping and stigmatization of, and discrimination, incitement to violence and violence against, persons based on religion or belief**". This resolution has garnered far more international support beyond the Muslim world than did the "defamation of religion" resolutions, due to 16/18's apparent even-handedness. Indeed, many in the West heralded it as "*a triumph for free-speech*".

And in fact were resolution 16/18 to be implemented even-handedly by all nations (Muslim and non-Muslim alike), then indeed it *would* be a victory for free-speech and freedom of religion.

However, some Western commentators were more sceptical. And they had a reason so to be. It has to be remembered that many Muslim-majority Countries and Islamic states are signatories to the UDHR - yet they have **demonstrably failed to legislate for free-speech and religious freedom**. Post CDHRI one can see why: since all human rights are to be subordinated to Sharia, in the view of these states Sharia overrides the UDHR and (potentially) 16/18 (and in particular when it comes to the freedoms of non-Muslims

within Muslim governed states). Thus many Western commentators worried that in practice 16/18 would only be effectively implemented in non-Muslim Countries and thus 16/18 would become another avenue via which to **criminalise criticism of Islam** in the non-Muslim world.

Sadly, the scepticism proved to be correct as it soon became apparent that all that was happening was a switch in **language**, not intent. The key change was a move from language on “defamation” (i.e. criticism) to that of “incitement” which interlocks with the ICCPR to which all Western Countries (though not all Muslim ones) are signatory and the concomitant move from protection of religion (Islam) *per se* as the primary goal to the idea of “protecting believers” - but to protect believers (Muslims) from “insult” and “upset” requires that religion (Islam) is **also** protected from “defamation” - anything that the believers (Muslims) find “upsetting” or “insulting”.

*As shown by Jon MC above, the trouble with “incitement” type legislation is that it often does not specify who is “incited” by a speech or a piece of writing and consequently if **A** says something that **C** thinks may “incite” **B** to attack **A**, **A** may be deemed guilty of “incitement” and charged with a hate-crime – thus potentially criminalising even **truthful free-speech**.*

In Istanbul on 15 July 2011 the first meeting of what has come to be known as the “Istanbul process” took place under the joint chairs of Hilary Clinton and OIC Secretary-General Ekmeleddin Ihsanoglu. The latter objected to those who use “... *the freedom of expression to incite hatred by **demonizing purposefully the religions and their followers.***” Just substitute “defaming” for “demonising” and one sees that the intent (and even language) remains the same. In the Geneva meeting (June 2013) Ihsanoglu brought “defamation of religion” right back to the table by saying that free-speech “*transforms into a case of **incitement** to discrimination, hostility or violence when the freedom is abused to **denigrate** [i.e. defame] **symbols and personalities sacred** to one or the other religion*”. Thus the OIC view is clear: defamation = incitement. He continued: “*It is, therefore, essential to draw a line between free speech and hate speech*” - with the clear implication that any “defamation” of religion (Islam) equates to hate-speech (*ergo* the speaker/writer is fomenting hatred, *ergo* they are Islamophobic). At the same meeting the US Ambassador Michael G. Kozak had some quite acid things to say about the biases appearing in the “Istanbul process”, thus indicating that at least not all American politicians are being bamboozled.

Thus it is becoming increasingly clear that the “Istanbul process” insofar as it relates to issues of free-speech/hate-speech/hate-crime is another attempt to persuade the world to view the issue of “defamation” through Muslims eyes – or to put it another way, **to get the non-Muslim world to effectively accept (parts of) the Pact of Umar and, implicitly, a degree of dhimmitude**{26}.

From the Western perspective there is a huge hypocrisy in Muslims demanding that on the one hand Islam be protected from criticism (via criminalising legislation) whilst on the other asserting their “religious freedom”{27} to “defame” (in **their** terms, please note) all other belief-systems, see here for some examples.

## Summary.

Free-speech isn't entirely free, or at least it isn't free of consequences. Most Countries accept that defamation is actionable at law and that people deserve the protection of the law from speech and writing that is “damaging to their reputation” (in the UK phrase).

However the Muslim world and the western world disagree on the definition and extent of defamation.

In the western world something can only be **defaming if it is untrue**, whereas in the Muslim-majority world something can be **defaming if it is shaming**. This definition clearly includes large swathes of untruth, but it also **extends to statements that are true but which are considered shaming** according to Islam's sense of honour/shame.

In the Western world, defamation applies solely to the living – in this view you cannot defame a dead person. The Muslim-majority world extends defamation to both the dead and the 'divine' – in particular to the persons of Mohammed and Allah and defamation of either is often seem as “blasphemy” which carries a death penalty in some Muslim Countries. Furthermore, Muslim-majority Countries also extend their concept of defamation to include the religious ideology of Islam itself. This extends defamation into a whole new realm – that of “defamation” of an **ideology**.

Thus the definition and scope of defamation form two fundamental areas of conflict in views between Muslims and much of the rest of the world.

As stated at the start of this article, the UDHR was written to explicitly define the meaning of the words "fundamental freedoms" and "human rights" appearing in the United Nations Charter, **which is binding on all member states**. Of the 196 Countries in the world{28} only three, Kosovo, Taiwan and the Vatican City State are not signatories to the UN Charter. Of these, only Kosovo is Muslim. It is therefore reasonable to say that Muslim states – just like the rest of the world – are signatories to the UN charter and therefore should have the UDHR as the standard to aim for in terms of human rights and freedoms.

Whilst it is a sad truism to say that many states – including those who consider themselves champions of human rights and freedoms – have failed to live up to the UDHR in various ways, it is also true to say the Muslim-majority states have consistently ignored it within their own borders and sought to undermine it internationally.

Many Muslim-majority Countries do not allow **freedom of religion** – in the sense of the freedom to apostatise from Islam and, by their definition of defamation, stifle even honest and truthful criticism of “*Allah, His book, His religion, and His Prophet*” (Hanbal, Malik).

With the adoption of the CDHRI by the OIC{20} all "fundamental freedoms" and "human rights" are **explicitly subordinated to the Islamic Sharia Law** – thus entrenching the oppression of those seeking to leave Islam and critics of Islam{29}.

This deliberate suborning of the UN charter and UDHR is a rank hypocrisy on the part of Muslim Countries of the OIC; but far worse is their persistent attempt, through the championing of laws aimed at preventing “defamation of religion” - which by it's mere



formulation indicates the desire to enforce the Muslim definition of defamation on the rest of the world – at silencing criticism of Islam world-wide.

In its latest guise this attempt is on-going through resolution 16/18 and the “Istanbul process”. To be fair, resolution 16/18 is even-handed in its **wording**, but the problem is that Muslim-majority Countries have history when it comes to ignoring or “reinterpreting” resolutions from the UN and with the OIC adoption of the CDHRI, resolution 16/18 **can only apply to Islam** within Muslim-majority Countries – thus the protection sought for Islam **outside** of the Muslim-world will not be given to other religions **within** it.

To any un-biased observer this can only be construed as a truly monstrous case of hypocrisy and double-standards.

Many western countries also have legislation relating to hate-speech and hate-crime. Whilst the definitions vary from Country to Country, the US and UK definitions are probably quite typical. In summary we may say that:

- Hate speech can be any form of expression regarded as **offensive** - generally by **any** person - to racial, ethnic and religious groups and other discrete minorities.
- Hate crime is hate-speech that “tips over” into speech likely to directly incite violence (US) and/or “stir up hatred” (UK) and (obviously) violence that is motivated by hatred of the victim's race, religion etc.

One immediate problem that arises from the formulation of hate-speech legislation is that it is the most easily offended who will appear to be the greatest victims. In the case of Islam this means that any criticism of Islam deemed offensive (it **all** is) is “hate-speech”, *ergo* the speaker is motivated by hatred, *ergo* they are “Islamophobes” - and the law will support this string of assertions. This problem is not just manifested by Muslims, many non-Muslims will also define criticism of Islam as “hate-speech” either out of fear of what Muslims will do or else out of an over-weening pseudo-respect for Muslim “sensibilities”{30}.

Hate-crime legislation usually works when the victim is **targeted** because of their ethnicity, minority grouping or religion, but in most formulations it fails to account for the fact that violence can also be **motivated** by these same factors on the part of the perpetrators. Thus, for example, the recent slaughter of British soldier *Gunner Lee Rigby* by “Islamists” is **not** a hate-crime according to (UK) law, since Rigby was not targeted for his race, religion etc. The fact that **his murderers were motivated by religion** does not make his murder a religiously motivated hate-crime - ludicrous though this may seem.

A further problem with western “hate” legislation is that it is seldom implemented even-handedly and, generally speaking, minorities and Muslims in particular can “get away” with saying and doing things that members of the majority population would find themselves prosecuted for doing (*Jon MC has recounted some examples above*) and in particular no western Country takes steps to prosecute hate-preach within its mosques where intolerance and sedition are frequently preached{31}.

The position in Muslim-majority Countries and those with a large Muslim minority is almost diametrically opposite.

In these Countries the Muslim majority can often utter hate-speech with impunity, whereas the (often Christian) minority must maintain an attitude of subservient respect – or else face charges of blasphemy which often result in mob-violence - with the tacit permission of the authorities. Indeed, in many such countries religiously motivated violence against “kafirs” (non-Muslims) is normative and seldom effectively prosecuted.

Thus even before the stultifying nature of resolution 16/18 and the Istanbul process is brought into effect, Muslims have an extra degree of protection in non-Muslim Countries that they are demonstrably never going to offer to non-Muslims within Muslim-majority Countries.

### **Conclusions.**

Free-speech is an important freedom for human discourse.

It is necessarily limited in that no one should have the right to utter or write **falsehood** about another without risking legal consequences, especially if that falsehood is “damaging to their reputation”.

Free-speech is under attack across the free-world and has never truly existed within the Muslim-world.

Free-speech is under attack in the free-world on two fronts:

- there is the ongoing effort of the OIC to criminalise criticism of Islam (most recently in the guise of resolution 16/18 and the Istanbul process).
- By the unequal implementation of Western hate-crime/hate-speech laws which almost exclusively favour Muslims, often out of the desire to avoid any risk of the authorities being branded “racist” {32} or” Islamophobic”.

The Muslim world shows a strange dichotomy. On the one hand Muslims are completely free to say what they like in the most hateful and inciteful of terms about non-Muslims as individuals or groups without fear of legal action; on the other neither Muslims nor, especially, non Muslims may criticise Islam itself. Muslims may criticise interpretation and implementation.

If the OIC succeeds in its aim of getting non-Muslim Countries to criminalise criticism of Islam, either through it's own efforts or via the use of free-world “hate-speech/crime” legislation, then the position of free-speech throughout the world will be that of free-speech in Muslim Countries. **In short it will cease to exist in a meaningful form.** As such this will be a major step towards the Islamification of the the world (or at least its Dhimmification).

Consequently, any further erosion of free-speech in the free-world needs to be vigorously resisted and the vast hypocrisy of the Islamic world needs to be emphasised in order to de-legitimise their claims about either protecting religions or believers from “defamation” of their religion.

## Afterword

If God created man then - the greatest gift Homo sapiens received from God is his brain that directs an intelligence to reason, to explore, to seek the truth of any question – total freedom of thought. To think and reason without fear of jail or death. It is against the will of God to threaten anyone with death, torture or prison for freely exercising his God-given brain. The human brain is the greatest gift God has ever bestowed on man. It was given to mankind to pursue the arts, literature, sciences, and intellectual pursuits. Its free exercise, the exercise of freewill is the will of God. All mankind has the right to freedom and democracy, equality before the law, freedom of action, freedom of thought, right to elect their leaders. God does not want dictators and tyrants to rule over other men. Freedom of speech and expression are unimpeachable rights. In order to be God - God must be Moral Perfection. If God does not believe in the right of mankind to freedom and democracy then god is no longer Moral Perfection and therefore, god is no longer God.

At the very core of freedom of expression (and democracy) is the right to challenge **non-violently** all concepts - including the very existence of God. It is not blasphemy or a sin to climb Mount Everest and yell and swear at God, waving your fist and daring God to strike you dead. And if you do this - you are the fool not God.

You have the FULL right granted by God to speak your view on any subject. To write any book on any subject, to read or not read any book, tear out pages, deface in any way, or burn any book that is **your** property. You have the FULL right to **non-violently** challenge any religion, or religious teaching or deface any religious ornament you own. These acts are not blasphemy. **To declare that these acts are “blasphemy” is, in its own terms, blasphemy.**

You **do not have the right** to beat or murder anyone, destroy **their** property, burn **their** books, burn **their** churches, temples or mosques, enslave or rape women – whether in the name of **your** god or not. To use your God given brain to command your hands to do these horrendous acts or commit any other criminality against your fellow man rather than acts of brotherhood, love, mercy, assistance - this is the very worst form of blasphemy.

We can state categorically and without equivocation that Muhammad never met the Angel Gabriel. Not one word was ever spoken by God to Gabriel to be retransmitted to Muhammad. Not one word of the Quran is from God. - that Sharia Law is not the divine constitution of God that Muhammad was no prophet of any God. We can state that Muhammad made up the Quran and Allah, that Muhammad was Allah and Allah was Muhammad, that Islam is totally and completely fraudulent. And no one has the right to prosecute or kill us for it!

Free thought and speech is part of the very essence of humanity. To deny this essence is to deny the essence of God.

## Footnotes references.

1. “Harbi” is a person/group/Country that is considered to be a member of the Dar-ul-Harb (= world of war) and thus “at war” with Muslims and liable to being killed on sight.
2. The authenticity of this is contested and it is probable that Umar I (the second Caliph) was **not** the author. However, in its most important parts it mirrors other examples of dhimmahs known. Thus whilst it may not be “authentic” in that it may not have been authored by Umar I, it is authentic in that it accurately reflects the sort of conditions imposed on non-Muslim minorities.
3. A modern example of this “hostage mentality” was the attack on a Baghdad Church, **Iraq**, (Dec. 2010) in “retaliation” for the falsely-alleged kidnap of a Muslim woman in Cairo, **Egypt**. In the eyes of the Iraqi attackers dhimmis in Egypt had broken their dhimmah and that made dhimmis in Iraq equally liable for the “offence”. Thus dhimmitude (like the Umma) has no geo-political boundaries.
4. There are so many references in the Koran and Ahadith. See for instance **Koran** 4:3, 4:24, 8:66, 9:5, 9:29, 47:4, 48:18-20, Sura 8 is called “Booty - spoils of war”. Also: **Bukhari**, Vol.4, Bk 52, No.276; Vol.8, Bk.77, No.600; Vol.1 Bk.8 No.387; Vol.4 Bk.52 No.196. **Muslim** 8:3432-34 (this hadith says in effect that a harbi's wife can be taken as a sex-slave by a Muslim).
5. As several people found out recently when a well-know person was FALSELY accused of being a child abuser. Not only was the TV station that broadcast the remarks sued, but so were several others who “re-tweeted” or otherwise re-published the FALSE accusations.
6. This seems to have disappeared from the ACPO website, but is still available from *here* (as of May 2013). The seriously cynical also note the the word “good” is missing from the title.
7. Scotland has a different legal system to England and Wales.
8. Thus the two young boys who called each other “Darkie” and “Snowflake” would be deemed guilty of hate-incidents or hate-crimes, notwithstanding the fact that they were the best of friends.
9. Note that the two communities here are Sunni and Shia (i.e. both Muslim). This, I suggest, further reinforces the Gov.'ts view that Geller and Spencer were not likely to provoke violence **towards Muslims**, but violence **from** Muslims.
10. Link the words “Lee Rigby” and “Hate-crime” in a search and the results are almost universally that there has been an upswing in anti-Muslim hate-crimes since his murder. There is little or no suggestion in the press that his murder may have been a hate-crime.
11. For a good overview of honour/shame, see *here*.
12. This *article* explains this in more detail.
13. Let me state that this is a “broad brush” statement and as such there will be plenty of Muslims who do not, in fact, abide by it.
14. The wording of the pact says that the Dhimmis imposed the conditions on

- themselves. Given that the alternative was death this may actually be true. However, the Umar of the pact added some conditions unilaterally, which suggests that the conditions were in fact imposed with little or no real discussion.
15. A Harbi is a non-Muslim living in the “darul Harb” i.e. the non-Muslim world (literally “the house of war”, which says a lot about how Islam views non-Muslims of course). A Harbi without some form of peace treaty and particularly if they are actually “hostile” to Islam, can be killed etc. with impunity in Islam's eyes.
  16. And thus “deserve” to be killed.
  17. Ijtahid is the personal interpretation of the islamic canon of scripture – Koran, hadith, Sirat and Sharia.
  18. Arabic: **Ihsan Kamel**, The Perfect Being.
  19. The education, or lack thereof, referred to is, of course, an Islamic one – which, *ipso facto*, a non-Muslim **cannot** have.
  20. The OIC is the *Organisation of the Islamic Conference* aka *Organisation of Islamic Cooperation*. A group consisting of the (currently) 56 Islamic/Muslim-majority nation. Whilst a group in its own right, it's members form the largest power-bloc in the UN.
  21. *Dania Akkad* offers a rebuttal and partial comparison of the UDHR and CDHRI *here*.
  22. In modern times it often seems that Muslims in Muslim-majority Countries seem to think that all minorities are governed under like provisions of the Pact of Umar. See *here* for evidence.
  23. One only has to read some of the more recently authored 'biographies' of the life of Mohammed to see that the only real effort by the authors is in attempting to outdo each other in hagiographic excess.
  24. A Prophetic hadith are those supposedly uttered by Mohammed himself and collected in the great collections of (e.g.) Muslim and Bukhari.
  25. See this *article* on the progress of “defamation of religion” at the UN and concerns over article 16/18.
  26. Thus advancing the cause of the “Islamists” who seek the global rule (under Sharia) of Islam.
  27. Here meaning the freedom to hate-preach about other religions, not the freedom to convert for example.
  28. As of June 2011.
  29. Doing either can prove fatal in many Muslim-majority Countries.
  30. This can reach bizarre heights – such as the *banning of any decorations etc. relating to Xmas* (UK) for fear of “offending Muslims”, often to the complete bafflement of the local Muslims themselves who really couldn't care less.
  31. In the UK there was the “Undercover mosque” programs that demonstrated this. Other Countries will have their own examples.
  32. How and why Islam thinks of itself and “Kafirs” as “races” is beyond the scope of this article. See *here* for the rationale

## **THE ENEMY OF MY ENEMY IS MY ENEMY**

### **ISLAM IS AN OBSCENITY**

**YOUNG SYRIAN CHRISTIAN GIRL RAPED, TORTURED AND MURDERED BY THE LIBERATORS OF SYRIA. FOR VIDEOS OF ATROCITIES COMMITTED BY THESE GOOD, MORAL MUSLIM MEN READ ON.**



In response to an horrific chemical attack that killed a thousand Syrians which evidence indicates was committed by the Syrian government of Bashar al-Assad, John Kerry US Secretary of State stated that this atrocity was a moral obscenity. This atrocity will now be used by Obama as a pretext for a bombing campaign.

"What we saw in Syria last week should shock the conscience of the world. It defies any code of morality. Let me be clear: The indiscriminate slaughter of civilians, the killing of women and children and innocent bystanders, by chemical weapons is a moral obscenity. By any standard it is inexcusable, and despite the excuses and equivocations that some have manufactured, it is undeniable. "

<http://www.theguardian.com/world/2013/aug/26/john-kerry-syria-statement-full-transcript>

What Kerry does not mention is that this chemical attack is Islam in action. There are no atrocities in Islam. There is nothing morally wrong with this mass murder. This massacre is the very essence of Islam.

### **ISLAM IS A MORAL OBSCENITY**

The conflict in Syria, Iraq, Bahrain and soon to be Lebanon, Jordan, and possibility Saudi Arabia is Shiite and Sunnis warring on each other.

Each is a kafir to the other. To Shiites - Sunnis are the Other, and to Sunnis - Shiites are the Other. Although they will from time to time form an alliance against Western kafirs, when left to their own devices they will war on each other.

Both understand the Quran and it's mandate of murdering kafirs.

Among the Sunnis/Shiites there are no misunderstanders of Islam. They understand fully the mass murder divinely sanctioned by God in the Quran: 9:5, 5:32, 5:33 etc.

To each - it doesn't matter if 1000, 3,000 or 30,000,000 were killed.

## LIFE AND DEATH STRUGGLE

Sunnis are a majority in most Muslim communities in Southeast Asia, China, South Asia, Africa, most of the Arab World, and among Muslims in the United States (of which 85-90% are Sunnis).<sup>[9][10]</sup> Shias make up the majority of the Muslim population in Iran (around 90–95%), Azerbaijan (around 85%),<sup>[11]</sup> Iraq (around 60-65%) and Bahrain (around 5%). Minority Shia communities are also found in Yemen, around 30% of the Muslim population (mostly of the *Zaydi* sect), and about 10-15% of Turkey are of the *Alevi* sect. The Shia constitute around 30-40% of Kuwait,<sup>[12][13]</sup> 45-55% of the Muslim population in Lebanon, 10% of Saudi Arabia, 15% of Syria, and 10-15% of Pakistan. Around 10-15% of Afghanistan, less than 5% of the Muslims in Nigeria, and around 3% of population of Tajikistan are Shia.<sup>[14]</sup>

Shias are about 10-to-15 percent of the entire Muslim world. which puts them somewhere between 165-to-190 million people....The overwhelming majority of that population lives between Pakistan and Lebanon. Iran always had been a Shia country, the largest one, with about 60 million population. Pakistan is the second-largest Shia country in the world, with about 30 million population. And, potentially, there are as many Shias in India as there are in Iraq.<sup>[15][16]</sup>

[http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Shia%E2%80%93Sunni\\_relations](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Shia%E2%80%93Sunni_relations)  
'Islam in Syria total population.<sup>[1]</sup> Sunnis make up 74%<sup>[1]</sup> of the total, mostly of Arab, Kurdish and Turkoman ethnicities. Shia's make up the remaining 13%:<sup>[1]</sup> Alawites are the predominant Shia group, followed by Twelvers and Ismailis.

The historic background of the Sunni–Shia split lies in the *schism* that occurred when the Islamic prophet Muhammad died in the year 632, leading to a dispute over *succession to Muhammad* as a *caliph* of the Islamic community spread across various parts of the world which led to the *Battle of Siffin*. The dispute intensified greatly after the *Battle of Karbala*, in which Hussein ibn Ali and his household were killed by the ruling Umayyad Caliph Yazid I, and the outcry for his revenge divided the early Islamic community. Today there are differences in religious practice, traditions and customs, often related to *jurisprudence*. Although all Muslim groups consider the Quran to be divine, Sunni and Shia have different opinions on *hadith*.

Over the years, Sunni–Shia relations have been marked by both cooperation and conflict. **Sectarian violence** persists to this day from Pakistan to Yemen and is a major element of friction throughout the Middle East.<sup>[4][5]</sup> Tensions between communities have intensified during power struggles, such as the **Bahraini uprising**, the **Iraq War**, and most recently the **Syrian civil war**.<sup>[6][7][8]</sup>

## **EVIL FIGHTING EVIL**

Iran seeks to create a Shiite empire stretching from the border of Afghanistan to the Suez Canal, to the Mediterranean, to the Ural mountains. The only country standing in their way of seizing control of Islam and reversing the Battle of Karbala is the United States.

This is why Saudi Arabia is desperately allying itself with the Great Satan. Even though Saudi Arabia is spreading Islam throughout Europe and America, financing the building of Mosques to train Jihadists, calling for the destruction of the West and attacking the West with suffocates, they know that only the United States can save them from being conquered within days by Iran.

## **THE ENEMY OF MY ENEMY IS MY ENEMY**

Iran is the mortal enemy of Saudi Arabia - Iran is the mortal enemy of the United States and both Saudi Arabia and Iran are the mortal enemies against the United States.

The conflict in Syria has already spread to Lebanon with the intervention of Hezbollah in Syria and bombing by Sunnis against Shiite mosques and vice versa in Lebanon. Iraq is allowing Iranian planes to supply the Syrian government thru its airspace making a farce out of the treasure and lives expended in Iraq by the US. Saudi Arabia has moved troops into Bahrain to prevent a Shiite takeover and arrested 40,000 mostly Saudi Shiites.

## **SUNNI ATROCITIES IN SYRIA**

All these atrocities are by good, moral Muslim men following EXACTLY the teachings of the Quran and Sunna of Muhammad who ordered 62 massacres.

[http://www.youtube.com/watch?feature=player\\_embedded&v=Z87Sb8ZVzRE](http://www.youtube.com/watch?feature=player_embedded&v=Z87Sb8ZVzRE)

[http://www.youtube.com/watch?feature=player\\_embedded&v=0zSBKq4BxNU](http://www.youtube.com/watch?feature=player_embedded&v=0zSBKq4BxNU)

<http://www.dailymail.co.uk/news/article-2255103/Syria-rebels-beheaded-Christian-fed-dogs-fears-grow-Islamist-atrocities.html>

<http://www.news.va/en/news/asiasyria-rape-and-atrocities-on-a-young-christian>

[http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=RV\\_SanKfxno](http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=RV_SanKfxno)

<http://www.wnd.com/2013/06/video-syrian-rebels-behead-man-execute-2-women/>



[http://www.youtube.com/watch?feature=player\\_embedded&v=0DskXYjOs-8](http://www.youtube.com/watch?feature=player_embedded&v=0DskXYjOs-8)

These are the monsters President Obama is supporting. This is the disaster the United States seems determined to re-enter.

## ISLAM IS THE NEW FAR RIGHT

### Islam is the most evil, violent, hateful Far Right Ideology in history

Angela Merkel who is running for re election as German Chancellor became the first Chancellor to visit the Dachau concentration camp. During her visit she warned of the dangers of far-right extremism, pointing to the lessons of German history as an example for all of Europe.

“How could Germans go so far as to deny people human dignity and the right to live based on their race, religion, their political persuasion or their sexual orientation?” she said in a somber ceremony on the wide plaza where inmates once assembled daily for roll call. “Places such as this warn each one of us to help ensure that such things never happen again.”

Before her visit she called for vigilance against far-right extremism in Europe.

In her weekly podcast, she said she felt "very ashamed" that police had to be deployed to prevent the desecration of Jewish institutions in Germany.

"We must never allow such ideas to have a place in our democratic Europe," Merkel said.

"We know that we live in a democracy today. But we also know that this democracy is always under threat," she said, pointing to the far-right extremism that persists in Europe.

The terms **far right**, or **extreme right**, describe the broad range of political groups and ideologies usually taken to be further to the right of the mainstream **center-right** on the traditional **left-right spectrum**. Far right politics commonly involves support for **social inequality** and **social hierarchy**, elements of **social conservatism** and opposition to most forms of **liberalism** and **socialism**. Both terms are also used to describe **Nazi** and **fascist** movements, and other groups who hold extreme **nationalist**, **chauvinist**, **xenophobic**, **racist**, **religious fundamentalist** or **reactionary** views.<sup>[1]</sup> The most extreme right-wing movements have pursued **oppression** and **genocide** against groups of people on the basis of their alleged inferiority.<sup>[2]</sup>

[http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Far-right\\_politics](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Far-right_politics)

The above definition of the Far Right is Islam. Islam is the New Far Right.

Islam is a racist, chauvinist, nationalist, xenophobic, religious fundamentalist who pursues oppression and genocide of groups of people on the basis of their alleged inferiority.

## ISLAM IS THE VERY ESSENCE OF THE FAR RIGHT

In fact Islam is the most Far Right ideology ever created by man (Muhammad) because it utilizes God to justify its great crimes. Hitler never stated that he was a prophet of God or met the angel Gabriel receiving divine teachings granting him and his Nazis cohorts the divine right to create a superior race, extermination, murder, rape non nazis - Jews, Gypsies, gays, all those who opposed him and pillage their property - take their women as sex slaves and enslave their children. Hitler committed all these crimes on a grand scale but without the divine, moral support of God.

What Ms. Merkel does not tell us is that Germany and all countries of Europe including the United States and Canada are following exactly in the footsteps of the Germans of 1932. Hitler never won any election in Germany. In the 1932 presidential elections with Hitler won only 36.8% It was the German political ruling elite who placed him in power.

"We must never allow such ideas to have a place in our democratic Europe," Merkel said.

This is exactly what all the main political parties in virtually all European countries are doing. The Far Right Muslim ideology of Islam divine mission is to conquer the world for God, murder all those who refuse to join Islam and rape and enslave their women and children.

Although Hitler murdered 6 million Jews he failed in his mission to create a Jewish free Europe and world. The New Far Right is now finishing the work of the Fuehrer. Europe is rapidly becoming a No Go Zone for Jews. From Sweden in the north, to France, To Spain, To Germany To Britain - Jews are being attacked and murdered with virtual impunity. Not only are there no go zones for Jews, but non Muslims can no longer enter large parts of many of their major cities. There are 800 no go zones in France alone. Although not mentioned by the German press parts of Berlin/Essen are no go zones. [http://www.liveleak.com/view?i=42f\\_1371047747](http://www.liveleak.com/view?i=42f_1371047747)

Rape is a divine act in Islam. The New Far Right is on a holy rape jihad attacking kafir women and children raping them and enslaving them as sex slaves in prostitution networks. <http://muslimrapewave.wordpress.com/category/sweden/>

In Britain according to **government estimates** that are believed to be "just the tip of the iceberg," at least 2,500 British children have so far been confirmed to be victims of grooming gangs, and another 20,000 children are at risk of sexual exploitation. At least 27 police forces are currently investigating **54 alleged child grooming gangs** across England and Wales.

The facts about the Rape Jihad in Oslo are simple.

For the last five years, virtually every rape in that city has been carried out by a Muslim.

The situation is so bad that one Member of Parliament, André Oktay Dahl of the Conservative Party, calls the situation “critical”. He said that these Muslims of Oslo have a culture “with a reprehensible attitude toward women”.

Those non-Muslim women who aren't raped in the Rape Jihad dare not walk the street alone or without veils covering their faces because they fear being raped by a Muslim.

The New Far Right invades and destroys hospitals in Hospital Jihad. 200 hospitals are destroyed by the New Far Right in France yearly.

<http://www.aina.org/news/2012082490853.htm>

<http://islamversuseurope.blogspot.de/2012/04/germany-mob-of-muslim-mourners-overruns.html>

Doctors and other hospital personnel are often victims of individual attacks as well. In his book *The Spread of Islamikaze Terrorism In Europe: The Third Islamic Invasion*, author Raphael Israeli writes: "In 2004, there were 145 attacks in hospitals in France, rising to more than 200 in 2006 in which medical staff had been attacked by Muslims." A story in *Le Figaro* this year maintains that French hospital personnel are becoming "more and more victims of violence," citing a report from the health ministry agency responsible for keeping track of violence in healthcare facilities.

Also in 2006, France's medical establishment became very concerned about Muslims rejecting the secular nature of French hospitals after a colleague was punched by a Muslim husband who did not want him to examine his wife. As a result, the French National College of Gynaecologists and Obstetricians issued a declaration that rejected "any moves to undermine the principle that public hospitals are part of the secular state, in which patients must accept being examined by a doctor of the opposite sex."

The New Far Right orders the murder of those who want to leave the New Far Right.

<http://islamineurope.blogspot.de/2011/09/sweden-imam-calls-to-kill-converts-on.html>

The New Far Right mass attacks police.

<http://www.france24.com/en/20130720-islamic-veil-arrest-sparks-violence-paris-suburb-france-trappes>

The New Far right burns cars in the streets.

<http://conservativepapers.com/news/2013/01/01/muslims-burn-about-1200-cars-on-new-years-eve-in-france/#.UhmwwJIwdhE>

The New Far Right burns down parts of cities.

<http://www.thegatewaypundit.com/2013/05/stockholm-is-burning-muslim-youths-torch-police-station-in-ragsved-in-fourth-night-of-rioting/>

The New Far right attacks kafirs on subways, buses, streets. Like Hitler's brown and black shirt thugs who attacked Jews the New Far right robs, beats, murders European kafirs in broad daylight often recorded by video cameras. This is how it is to live in Europe. Muslim attacks in subways, on buses, intimidation, robberies, lewd behavior, insults and harassment against women.

<http://www.libertarianrepublican.net/2013/03/breaking-out-of-france-muslim-youth.html>

[http://www.youtube.com/watch?feature=player\\_embedded&v=NYvRkYUIBB0](http://www.youtube.com/watch?feature=player_embedded&v=NYvRkYUIBB0)

[http://www.youtube.com/watch?feature=player\\_embedded&v=amox-epsNkA](http://www.youtube.com/watch?feature=player_embedded&v=amox-epsNkA)

[http://www.youtube.com/watch?feature=player\\_embedded&v=WdB-LIRHyMI](http://www.youtube.com/watch?feature=player_embedded&v=WdB-LIRHyMI)

The New Far Right Murders Their own Children

[http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Category:Honor\\_killing\\_in\\_Europe](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Category:Honor_killing_in_Europe)

<http://www.thenational.ae/news/world/europe/europe-waking-up-to-honour-killings-after-turkish-kurd-jailed-in-uk>

The New Far right Mutilates their own daughters

<http://www.theguardian.com/society/2010/jul/25/female-circumcision-children-british-law>

[http://www.youtube.com/watch?feature=player\\_embedded&v=2ayD4sfSm2Y](http://www.youtube.com/watch?feature=player_embedded&v=2ayD4sfSm2Y)

The New Far Right chops off the heads of their wives.

<http://www.dailymail.co.uk/news/article-2155060/Man-admits-butchering-wife-children.html>

The New Far Right Beheads kafirs

<http://www.mirror.co.uk/news/uk-news/woolwich-attack-live-lee-rigby-1905653>

<http://www.freeinews.com/united-states/another-savage-murder-s-e-london-disabled-wheelchair-bound-man-throat-cut>

The New Far Right in their jihad and hatred of the German people, it has been estimated that **7,500 Germans** have been murdered by 'aliens' (mainly Muslim Turks) since the

Berlin wall fell in 1990 and 3 million violent attacks by German Muslim immigrants on the natives since 1990. Each week 7 are killed by mainly Muslim immigrants and about 3,000 Germans are subject to some form of physical abuse or assault per week by Muslims. Putting these figures in perspective more than *twice* the people have been killed by Muslim immigrants in Germany than the combined number of **U.S. soldiers** and **UK soldiers** killed in Afghanistan.

The German media and politicians are silent about this.

The New Far Right teaches children how to behead Jews.

**GERMANY: “Get some little chicks and cut off their heads so you will know how to hack off the heads of Jews”**

**Muslim apostate reveals what young boys of 12 or 13 are taught in mosques around Germany.**

[http://www.youtube.com/watch?feature=player\\_embedded&v=R4pT95hk2gg](http://www.youtube.com/watch?feature=player_embedded&v=R4pT95hk2gg)

**Islam divides German society: students taught to hate Christians, Jews, the West**

[http://www.youtube.com/watch?feature=player\\_embedded&v=td2VGSnX7h4](http://www.youtube.com/watch?feature=player_embedded&v=td2VGSnX7h4)

The New Far Right demands jiza tax from Christian churches.

<http://ekstrabladet.dk/112/article1883256.ece>

The New Far Right are burning Churches in Europe with impunity.

<http://www.faithfreedom.org/oped/GrantSwank60309.htm>

The New Far Right attacks, threatens, blocks the fire department when responding to arson attempts committed by Muslims. **Jihad Arson** is now a weekly occurrence.

Just as David Cameron - British Prime Minister heaps praise that Islam is a wonderful religion of peace.

[http://www.youtube.com/watch?feature=player\\_embedded&v=0Bup3ESi0Zc](http://www.youtube.com/watch?feature=player_embedded&v=0Bup3ESi0Zc)

and that the beheading of Lee Rigby was a betrayal of islam

"This view is shared by every community in our country. This was not just an attack on Britain and the British way of life, it was a betrayal of Islam and the Muslim communities. There is nothing in Islam that justifies this truly dreadful act."

so to the British elites praised Adolf Hitler

The British newspapers proclaimed how Hitler had lead the world in the fight against unemployment, “saving the soul of the German people.” The Sunday Chronicle praised Hitler’s ruling eliminating women from labor and providing jobs for men, stating that it “hopes England will do likewise.”

## **PERISH THE JEW” REPRINTED**

Similarly, the Sunday Referee, while editorially disassociating itself from responsibility for views expressed, carried an article by Paul Josef Goebbels, German minister of propaganda and public enlightenment, featuring his story prominently on its front page. The article was headed: “Perish the Jews.” It concluded that the Jews finally would perish at last, after many years of perverse influence on civilization.

<http://www.jta.org/1933/08/01/archive/hitler-and-nazi-regime-acclaimed-in-british-press-goebbels-attack-reprinted>

Neville Chamberlain stated on Sept 30th 1938

"My good friends, for the second time in our history, a British Prime Minister has returned from Germany bringing peace with honour. I believe it is peace for our time. We thank you from the bottom of our hearts. Go home and get a nice quiet sleep|."

Even JFK praised the fuhrer.

'Fascism?' wrote the youthful president-to-be in one. 'The right thing for Germany.'  
In another; 'What are the evils of fascism compared to communism?'

And on August 21, 1937 - two years before the war that would claim 50 million lives broke out - he wrote: 'The Germans really are too good - therefore people have ganged up on them to protect themselves.'

And in a line which seems directly plugged into the racial superiority line plugged by the Third Reich he wrote after traveling through the Rhineland: 'The Nordic races certainly seem to be superior to the Romans.'

<http://www.dailymail.co.uk/news/article-2329556/How-JFK-secretly-ADMIREHitler-Explosive-book-reveals-Presidents-praise-Nazis-travelled-Germany-Second-World-War.html>

The New Far Right with the support of the European elites is fulfilling Josef Goebbels prophetic prediction. Both the main German right party CDU and the left socialist party SPD support the New Far Right in Germany.

The New Far Right demands the destruction of all manmade constitutions and their replacement with Shari Law.

The New Far Right refuses to recognize the laws of the state and oppose integration into their societies. Indeed they are actively using violence to force these peoples to integrate to Islam.

Most disturbing, some surveys find that the younger generation of Turkish Germans express surprising hostility toward Europe and the West. In one study, the sociologist

Wilhelm Heitmeyer and his colleagues at the University of Bielefeld found that almost one-third of those polled agreed that Islam must become the state religion in every country.

Even though they live in Europe, 56 percent declared that they should not adapt too much to Western ways, but should live by Islam. More than a third insisted that if it serves the Islamic community, they are ready to use violence against nonbelievers. Almost 40 percent said that Zionism, the European Union and the United States threaten Islam."

Nearly every fourth non-German Muslim rejects integration, questions western values and tends to accept violence, according to a study commissioned by the German Interior Ministry.

The special poll [conducted by the Guardian/ICM organisations] based on a survey of 500 British Muslims found that a clear majority want Islamic law introduced into this country in civil cases relating to their own community.

1 out of 3 British Muslims aged 16 to 24 believe that Muslim apostates should be executed.

32% of British Muslim students support killing for Islam; 40% want Shari'ah Law  
Many Muslims even in so-called civilized countries like Britain still want to relapse to the Middle Ages (or earlier, even) by making Islam central in all aspects of their true-believing lives.[41]

However the majority of Europeans reject Islam just as the Germans of 1932 rejected Hitler. But it made no difference. The political and intellectual elites are determined to destroy the Jews and Christians, the rights of women and impose a Sharia Law totalitarianism on Europe. They are determined to finish the legacy of Adolf Hitler. They are succeeding.

There is absolutely no difference between Islam and any of these Far Right Ideologies that have and are polluting the very humanity of humanity EXCEPT that Islam utilizes God as an accomplice to justify its criminal acts.

There is no moderate Communism. There is no reform Communism. There is no Communism with a human face.

There is no moderate White Supremacy, Skin Heads, Ku Klux Klan (KKK), Aryan Brotherhood (AB), Nazism, Neo-Nazism, Fascism. There is no reform White Supremacy, Skin Heads, Ku Klux Klan (KKK), Aryan Brotherhood (AB) Nazism, Neo - Nazism, Fascism. There is no White Supremacy, Skin Heads, Ku Klux Klan (KKK),Aryan Brotherhood (AB) Nazism, Neo - Nazism, Fascism with a human face.

There were no Communists and Communism. Communists and Communism are one and the same.



There were no Nazis and Nazism. Nazis and Nazism were one and the same.

There are no Fascists and Fascism. Fascists and Fascism are one and the same.

There are no White Supremacists and White Supremacism. White Supremacists and White Supremacism are one and the same.

There are no Ku Klux Klan (KKK), Aryan Brotherhood (AB), Skin Heads and Ku Klux Klanism, Aryan Brotherhoodism, Skin Headism. They were all one and the same.

There are no Neo-Nazis and Neo-Nazism. Neo-Nazis and Neo-Nazism are one and the same.

There is no Islam and Islamism. Islam and Islamism are one and the same.

There is no moderate Islam. There is no reform Islam. There is no Islam with a human face.

**We can state without Equivocation that:**

**THERE ARE NO GOOD WHITE SUPREMACISTS/ SKIN HEADS/KKK/ARYAN BROTHERHOOD (AB)**

**THERE WERE NO GOOD NAZIS/SS/GESTAPO**

**THERE ARE NO GOOD NEO - NAZIS**

**THERE ARE NO GOOD FASCISTS**

**THERE ARE NO GOOD COMMUNISTS**

**THERE ARE NO GOOD MUSLIM MEN**

**Repeating - Islam is the most evil, violent, hateful Far Right Ideology in history.**

## **PAX ISLAM**

### **Eternal True Peace From The Religion of True Peace**

The Quran commands Muslims to fight against the Kuffar until Islamic rule and law is established in every nook and corner of the world. Devout Muslims attacked the United States on September 11, 2001 (9/11) to achieve that divinely commanded goal of Islam. Islam has since kept making inroads into the American life, including the White House, where at least six Muslims serve as advisors for the Obama administration. On the 12th anniversary of the 9/11 attacks, let us review what would be the outcome of an Islamic conquest of America today.

### **THE TREATY OF WASHINGTON**

#### **ARTICLES OF SURRENDER**

These Articles of Surrender duly drawn up between the democratic peoples of the United States represented by President Barack Hussein Obama hereinafter referred to as “Americans” plural or “American” singular and the duly appointed representative of Sunni Muslims, King Abdullah ibn Abdul Aziz Al Saud and Hassan Rohani President of Iran, the representative of Shiite Muslims hereinafter “Muslim Umma”

The above hereto collectively will be known as the “PARTIES”

It is hereby agreed by and between the parties as follows:

#### **DEFINITIONS**

Unless the context otherwise requires, the following terms shall have the following meanings for all purposes of this Agreement, and they shall be equally applicable to both singular and plural forms of the terms herein defined:

Muslim Umma: Denotation for the community of Muslims, that is, the totality of all Muslims.

American(s): All citizens of the United States.

American kafir(s): All non Jewish/Christian citizens of the United States that refuse to convert to Islam.

Peoples of The Book: American Christians and Jews.

Jizya Tax: Submission tax which will be 50% of all income earned by American Jews and Christian (before taxes) and payable to the Supreme Muslim Council. The Jizya tax is not tax deductible.

Supreme Muslim Council of National Salvation (hereinafter (Supreme Muslim Council) will be the Supreme Body that will administer Sharia Law throughout the United States. The President of the United States and all governmental bodies shall report and enforce all rulings of the Supreme Muslim Council.

Sharia Law: The Supreme Law of the United States. All legal rulings will be in accordance with Sharia Law. All Sharia Law courts will report to the Supreme Muslim Council.

Center of City: All towns, cities, must designate a center where rulings of Sharia Law courts will be enforced including beheadings, lashings, and stoning. Earth must be provided to bury a woman up to her waist in preparation for stoning. Boulders must be provided in ample supply to ensure efficient stoning. Mercantile Exchange will be designated the sole trading entity for trading and selling of slaves. This will ensure the efficient functioning of the slave market. No other exchange in the United States can be involved in the slave trade.

Dhimmis: American Jew/ Christian, other kafirs who refuse to convert to Islam. They must pay a dhimmi tax and live their lives and ensure their families live their life according to the Rules of Dhimmihood as stated in this Agreement.

Pact of Umar: Agreement signed between a Christian community and Caliph Umar that established the rules of dhimmihood. The Pact of Umar shall be an integral part of this Agreement.

## **PURPOSE**

Whereas the Muslim Umma hereby agrees to immediate cessation of all hostilities against Americans in the United States or anywhere in the world. The Muslim Umma orders effective immediately that all Muslims cease and desist from all attacks on Americans including property. All planning for attacks must be immediately suspended. Any future attacks must be approved by the Supreme Muslim Council. Any Muslim who attacks an American except on a personal level of self defense without approval of the Supreme Muslim Council will be beheaded.

## **PROVISIONS TO BE IMMEDIATELY IMPLEMENTED**

Whereas Americans accept the guarantee of peace and security offered by the Muslim Umma in return Americans will immediately implement the following provisions of this Agreement.

1. The Constitution of the United States will be immediately physically torn up and replaced by Sharia Law. All laws of the United States are from this date forward null and void. Sharia Law will be the sole Law applied throughout the United States. It will be the only source of law code. All legal disputes will be adjudicated in

Sharia Law Courts under the tenets of Sharia Law. The Rule of Law in the United States will be Sharia Law.

2. All courts on both a federal and state level are to be immediately dissolved. All judges that agree to convert to Islam will be sent for training in Sharia Law to Saudi Arabia. Sharia Law Imams from Saudi Arabia will be allowed to enter the United States and set up on federal and state level Sharia Law courts and start immediately to dispense Sharia Law justice including but not limited to:

Drinkers and gamblers are to be whipped.

A Muslim injured by an American will exact legal revenge—physical eye for physical eye.

A male and female thief must have a hand cut off.

Highway robbers will be crucified or mutilated as determined by Sharia Court judge

Homosexuals will be executed either hanged or beheaded.

American Muslim apostates must be killed.

3. All references to God will be replaced everywhere by Allah. “In God We Trust” will be replaced by – “In Allah We Trust.”
4. All police officers must resign immediately. Those policemen who convert to Islam will be sent for Islamic training and return to their posts. All police positions can only be filled by Muslims. A Special Force of Morality Police operating solely under direction of the Supreme Muslim Counsel will enforce the morals of the state in accordance with Sharia Law.
5. Americans must immediately surrender all guns and all other weapons. Only a Muslim can bear arms. Any American who is found to be in possession of any weapon will be taken to the city center and lashed 100 times. Any future offense and he will be beheaded.
6. The army will be immediately disbanded. All army personnel can only be Muslims and will take orders only from the Supreme Muslim Counsel. Since unquestioning loyalty of the army to the Muslim Counsel is absolutely essential to the peace and security of the state and since loyalty of officers long trained in the hatred of Muslims can never be trusted, all officers above the rank of sergeant will be taken immediately from their prison cells and beheaded.
7. All music can no longer be played anywhere in the United States until each song is approved by the Supreme Muslim Council. Anyone in possession of any music in

any form not approved by the Council will be taken to the city center and administered 100 lashes.

8. At the request of any Muslim, Americans must immediately stop whatever they are doing and go to the service of the Muslim and remain until the Muslim officially discharges them from his service.
9. All kafirs must immediately assist any older Muslim even if not asked. Failure to assist and the kafir will be publicly lashed 100 times
10. All professions are reserved for Muslims only. Kafirs can only be employed as labor whether in manufacturing, construction, housework, transportation, sales clerics, garbage collection etc. There may be exceptions made for individual American(s) who are deemed not to be a security threat at the sole discretion of the Supreme Muslim Council.
11. Only Muslims can attend university. Except as cleaning and maintenance staff, kafirs cannot enter university property. Any kafir caught on university property will be lashed 100 times in front of the university central administration office.
12. To ensure that drug companies manufacture products that are totally safe for use by Muslims, these companies must test their products on kafirs rather than chimpanzees.
13. Since kafirs are mentally deficient, their education will be restricted to the lower grade schools. No kafir can go to school after age of 14 but must go immediately to work.
14. All movie houses and all TV channels will be immediately shut down and will only be reopened with the approval of the Supreme Muslim Council. No movie or any art form can be shown/displayed unless approved in advance by the Council. Anyone caught owning movies in any format not approved by the Council will be publicly lashed 100 times.
15. All video games are banned. Anyone playing video games will be taken to the city center and whipped 100 times.
16. All publishing of books, newspapers either in written form or on the internet must immediately cease. All publications written or electronic must be approved by the Supreme Muslim Council. All books in all libraries that are deemed un-Islamic by the Supreme Muslim Council will be burnt.
17. Americans will be immediately publicly beheaded for any of the following offenses:
  - (1) Reviling Allah or his Messenger; (2) being sarcastic about Allah's name, His command, His interdiction, His promise, or His threat'; (3) denying any verse of the Quran or 'anything which by scholarly consensus belongs to it, or to add a verse that does not belong to it'; (4) holding that 'any of Allah's messengers or prophets are liars,

or to deny their being sent'; (5) reviling the religion of Islam; (6) being sarcastic about any ruling of the Sacred Law; (7) denying that Allah intended 'the Prophet's message to be the religion followed by the entire world.'

18. Americans are now being warned by these Articles of Surrender to convert to Islam. This Agreement is a legal call as required by the Holy Quran for all Americans to convert to Islam within 30 days. Any male American not a People of The Book (Christian or a Jew) who refuses to convert to Islam and declare submission to his master Allah will be taken to the city center and publicly beheaded. All young boys who have pubic hair will be beheaded with their fathers. All the beheaded kafir's women will be posted on a slave board to be established on the Chicago Mercantile Exchange to be traded for 30 days for any Muslim to choose as his sex slave on a first come basis. After 30 days, she and her children will be sold into slavery on the Chicago Exchange. Exclusive rights will be granted by the Supreme Muslim Counsel to the slave owner. 20 % of the proceeds from the slave sale will go to the Supreme Muslim Council.

19, Americans who are Peoples of the Book - Christians and Jews who refuse the call to convert to Islam must pay a jizya tax of 50% of their earned income to be paid to the Supreme Muslim Council. In addition, they must abide by the following Rules of Dhimmihood. Any Christian or Jew who refuses to pay the jizya tax or refuses to abide by the rules of dhimmihood will be publicly beheaded or enslaved as decided by the Sharia Court.

## **RULES OF DHIMMIHOOD**

20. American dhimmis must wear a bright red, flashing arm band at all times that will also illuminate at night. This arm band must be worn on the upper left arm only. Any American dhimmi caught not wearing the arm band as designated in this Agreement will be lashed publicly 100 times.

21. All American dhimmis when approaching a Muslim on the street must ensure his arm band is clearly visible to the Muslim and the dhimmi must move off the sidewalk and walk in the gutter area of the street. They cannot block traffic. Failure to move off the sidewalk into the gutter in the presence of a Muslim and the dhimmi will be beaten and whipped on the spot.

22. All American dhimmis must immediately upon request by a Muslim surrender their seat to the Muslim. If the dhimmi refuses to surrender his seat, he will be beaten and whipped on the spot. Continued insolence and the dhimmi will be arrested and taken before Sharia Law Court for judgment.

23. There can be no resistance by any American dhimmi against a Muslim. Any act that causes mischief or corruption in the land and the dhimmi will be taken away and beheaded.

25. ALL articles from the Pact of Umar will form an integral part of this Agreement and will be attached as Appendix 1 to this Agreement. All American dhimmis must obey all Articles of this Treaty. Failure to obey any Article of this Treaty and the dhimmi will be lashed 100 times or beheaded as the Sharia Court decides.

26. All bibles must be removed from all hotels and replaced by the Quran. All hotels must install footbaths in all rooms.

27. Dhimmis are forbidden to openly display wine or pork, to ring church bells or display crosses, recite the Torah or Evangel aloud, or make public display of their funerals and feast days.

28. Swimming pools are for Muslim use only. All American dhimmis will be barred from all public pools. A sign must be posted on the door of all public pools – NO DHIMMIS ALLOWED.

29. All businesses must install footbaths and prayer rooms. Muslims must be allowed to pray on company time 5 times a day.

30. All hospital beds must be faced toward Jerusalem.

### **AMERICAN WOMEN**

American women must obey and live their lives according to all tenets of Sharia Law including but not limited to the following:

31. All American women must have their head covered by a scarf that covers all hair and shows only the face. All other flesh of the American woman must be covered by a long coat. Only hands can be shown. No flesh on the arms and legs can be displayed. If an American woman is judged by the morality police not to be properly covered, she will be beaten by the morality police and taken before a Sharia Law Court and then sentenced to 100 lashes at the city center.

32. Adultery will be severely punished by either 100 lashes administered publicly at the city center or burying the adulteress up to her waist in the ground and then stoning her to death.

33. Women cannot travel outside their home unless accompanied by her husband or other relative. Lashing will be the punishment for any women caught in the presence of any man not her husband or relative.

34. Women who are raped must have at least 4 Muslim adult male witnesses. Any American woman who declares that she was raped and cannot produce 4 male Muslims who witnessed the rape shall be taken to the city center buried up to her waist and stoned to death for adultery.

## **COMMERCIAL TRANSACTIONS**

**All commercial transactions will be performed in accordance with Islamic Law. No interest can be charged.**

## **PUNISHMENT**

All kafirs that violate any Article of this Agreement will be taken before the Sharia Law Court and lashed 100 times or beheaded or stoned to death or hanged until dead. All Christians and Jews who violate the terms of their dhimmihood including the timely paying of their jizya tax to the Supreme Muslim Council will immediately forfeit all their rights and freedoms guaranteed under this Agreement and made into slaves and assigned to be property of a Muslim at sole discretion of the Supreme Muslim Council.

## **DUTIES OF AMERICAN SLAVES**

1. Following in the grand tradition of Prophet Muhammad's (may peace be onto him) great black slave – Mahran, all black male Americans must report to their local Supreme Muslim Council office and register for castration. After castration, the black will be assigned as a beast of burden to his Muslim owner. All black females must register for the holy ritual of circumcision and tying of fallopian tubes then assigned as a maid to a Muslim woman. Blacks who refuse to obey this order will be taken to the city center, their eyes will be burnt out of their head, their tongue will be cut out of their mouth and then they will be beheaded.
2. All slaves must obey without question the will of their Muslim masters.
3. Muslim men can have sex with their sex slaves anytime he desires. There can be no resistance. If the Muslim does not feel like having sex, he may designate a Muslim friend to have sex with his sex slave(s).
4. A Muslim may whip his slave at his sole discretion
5. A Muslim may kill his slave if he so desires.
6. All slaves can only marry the one approved by their Muslim owner.
7. The children of any slave are the property of their parent's Muslim master.
8. All captives taken during war will be the property of the Supreme Muslim Council.

## **DUTIES OF AMERICAN CHILDREN**

1. All American children must immediately convert to Islam and devote their lives to Allah and His Messenger.



2. Each child must adopt a Muslim name.
3. All school children must be dressed in Arabic attire and wear an emblem of the Muslim star and crescent moon around their necks
4. Arabic – the pure language of God will be taught in every school. It is the intention of the Supreme Muslim Council to replace English and all other languages in the United States within 20 years. Any child over the age of ten years deemed by his teacher not to be studying earnestly will be whipped at the teacher’s sole lawful discretion.
5. Every school will begin each day reciting Muslim prayers that began with “In the name of Allah, most gracious, most merciful...”
6. Each child must answer the teacher with respect and dignity “ Praise be to Allah”
7. The holy Quran will be the most important study for all children. Each child must recite to his teacher daily “Allah is the only true God and Muhammad is his messenger” and profess that “the Holy Quran is God's word.” Any child deemed not to be faithfully studying the holy book will be lawfully whipped by their teacher. If it is the judgment of the teacher that the child’s parents are not fulfilling their duty of ensuring the obedience of the child to his Islamic studies, the police will arrest and take them to the city center to be publicly lashed 100 times. If this parental insolence continues, their children will be taken away and the parents charged with child abuse and duly sentenced by the Supreme Muslim Counsel.
8. It is the supreme duty of every child to prepare for Jihad against the kafirs. Jihad training is mandatory for every male child. There is no higher honor then to give one’s life in the service of God fighting and conquering kafirs until the entire world submits to Allah and Islam is the one and only religion.

**TERMINATION OF AGREEMENT**

This agreement is eternal and can never be terminated

In witness whereof, before Allah (most merciful) the parties hereto have caused their authorized representatives to set their hands hereunto and to one other of the same tenor on the date July 4th, 20\_\_ .

\_\_\_\_\_  
 President Barack Hussein Obama

\_\_\_\_\_  
 King Abdullah ibn Abdul Aziz

\_\_\_\_\_  
 President Hassan Rohan

**MUHAMMAD WAS ALLAH AND ALLAH WAS MUHAMMAD  
MUHAMMAD AKA ALLAH AKA MUHAMMAD  
MUHAMMAD THE CREATOR OF ALLAH**

**THE QURAN WAS NOT THE WORD OF GOD BUT THE PRODUCT OF A  
PSYCHOTIC AND THAT PSYCHOTIC WAS PROPHET MUHAMMAD.**

It is supposed that God created man in His own image. Prophet Muhammad did God one better. Muhammad created God in his own image and bestowed upon his god – Allah (the AntiGod) – his own characteristics, personality, desires and ambitions.

Prophet Muhammad never met the Angel Gabriel. He never received any teachings from God transmitted to Gabriel to be re-transmitted to Muhammad. In order to justify and sanction his great crimes, Muhammad fabricated all the teachings of the Quran pretending they were from Allah. These fake teachings of Allah only represents Muhammad's barbarous personality. The teachings of the Quran were the teachings of a psychotic and that psychotic was prophet Muhammad.

Prophet Muhammad was a true prophet of child molestation, extermination, murder, slaughter, rape, terror, torture, hate and slavery. He was a monster of history. Been the creator of Allah - Muhammad fabricated Quranic verses sanctioning as divine acts: child molestation, extermination, murder, slaughter, rape, terror, torture, hate and slavery turning Allah into a monster of The Universe. Again these criminal acts are not crimes in Islam but are the Sunna of Muhammad to be emulated by all Muslim men. Following is just a sample of Muhammad crimes recorded in the Hadith being sanctified by Allah AKA Muhammad in the Quran.

**CHILD MOLESTATION/RAPE**

Muhammad molested his child wife Aisha at 6 and raped her when she was 9. For sexual molestation of Aisha by this prophet Monster go to <http://www.islamreform.net/new-page-208.htm> His creation Allah not only divinely sanctioned this molestation but happily granted all Muslim men the divine right to molest and rape Muslim baby girls forever with verse 65.4.

**MUHAMMAD: THE GREAT EXTERMINATOR**

Sahi Bukhari Volume 5, Book 59, Number 448:

“So Allah's Apostle went to them (i.e. Banu Quraiza) (i.e. besieged them). They then surrendered to the Prophet's judgment (unconditionally after 25 days of fierce resistance) but he directed them to Sad (ally) to give his verdict concerning them. Sad said, "I give my judgment that their warriors should be killed, their women and children should be taken as captives, and their properties distributed."

The Prophet said, "You have judged according to the King's (Allah's) judgment." (Hadith No. 447, Vol. 5). The sentence: Death by decapitation for around 600 men and pubescent

boys, and enslavement for the women and children. Ibn Ishaq says that the number may have been as high as 800—900 (p. 464).

Allah AKA Muhammad gave divine sanction to this barbarous slaughter

Quran-8:17—“It is not ye who Slew them; it is God; when thou threwest a handful of dust, it was not Thy act, but God’s.....” (Allah said, the killing of surrendered soldiers were done by the wish of Allah)

Quran-8:67-“ It is not for any prophet to have captives until he hath made slaughter in the land. Ye desire the lure of this world and Allah desireth (for you) the Hereafter, and Allah is Mighty, Wise.” (Allah insisting Prophet to kill all the prisoners, and should not keep any surrendered prisoners alive until He (Prophet) occupied entire Arabia.

### **MUHAMMAD WAS THE FIRST MUSLIM TERRORIST**

Bukhari: V4B52N220"Allah's Apostle said, 'I have been made victorious with terror.'

Allah AKA Muhammad Was A Terrorist.

### **Verse 8:60**

“Against them make ready your strength to the utmost of your power, including steeds of war, to strike terror into (the hearts of) the enemies, of God and your enemies, and others besides, whom ye may not know, but whom God doth know. Whatever ye shall spend in the cause of God, shall be repaid unto you, and ye shall not be treated unjustly”

### **SUICIDE**

Bukhari (52:54) - The words of Muhammad: "I would love to be martyred in Allah's Cause and then get resurrected and then get martyred, and then get resurrected again and then get martyred and then get resurrected again and then get martyred."

Muslim (20:4678) - During the battle of Uhud, Muhammad was desperate to push men into battle. He promised paradise for those who would martyr themselves, prompting a young man who was eating dates to throw them away and rush to his death.

Muslim (20:4655) - A man asks Muhammad "which of men is the best?" Muhammad replies that it is the man who is always ready for battle and flies into it "seeking death at places where it can be expected.

Muslim (20:4681) - "Surely, the gates of Paradise are under the shadows of the swords."

Allah AKA Muhammad Loves Muslim Men who Shed Kafir Blood

#### **Verse 4:74**

“Let those fight in the cause of God Who sell the life of this world for the hereafter. To him who fighteth in the cause of God, - whether he is slain or gets victory - Soon shall We give him a reward of great (value).”

#### **BEHEADING**

Muhammad personally beheaded 2 Jewish Chieftains of Banu Qurayzah.

The text of Sirat:

“Then they surrendered, and the apostle confined them in Medina in the quarter of d. al-Harith, a woman of B. al-Najjar. Then the apostle went out to the market of Medina (which is still its market today) and dug trenches in it. Then he sent for them and struck off their heads in those trenches as they were brought out to him in batches.

Allah AKA Muhammad Gives Beheading Divine Approval

#### **Verse 8:12**

“Remember thy Lord inspired the angels (with the message): "I am with you: give firmness to the Believers: I will instill terror into the hearts of the Unbelievers: smite ye above their necks and smite all their finger-tips off them."

#### **MUHAMMAD OWNED AND RAPED HIS SEX SLAVES**

Enjoying Special Booty (Gani-maater-maal): Muhammad Takes Safiya As Booty To Be His Sex Slave

Safiya bint Huyai/Huyayy was a captive Mohammed married after slaughtering her father, brother, husband and the men at Khaibar, according to Bukhari vol.2 book 14 ch.5 no.68 p.35; vol.4 book 52 ch.74 no.143 p.92; vol.4 book 52 ch.168 no.280 p.175 and Tabari vol.39 p.185.

Sunan Abu Dawud Book 11, Number 2166:Narrated AbuSa'id al-Khudri:

“A man said: Apostle of Allah, I have a slave-girl and I withdraw the penis from her (while having intercourse), and I dislike that she becomes pregnant. I intend (by intercourse) what the men intend by it. The Jews say that withdrawing the penis (azl) is burying the living girls on a small scale. He (the Prophet) said: The Jews told a lie. If Allah intends to create it, you cannot turn it away.”

Muhammad created the following Quranic verse allowing for the owning and raping of sex slaves as a divine right:

If you fear that you will not act justly towards the orphans, marry such women as seem good to you, two, three, four; but if you fear you will not be equitable, then only one, or what **your right hands own**; so it is likelier you will not be partial. (Quran 4:3)

## **RAPE AND GANG RAPE**

Bukhari (62:137) - An account of women taken as slaves in battle by Muhammad's men after their husbands and fathers were killed. The women were raped with Muhammad's approval.

Bukhari (34:432) - Another account of females taken captive and raped with Muhammad's approval. In this case it is evident that the Muslims intend on selling the women after raping them because they are concerned about devaluing their price by impregnating them. Muhammad is asked about coitus interruptus

## **Rape Sanctioned By Allah AKA Muhammad**

Sunan Abu Dawud: Abu Sa'id al-Khudri said: The Apostle of Allah (may peace be upon him) sent a military expedition to Awtas on the occasion of the battle of Hunain. They met their enemy and fought with them. They defeated them and took them captives. Some of the Companions of the Apostle of Allah (may peace be upon him) were reluctant to have intercourse with the female captives in the presence of their husbands who were unbelievers.

So Allah, the Exalted, send down the Qur'anic verse: "And all married women (are forbidden) unto you save those (captives) whom your right hand possess." [Surah 4:24] ...Sunan Abu Dawud, Book V, Chapter 711, Number 2150

## **TORTUROUS PUNISHMENT**

### **Hands Must Be Cut Off For Theft**

Volume 8, Book 81, Number 780:

Narrated 'Aisha: The Prophet said, "The hand should be cut off for stealing something that is worth a quarter of a Dinar or more."

The Quran says:

5:38 "Cut off the hands of thieves, whether they are male or female, as punishment for what they have done—a deterrent from God: God is almighty and wise." 39 "But if anyone repents after his wrongdoing and makes amends, God will accept his repentance: God is most forgiving and merciful. (Haleem)"

## **MUHAMMAD WAS A TORTURER**

Muhammad Ordered Feet And Hands Cut Off And Eyes Burnt Out, And Left To Suffer A Horrendous Death

From Sahih Bukhari, 1.234

Narrated Abu Qilaba: Anas said, "Some people of 'Ukl or 'Uraina tribe came to Medina and its climate did not suit them. So the Prophet ordered them to go to the herd of (Milch) camels and to drink their milk and urine (as a medicine). So they went as directed and after they became healthy, they killed the shepherd of the Prophet and drove away all the camels. The news reached the Prophet early in the morning and he sent (men) in their pursuit and they were captured and brought at noon. He then ordered to cut their hands and feet (and it was done), and their eyes were branded with heated pieces of iron. They were put in 'Al-Harra' and when they asked for water, no water was given to them." Abu Qilaba said, "Those people committed theft and murder, became infidels after embracing Islam and fought against Allah and His Apostle."

### **Allah AKA Muhammad Approved**

5.33 The punishment of those who wage war against Allah and His apostle and strive to make mischief in the land is only this, that they should be murdered or crucified or their hands and their feet should be cut off on opposite sides or they should be imprisoned [Pickthall and Yusuf Ali have "exiled" rather than "imprisoned"]

### **BOOTY**

Ishaq: 307 "The 'Spoils of War' Surah came down from Allah to His Prophet concerning the distribution of the booty when the Muslims showed their evil nature. Allah took it out of their hands and gave it to the Apostle."

Bukhari V1B7N1331 "The Prophet said, 'I have been given five things which were not given to any one else before me. 1. Allah made me victorious by awe by His terrorizing my enemies. 2. The earth has been made for me. 3. Booty has been made lawful for me yet it was not lawful for anyone else before me. 4. I have been given the right of intercession. 5. Every Prophet used to be sent to his nation only but I have been sent to all mankind.'"

### **Allah AKA Muhammad: Mafia Chieftain of the Universe**

Quran-8:1— "They ask thee concerning (things taken as) spoils of war (booty). Say: "(such) spoils are at the disposal of Allah and the Messenger: So fear Allah, and keep straight the relations between yourselves: Obey Allah and His Messenger, if ye do believe."

Quran-8:41— “And know that out of all the booty that ye may acquire (in war), a fifth share is assigned to Allah, - and to the Messenger, and to near relatives, orphans, the needy, and the wayfarer, - if ye do believe in Allah and in the revelation We sent down to Our servant on the Day of Testing, - the Day of the meeting of the two forces. For Allah hath power over all things.”

According to verse 8:41, a fifth share of the booty

## **STONING**

Narrated Abu Huraira and Zaid bin Khalid Al-Juhani:

“A bedouin came and said, "O Allah's Apostle! Judge between us according to Allah's Laws." His opponent got up and said, "He is right. Judge between us according to Allah's Laws." The bedouin said, "My son was a laborer working for this man, and he committed illegal sexual intercourse with his wife. The people told me that my son should be stoned to death; so, in lieu of that, I paid a ransom of one hundred sheep and a slave girl to save my son. Then I asked the learned scholars who said, "Your son has to be lashed one-hundred lashes and has to be exiled for one year." The Prophet said, "No doubt I will judge between you according to Allah's Laws. The slave-girl and the sheep are to go back to you, and your son will get a hundred lashes and one year exile." He then addressed somebody, "O Unais! go to the wife of this (man) and stone her to death" So, Unais went and stoned her to death.”

Allah AKA Muhammad Approves (The only reason stoning is not in the Quran is because when Muhammad was dying a billy goat came into his room and ate the stoning laws of Muhammad AKA Allah. Unfortunately Billy did not eat the entire Quran)

24:2 “The woman and the man guilty of adultery or fornication, - flog each of them with a hundred stripes: Let not compassion move you in their case, in a matter prescribed by God, if ye believe in God and the Last Day: and let a party of the Believers witness their punishment.”

## **SLAVERY**

Muhammad was a slaver who owned 40 slaves.

*Tabari VIII:56* According to Aisha: 'A great number of Mustaliq were wounded. The Messenger took many captives, and they were divided among all the Muslims. Juwayriyah was one of the slaves. When the Prophet divided the captives by lot [a gambling game], Juwayriyah fell to the share of Thabit, Muhammad's cousin. Juwayriyah was the most beautiful woman and she captivated anyone who looked at her. She came to the Apostle seeking his help. As soon as I saw her at the door of my chamber, I took a dislike to her, and I knew that he would see in her what I saw.'"

Allah AKA Muhammad made slavery a divine institution.

16.75 “Allah sets forth a parable: (consider) a slave, the property of another, (who) has no power over anything, and one whom We have granted from Ourselves a goodly sustenance so he spends from it secretly and openly; are the two alike? (All) praise is due to Allah!”

## **HATE THE JEWS/CHRISTIANS**

### **MUHAMMAD’S TEACHINGS OF PURE HATE**

#### **MASS EXILE FROM SAUDI ARABIA**

*Sahih Muslim* Book 019, Number 4366:

“It has been narrated by 'Umar b. al-Khattib that he heard the Messenger of Allah (may peace be upon him) say: “I will expel the Jews and Christians from the Arabian Peninsula and will not leave any but Muslim.” [This single sahi hadith tells everything about Islamic intolerance]

Ibn Ishaq, Life of Muhammad (Karachi) p. 553:

...the Apostle of Allah said, “Kill any Jew that falls into your power.”

Bukhari 4:52:176 Narrated 'Abdullah bin 'Umar: Allah's Apostle said, "You (i.e. Muslims) will fight with the Jews till some of them will hide behind stones. The stones will (betray them) saying, 'O 'Abdullah (i.e. slave of Allah)! There is a Jew hiding behind me; so kill him.'"

Allah AKA Muhammad Hated Jews/Christians

5:60 God has cursed the Jews, transforming them into apes and swine and those who serve the devil.

5:72 Christians will burn in the fire.

9:29 Fight against such of those have been given the Scriptures, Jews and Christians, as believe not in Allah nor the last Day

### **MUHAMMAD HATED MUSLIM WOMEN: ALLAH AKA HIS CREATOR HATED THEM**

For detailed hatred of Muslim women go to: <http://www.islamreform.net/new-page-187.htm>

"[Muhammad] said, ‘*Is not the evidence of two women equal to the witness of one man?*’ They replied in the affirmative. He said, ‘*This is the deficiency in her intelligence.*’”  
[Bukhari 6:301]



Among the inmates of Heaven women will be the minority" (Sahih Muslim 36: 6600)

"I (Mohammed) have seen that the majority of the dwellers of Hell-Fire were women... [because] they are ungrateful to their husbands and they are deficient in intelligence" (Sahih Bukhari: 2:18:161; 7:62:125, 1:6:301).

#### *Women Are Deficient In Intelligence*

Males are to inherit twice that of females. [Quran 4:11]

#### *Women Are Inferior to Men*

Men are "a degree" above women. [Quran 2:228]

A woman is worth one-half a man. [Quran 2:282]

#### *Women Are Dirty*

"When it's time to pray and you have just used the toilet or touched a woman, be sure to wash up. If you can't find any water, just rub some dirt on yourself." [Quran 5:6]

#### *Most Muslim Women will go to Hell*

"And it is said unto the angels): Assemble those who did wrong, together with their wives (no matter how they behaved), and what they used to worship." [Quran 37:22-23]

### **ISLAM TO BE SUPERIOR TO ALL OTHER RELIGIONS**

Muhammad said, "I have been ordered to fight with the people till they say, none has the right to be worshipped but Allah" (Al Bukhari vol. 4:196)

Quran 9:33. - "It is He {Allah} Who has sent His Messenger (Muhammad) with guidance and the religion of truth (Islam), to make it superior over all religions even though the Mushrikun (polytheists, pagans, idolaters, disbelievers in the Oneness of Allah) hate (it)."

**2:193** (or 2:189): "... Fight the unbelievers until **no other religion except Islam** is left."

### **APOSTATES MUST DIE**

Muhammad said, "Whoever changes his Islamic religion, kill him." (Hadith Al Bukhari vol 9.84.57. )

## **Allah AKA Muhammad Ordered Apostates To Be Murdered**

### **Verse 4:89**

“They but wish that ye should reject Faith, as they do, and thus be on the same footing (as they): But take not friends from their ranks until they flee in the way of Allah (From what is forbidden). But if they turn renegades, seize them and slay them wherever ye find them; and (in any case) take no friends or helpers from their ranks;”

### **BEATING YOUR MUSLIM WIFE**

Muslim (4:2127) - Muhammad struck his favorite wife, Aisha, in the chest one evening when she left the house without his permission. Aisha narrates, "He struck me on the chest which caused me pain."

Bukhari (7:72:715) - A woman came to Muhammad and begged her to stop her husband from beating her. Her skin was bruised so badly that she it is described as being "greener" than the green veil she was wearing. Muhammad did not admonish her husband, but instead ordered her to return to him and submit to his sexual desires.

Abu Dawud (2142) - "The Prophet said: A man will not be asked as to why he beat his wife."

Bukhari 7:62:132 Narrated 'Abdullah bin Zam'a:

The Prophet said, "None of you should flog his wife as he flogs a slave and then have sexual intercourse with her in the last part of the day." (Ideally when you flog one of your wives, let her recuperate that day and sleep with your other wives or your slave girls.)

### **Allah AKA Muhammad Like His Creator Had No Toleration For disobedient Muslim Wives**

**The Quran:** (4:34) - "Men are the maintainers of women because Allah has made some of them to excel others and because they spend out of their property; the good women are therefore obedient, guarding the unseen as Allah has guarded; and (as to) those on whose part you fear desertion, admonish them, and leave them alone in the sleeping-places and beat them; then if they obey you, do not seek a way against them; surely Allah is High, Great."

### **The Tyranny Of Muslim Men Over Muslim Women**

Bukhari (6:321) - Muhammad is asked whether it is right for a young woman to leave her house without a veil. He replies, "She should cover herself with the veil of her companion."

Bukhari (60:282) - After Muhammad issued the command (Sura 24:31) for women to cover themselves, the women responded by tearing up sheets to cover their faces.

Narrated Safiya bint Shaiba: 'Aisha used to say: "When (the Verse): "They should draw their veils over their necks and bosoms," was revealed, (the ladies) cut their waist sheets at the edges and covered their faces with the cut pieces."

Abu Dawud (2:641) – “The Prophet (peace\_be\_upon\_him) said: ‘Allah does not accept the prayer of a woman who has reached puberty unless she wears a veil.’”

Bukhari (52:250) - [The Prophet said] "It is not permissible for a man to be alone with a woman, and no lady should travel except with a Muhram (i.e. her husband or a person whom she cannot marry in any case for ever; e.g. her father, brother, etc.)." - Neither is a woman allowed to travel by herself.

### **Allah AKA Muhammad Never Disappointed His Creator**

Sura (24:31) - "And say to the believing women that they cast down their looks and guard their private parts and do not display their ornaments except what appears thereof, and let them wear their head-coverings over their bosoms, and not display their ornaments except to their husbands or their fathers, or the fathers of their husbands, or their sons, or the sons of their husbands, or their brothers, or their brothers' sons, or their sisters' sons, or their women, or those whom their right hands possess, or the male servants not having need (of women), or the children who have not attained knowledge of what is hidden of women; and let them not strike their feet so that what they hide of their ornaments may be known." The woman is not only supposed to cover herself, except with relatives, but to look down, so as to avoid making eye-contact with men.

Sura (33:59) – “O Prophet! Tell your wives and your daughters and the women of the believers to draw their cloaks (veils) over their bodies (when outdoors). That is most convenient that they should be known and not molested.”

Sura (33:32-33) “O Consorts of the Prophet! ... stay quietly in your houses, and make not a dazzling display, like that of the former Times of Ignorance” (i.e., Muhammad's wives should stay in their houses)

Sura (33:30) “O Consorts of the Prophet! If...any of you are devout, obedient, and submissive in the service to Allah and His Messenger, and does good, to her shall We grant her reward twice. We have prepared for her a generously rich provision.”

Sura (33:53) “And when ye ask (his ladies) for anything ye want, ask them from before a screen: that makes for greater purity for your hearts and for theirs. Nor is it right for you that ye should... marry his [Muhammad's] widows after him at any time. Truly such a thing is in God's sight an enormity.” (i.e., Nobody can marry Muhammad's widows after he is dead.)

## **Muslim Women Are Sex Object For Men's Enjoyment**

From Hadith: Bukhari (60:51)

Narrated Jabir: Jews used to say: "If one has sexual intercourse with his wife from the back, then she will deliver a squint-eyed child." So this Verse was revealed:-- "Your wives are a tilth unto you; so go to your tilth when or how you will." (2.223)

Muhammad said: "If a husband calls his wife to his bed [i.e. to have sexual relation] and she refuses and causes him to sleep in anger, the angels will curse her till morning." -- Bukhari 4.54.460

"By him in Whose Hand lies my life, a woman cannot carry out the right of her Lord, till she carries out the right of her husband. And if he asks her to surrender herself [to him for sexual intercourse] she should not refuse him even if she is on a camel's saddle." -- Ibn Majah 1854

The concept of spousal rape does not exist in Islam. Muslim women are the property of Muslim men.

## **OBEYING THE MESSENGER IS OBEYING ALLAH AKA MUHAMMAD**

Being one and the same - obeying Allah AKA Muhammad AKA Allah was obeying the creator of Allah and his imaginary creation Allah. (33:21) Muhammad is the "excellent example" of conduct for Muslims. For 91 verses that obeying Muhammad was obeying his phony Allah go to: <http://www.islamreform.net/new-page-41.htm>

Quran 4:80 "He who obeys the Messenger, obeys Allah."

Sahih Muslim (1:33) The Messenger of Allah said: "I have been commanded to fight against people till they testify that there is no god but Allah, that Muhammad is the messenger of Allah..." The last part is the Shahada, or profession of faith in Islam.

These are crimes against humanity. These are crimes against God. A child molester. Wife abuser. Rapist. Murderer. Torturer. Terrorist just a small sample of the criminality of Muhammad listed above. Just as Adolf Hitler and Comrade Stalin were not prophets of God so to Muhammad was no prophet of God. If God picked such a prophet to represent him anywhere in the universe and gave divine sanction and support/encouragement to His prophet's criminal acts then God would no longer be Moral Perfection and therefore no longer God but just an accomplice to his evil prophet. God would be equally guilty in all the criminal acts perpetrated by Muhammad. God would be just a wanton criminal. A Monster of the Universe

## THERE IS NO ISLAM WITH A HUMAN FACE

### PROPHET MUHAMMAD WAS A MONSTER OF HISTORY WITH NO HUMAN FACE

Totalitarian regimes mask their criminal brutality by surrounding the leader with happy smiling faces. On May 1st, May day under Communist dictatorships block leaders would go thru apartment buildings under their control to ensure that all residents were on the streets yelling and cheering to celebrate the peoples Communist paradise.



The block leader would carefully scrutinize those under his/her control and if someone was not yelling or cheering loud enough, they could find themselves arrested later and shipped into slave labor. In Czechoslovak, 50,000 were shipped to work in the gulags of Siberia. Another 200,000 were forced to labor in the uranium mines without any protections. Many are dying from cancer to this day. People and entire families would suddenly disappear and nobody dared question their absence. Borders areas with Western countries were populated only by trusted party members. All strangers were subject to immediate arrest and trial for treason. Children were constantly asked by their teachers what their parents thought of the Communist Party and the President. If the children replied that their parents thought the Communist Party was a party of traitors and the President was a criminal - the parents would be immediately arrested and stripped of their children.

Children were brainwashed daily on the greatness of the Party and its leaders, They joined the pioneers. For happy faces of pioneers go to:

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=FSqSIIjIDkg>

Soviet Pioneer Song: May There Always Be Sunshine

[http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=TJw9sKg4Z\\_0](http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=TJw9sKg4Z_0)



### **Youth pioneers wearing gas masks ready to die for the party**

It was [Alexander Dubček](#) who tried to reform communism turning it into socialism with a human face.

April, Dubček launched an "[Action Programme](#)" of liberalizations, which included increasing freedom of the press, freedom of speech, and freedom of movement, with economic emphasis on [consumer goods](#) and the possibility of a multiparty government. The programme was based on the view that "Socialism cannot mean only liberation of the working people from the domination of exploiting class relations, but must make more provisions for a fuller life of the personality than any bourgeois democracy."<sup>[13]</sup> It would limit the power of the secret police<sup>[14]</sup>. It spoke of a ten-year transition through which democratic elections would be made possible and a new form of democratic socialism would replace the status quo.<sup>[17]</sup>

Dubček's reform program ended with a Soviet invasion in 1968 and his arrest.

There is no moderate Communism. There is no reform Communism. There is no Communism with a human face.

There is no moderate White Supremacy, Skin Heads, Ku Klux Klan (KKK), Aryan Brotherhood (AB), Nazism, Neo-Nazism, Fascism. There is no reform White Supremacy, Skin Heads, Ku Klux Klan (KKK), Aryan Brotherhood (AB) Nazism, Neo - Nazism, Fascism. There is no White Supremacy, Skin Heads, Ku Klux Klan (KKK), Aryan Brotherhood (AB) Nazism, Neo - Nazism, Fascism with a human face.

There were no Communists and Communism. Communists and Communism are one and the same.

There were no Nazis and Nazism. Nazis and Nazism were one and the same.

There are no Fascists and Fascism. Fascists and Fascism are one and the same.

There are no White Supremacists and White Supremacism. White Supremacists and White Supremacism are one and the same.

There are no Ku Klux Klan (KKK), Aryan Brotherhood (AB), Skin Heads and Ku Klux Klanism, Aryan Brotherhoodism, Skin Headism. They were all one and the same.

There are no Neo-Nazis and Neo-Nazism. Neo-Nazis and Neo-Nazism are one and the same.

There is no Islam and Islamism. Islam and Islamism are one and the same.

There is no moderate Islam. There is no reform Islam. There is no Islam with a human face.

There is absolutely no difference between Islam and any of these Far Right Ideologies that have and are polluting the very humanity of humanity EXCEPT that Islam utilizes God as an accomplice to justify its criminal acts. **Islam is the most evil, violent, hateful Far Right Ideology in history.**

**We can state without Equivocation that:**

**THERE ARE NO GOOD WHITE SUPREMACISTS/ SKIN HEADS/KKK/ARYAN BROTHERHOOD (AB)**

**THERE WERE NO GOOD NAZIS/SS/GESTAPO**

**THERE ARE NO GOOD NEO - NAZIS**

**THERE ARE NO GOOD FASCISTS**

**THERE ARE NO GOOD COMMUNISTS**

**THERE ARE NO GOOD MUSLIM MEN**

**THERE IS NO ISLAM WITH A HUMAN FACE**

Islam is a totalitarian ideology whose main purpose is to conquer the world for Allah, destroy all other religions and murder all kafirs who refuse to convert to Islam. In order to mask its evil brutality Islam utilizes God to justify it's great crimes.

Prophet Muhammad was a brutal dictator who murdered all opponents. He had followers who missed prayer burnt alive in their homes. A woman who had committed adultery was stoned to death in front of her new born baby. At massacre of Banu Qurayza,

Muhammad ordered 600/900 Jews behead. Young Jewish boys pants were pulled down and those with the slightest trace of pubes were taken away and beheaded. (Abu Dawud 4390). He was a monster with no human face.

Muhammad, a monster with no human face said it would be permissible to kill a child who has no prospect of accepting Islam.

The Messenger of Allah (may peace be upon him) used not to kill the children, so thou shouldst not kill them unless you could know what Khadir had known about the child he killed, or you could distinguish between a child who would grow up to be a believer (and a child who would grow up to be a non-believer), so that you killed the (prospective) non-believer and left the (prospective) believer aside. (Sahih Muslim 4457)

After capturing Mecca, the prophet of Islam also ordered the execution of two “singing girls” who had mocked him in verse:

“...two singing-girls Fartana and her friend who used to sing satirical songs about the apostle, so he ordered that they should be killed...” (Ibn Ishaq/Hisham 819)

Muhammad, a monster with no human face ordered opponents assassinated.

A Banu Nadir Jew named Ka'b al-Ashraf was actually murdered on Muhammad's order just a few months before the entire tribe was attacked. The excuse was that he had lamented the killing of the Meccans at the Battle of Badr and responded by composing crude poems about the Muslim women:

Then he composed amatory verses of an insulting nature about the Muslim women. The apostle said...”Who will rid me of al-Ashraf?” [Another Muslim} said, “I will deal with him for you O apostle of Allah. I will kill him.” He said, “Do so if you can.” (Ibn Ishaq/Hisham 550)

Muhammad, a monster with no human face ordered murder.

The apostle said, “Kill any Jew that falls into your power.” Thereupon Muhayyisa leapt upon Ibn Sunayna, a Jewish merchant with whom they had social and business relations, and killed him. Huwayyisa was not a Muslim at the time, though he was the elder brother. When Muhayyisa killed [the Jew] Huwayyisa began to beat him, saying, “You enemy of God, did you kill him when much of the fat on your belly comes from his wealth?” Muhayyisa answered, “Had the one who ordered me to kill him ordered me to kill you I would have cut your head off.” This was the beginning of Huwayyisa's acceptance of Islam... [Huwayyisa] replied exclaimed, “By God, a religion which can bring you to this is marvelous!” and he became a Muslim. (Ibn Ishaq/Hisham 554)

Muhammad was told about the various murders, including that of the sleeping shepherd whose only "crime" was to say that he would never be Muslim. He reaction: {Muhammad] laughed so that one could see his back teeth. He asked me the news and when I told him what had happened, he blessed me. (Tabari 1441)



Muhammad a monster with no human face murdered captives.

Muhammad ordered the death of captive Uqba bin Abu Mu'ayt brought before him. Uqba bin Abu Mu'ayt pled for his life:

“When the apostle ordered him to be killed, Uqba said, “But who will look after my children, O Muhammad?” [Muhammad’s reply] “Hell.” The man was put to death. (Ibn Ishaq/Hisham 458)

Muhammad, a monster with no human face murdered women.

We went with the apostle on the raid of Dhatu'l-Riqa of Nakhil and a man killed the wife of one of the polytheists. When the apostle was on his way back, her husband, who had been away, returned and heard the news of her death. He swore that he would not rest until he had taken vengeance. (Ibn Ishaq/Hisham 665)

Muhammad ordered a Jewish woman put to death for literally losing her mind while the male members of her family were being beheaded (Ibn Ishaq/Hisham 691). There were also several women that the prophet of Islam ordered killed for adultery. One example: He went to her in the morning and she made a confession. And Allah's Messenger (may peace be upon him) made pronouncement about her and she was stoned to death. (Sahih Muslim 4209)

Muhammad, a monster with no human face murdered the elderly.

Muhammad ordered the death of an elderly man named Abu Afak. Abu Afak was said to be 120 years old. His "crime" was to compose satirical poetry about Muhammad in protest of the many assassinations that the prophet of Islam had ordered.

For "showing disaffection," Abu Afak himself became Muhammad's next victim: The apostle said, "Who will deal with this rascal for me?" Whereupon [a follower] went forth and killed him. (Ibn Ishaq/Hisham 995).

The official reason is that Abu Afak, "gave the lie to Allah's religion." The assassin is said to have mocked his victim by thrusting the knife into the body while saying, "take that, Abu Afak, in spite of your age." (Ibn Ishaq/Hisham 995)

### **The captured women included Umm Qirfa:**

She was a very old woman, wife of Malik. Her daughter [and another] were also taken. Zayd ordered Qays to kill Umm Qirfa and he killed her cruelly by putting a rope between her legs and to two camels and driving them until they rent her in two). (Ibn Ishaq/Hisham 980)

Yet another elderly man was murdered following Muhammad’s order to kill any non-Muslim who remained in Mecca following his capture of the city in 630. (The early part

of the Qur'an's ninth chapter commands the slayings). The man's death is recorded in Bukhari:

The Prophet recited Suratan-Najm (103) at Mecca and prostrated while reciting it and those who were with him did the same except an old man who took a handful of small stones or earth and lifted it to his forehead and said, "This is sufficient for me." Later on, I saw him killed as a non-believer. (Bukhari 19:173)

Muhammad, a monster with no human face ordered forced conversions.

Muhammad sent one of his men to Yemen with a military force, where a local pagan leader was told, "Testify that none has the right to be worshipped except Allah, or else I will chop off your neck." (Bukhari 59:643)

Muhammad, a monster with no human face raped his captives and ordered their rape.

This hadith provides the context for the Qur'anic verse (4:24):

The Apostle of Allah (may peace be upon him) sent a military expedition to Awtas on the occasion of the battle of Hunain. They met their enemy and fought with them. They defeated them and took them captives.

Some of the Companions of the Apostle of Allah (may peace be upon him) were reluctant to have intercourse with the female captives in the presence of their husbands who were unbelievers. So Allah, the Exalted, sent down the Qur'anic verse: (Sura 4:24) "And all married women (are forbidden) unto you save those (captives) whom your right hands possess." (Abu Dawud 2150, also Muslim 3433)

Muhammad, a monster with no human face sold women and children into slavery.

The women of the Banu Mustaliq were sold into slavery following their rape:

"We went out with Allah's Messenger (may peace be upon him) on the expedition to the Bi'l-Mustaliq and took captive some excellent Arab women; and we desired them, for we were suffering from the absence of our wives, (but at the same time) we also desired ransom for them. So we decided to have sexual intercourse with them but by observing 'azl (Withdrawing the male sexual organ before emission of semen to avoid-conception). But we said: We are doing an act whereas Allah's Messenger is amongst us; why not ask him? So we asked Allah's Messenger (may peace be upon him), and he said: It does not matter"(Sahih Muslim 3371)

In fact, female slaves were traded like any other simple commodity by Muhammad and his band of devoted followers:

"Then the apostle sent Sa-d b. Zayd al-Ansari, brother of Abdu'l-Ashal with some of the captive women of Banu Qurayza to Najd and he sold them for horses and weapons." (Ibn Ishaq/Hisham/Hisham 693)

Muhammad, a monster with no human face was a slaver who owned and traded his slaves.

There came a slave and pledged allegiance to Allah's Apostle (may peace be upon him) on migration; he (the Holy Prophet) did not know that he was a slave. Then there came his master and demanded him back, whereupon Allah's Apostle (may peace be upon him) said: Sell him to me. And he bought him for two black slaves, and he did not afterwards take allegiance from anyone until he had asked him whether he was a slave (or a free man) (Sahih Muslim 3901).

The apostle told them to tell Malik that if he came to him as a Muslim he would return his family and property to him and give him a hundred camels. (Ibn Ishaq/Hisham 879) The apostle gave Ali a girl called Rayta; and he gave Uthman a girl called Zaynab; and he gave Umar a girl whom Umar gave to his son Abdullah. (Ibn Ishaq/Hisham 878)

The Prophet sent for a woman from the emigrants and she had a slave who was a carpenter. The Prophet said to her "Order your slave to prepare the wood (pieces) for the pulpit." So, she ordered her slave who went and cut the wood from the tamarisk and prepared the pulpit, for the Prophet. When he finished the pulpit, the woman informed the Prophet that it had been finished. The Prophet asked her to send that pulpit to him, so they brought it. The Prophet lifted it and placed it at the place in which you see now. (Bukari 47:743)

Muhammad, a monster with no human face murdered those who insulted him.

A Jewish woman used to insult the Prophet and say bad things about him, so a man strangled her until she died, and the Prophet ruled that no blood money was due in this case. (Abu Dawud 4349)

Muhammad, a monster with no human face was a child molester who established pedophilia.

The legitimacy of "marrying" pre-pubescent girls who have not yet had their "monthly courses" is established both in the Qur'an and in the "perfect example" set by Muhammad for his Muslim followers.

The Qur'an:

Such of your women as have passed the age of monthly courses, for them the prescribed period, if ye have any doubts, is three months, and for those who have no courses (it is the same) (65:4)

The rule concerns divorce, which obviously implies marriage. Muhammad wanted believing men to observe a three month waiting period before evicting their wives, to make sure that they weren't pregnant.

Muhammad elsewhere encouraged his men to marry "young girls" for sexual pleasure:

"Allah's Apostle said to me, "Have you got married O Jabir?" I replied, "Yes." He asked "What, a virgin or a matron?" I replied, "Not a virgin but a matron." He said, "Why did you not marry a young girl who would have fondled with you?" (Bukhari 59:382)

Lest there be any doubt by what he meant by "young," Muhammad set the example by marrying and having sex with Aisha when she was only 9-years-old:

'A'isha (Allah be pleased with her) reported: "Allah's Messenger (may peace be upon him) married me when I was six years old, and I was admitted to his house at the age of nine." (Sahih Muslim 3309) This is confirmed in many other hadith as well.

According to the most reliable traditions, Aisha brought her dolls to Muhammad's house for play (Muslim 3341) and he would fondle the little girl in the tub while taking baths with her (Bukhari 6:298). Aisha was just a teenager by the time Muhammad died, but she had already spent over half her life in marriage to him

Even worse for Muslims is that part of the Qur'an was actually "revealed" while Muhammad was in bed with this little girl:  
[Muhammad said] "...the Divine Inspirations do not come to me on any of the beds except that of Aisha." (Bukhari 47:755)

As in Communism, in Islam the indoctrination and dehumanization of children turn young Muslim boys into killing machines.

[http://www.familysecuritymatters.org/publications/id.11242/pub\\_detail.asp](http://www.familysecuritymatters.org/publications/id.11242/pub_detail.asp)

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=d1u7xzzqtpA>

<http://www.godlikeproductions.com/forum1/message1810662/pg1>

[http://www.longwarjournal.org/archives/2013/04/turkistan\\_islamic\\_pa\\_2.php](http://www.longwarjournal.org/archives/2013/04/turkistan_islamic_pa_2.php)

Imam Ja'far as-Sadiq (a.s.) narrates that a young man presented himself to the Holy Prophet (S) and said that he wanted to participate in *Jihad*. The Holy Prophet (S) told him:

*"Certainly, go for Jihad in the way of Allah. If you are killed you will be alive near Allah and be provided sustenance from Him. The recompense for your sacrifice would be with Allah. If you return alive your sins would be washed off as if you were a newborn child."*

Muhammad was a totalitarian monster of history.

## PROPHET MUHAMMAD WAS NO PROPHET OF GOD

There are many men who have lived horrid lives. They have raped, murdered, and burned cities to the ground. Horrible acts. Then one day, they come to realize their wrong actions and totally change. They beg for forgiveness, spend the rest of their lives atoning for their crimes. In the case of Islam, Muhammad was criminal par excellence, who even created a God, called Allah, to give divine sanction to his criminality. Muhammad invented the Allah of the Quran, a criminal incarnate of his own, whom he used for creating a perfect totalitarian order as outlined in the Quran. Muhammad was Allah and Allah was Muhammad – a child molester, wife abuser, rapist, murderer, torturer, and terrorist – a small sample of the criminality of Muhammad. If God picked such a prophet to represent him anywhere in the universe and gave divine sanction and support/encouragement to His prophet's criminal acts, that God would no longer be of Moral Perfection. That God would be fraud creation to act as an accomplice of this evil prophet.

We have seen above that the Quran is not book of Moral Perfection, but an epitome of moral perversity, filled with numerous exhortations to immoral and criminal acts, which could not come from God or spoken to Muhammad by Angel Gabriel.

Muhammad not only created a book, the Quran, in the name of his invented God Allah, filled with exhortations to commit all kinds of horrible actions, he also put every command/exhortation to real action. He engaged in mass murder, torture, raping of sex slaves, plunder, and selling captured slaves to raise funds to finance his jihadi armies. Muhammad owned 40 slaves. He was also a horrible child molester. A child is the very essence of innocence. Molesting a child sexually is evil. To start sexually molesting a child at the age of 6 and start raping her at the age of 9 for a prophet would be deemed a most despicable evil act humans can commit. And this is exactly what Muhammad did to his child-wife, Aisha.

Muhammad, as presented in his actions outlined in the Quran, Hadiths, and Sira, was one of the most diabolically evil persons seen in history: A Monster of History. Such an evil incarnate can never be a representative of the supreme creator of Universe. Therefore, Muhammad was not a true prophet, and his Allah was no God, period!

In conclusion, Allah of the Quran is a fraudulent creation. Allah (of the Quran) does not exist. There is no Allah as depicted in Islam. Allah was created by Muhammad, and he represented nothing but the mind of Muhammad himself, and a most vile one. Muhammad never received God's message via Gabriel. The Quran is a fraudulent book – a product of a psychotic human mind - Muhammad. **ISLAM IS “EVIL IN THE NAME OF GOD™”**

## DEMOCRACY: ONE MAN/ONE WOMAN ONE VOTE FOREVER

### DEMOCRACY ON EARTH AND IN HEAVEN

Many forms of Government have been tried, and will be tried in this world of sin and woe. No one pretends that democracy is perfect or all-wise. Indeed, it has been said that democracy is the worst form of government except all those other forms that have been tried from time to time. **Sir Winston Churchill**, *Hansard*, November 11, 1947

"If the freedom of speech is taken away then dumb and silent we may be led, like sheep to the slaughter." ~ *George Washington*

**Democracy** is a **form of government** in which all eligible citizens participate equally—either directly or through elected representatives—in the proposal, development, and creation of **laws**. It encompasses social, economic and cultural conditions that enable the free and equal practice of **political self-determination**.

The term originates from the **Greek** δημοκρατία (*dēmokratía*) "rule of the people". The English word dates to the 16th century, from the older **Middle French** and **Middle Latin** equivalents.

In most modern democracies, the whole body of all eligible citizens remain the sovereign power but political power is exercised indirectly through elected representatives; this is called **representative democracy**. The concept of representative democracy arose largely from ideas and institutions that developed during the **European Middle Ages**, the **Reformation**, the **Age of Enlightenment**, and the **American** and **French** revolutions.

### THE ENLIGHTENMENT

The intellectual, moral basis of Western Civilization was established from 1600 to 1790 in what has become known as the Age of Enlightenment or The Age of Reason. The ideals of human rights – the equality of all mankind regardless of race, ethnic origin were created during this era leading not only to the French Declaration of The Rights of Man and of the Citizen but also to the American Declaration of Independence and the United States Bill of Rights.

The most important declaration of humanity in history: (We can NEVER repeat these words of freedom and human dignity and the two paragraphs following them enough times.)

**We hold these truths to be self-evident, that all men are created equal, that they are endowed by their Creator with certain unalienable Rights, that among these are Life, Liberty and the pursuit of Happiness.**

These are powerful, timeless words. They stand as a beacon for all humanity. These words are not only the Constitution of the United States - they are the Constitution of **ALL MANKIND. EVERY HUMAN BEING** has the full Constitutional, human right to equality and the unalienable rights of - Life, Liberty and the pursuit of Happiness.

There can be no life without liberty and no liberty without life. Democracy, freedom of speech and freedom of the press are the very essence of liberty. These freedoms are the very essence of humanity. **These freedoms are the very essence of humanity. They are the very essence of a meaningful life.**

Hundreds of thousands of Americans have offered their lives in defense of these words not only in the defense of America but countless countries and peoples over the past two hundred years.

Democracy believes in the equality of ALL human beings regardless of race, colour or ethnic origin - the total equality of women - the humanity of all races, and stands against extermination, racism, rape, terror, torture, and slavery. The rights of democratic citizens are protected through the principal of equality before the law, protected by the rule of law operating under the direction of the free-people elected representatives and the Constitution. Democracy is a system of rule by laws, not by individuals. In a democracy, the rule of law protects the rights of citizens, maintains order, and limits the power of government. This is central to democracy. All democratic citizens must constantly declare that the Constitution and its embodiment of Democracy and Freedom are NON NEGOTIABLE. ALL peoples of the world have the full right based on their humanity to the Constitutional protection of their life, liberty and pursuit of happiness and democracy and freedom.

These democratic rights are inviolate and timeless, eternal and forever and cannot be abridged or diminished in any way by a majority of the people or their elected representatives. The right to one man/one woman/one vote is forever and cannot be terminated into one man/one vote/one time.

Nov 30, 2010 - Before entering government in Turkey Recep Tayyip Erdoğan said: "**Democracy is like a train.** We shall get out when we arrive at the station we want."

Indeed democracy is like a train but a freedom train that travels thru the generations, epochs, timeless ages, until the end of time.

In a democratic society, I have the full right to think whatever I want to think. I have the full right to dream whatever I want to dream – no matter how monstrously evil my dreams may be. I have a right to speak whatever I want to speak without the intent of harming others. I have the right to write whatever I want to write without inciting violence. I have the full right to denigrate any ideology, religion, race, and creed without inciting violence against an individual – no matter how despicable. Nothing is off limits. However, my rights to freedom of speech cannot be used to destroy your right to freedom

of speech. The fastest way to terminate someone's freedom of speech is to kill him. By murdering, you not only end that person's freedom of speech, but you instill a terminating fear into the heart of freedom. You send a powerful message to all free peoples that they are next to be killed if they dare defy you. Incitement to violence for political/religious purposes is a direct violation of the guaranteed Constitutional right to Life and Liberty. I have absolutely no democratic right to speak violence, write to encourage or instigate acts of violence, threaten violence or commit acts of violence. Period! Such acts go against the right to life and liberty – the very essence of the Constitution and are therefore Treason. This is the death knell of freedom.

## FREEDOM OF RELIGION

One of the greatest democratic freedoms of the Western democratic world is Freedom of Religion. However this great freedom of religious belief does not allow the establishment of a state religion, human sacrifice, honor killing, murdering apostates, *dhimmitude for Christians and Jews, raping and then enslaving kafir women and children, subjugation and repression of women*, extermination of unbelievers, killing those who condemn Islamic teachings, destroying the constitution and with it freedom and democracy.

## ISLAM IS ANTI DEMOCRACY AND FREEDOM

The greatest threat that democratic peoples worldwide face is Islam.

Islam is a totalitarian, political, military ideology hiding under the guise of being a religion whose main doctrine is to conquer all the nations of the world, destroy all other religions, murder all those who refuse to convert, enslave their women and children, impose *dhimmitude* on Christians and Jews and destroy all manmade constitutions, destroy all democracy and freedom and replace with Sharia Law. Islam is the Anti - Enlightenment.

In Islam, there is no equality of non Muslims with Muslims, women both Muslim and non Muslim with Muslim men. The equal rights of ALL mankind to democracy and freedom, the right to life , liberty and the pursuit of happiness does not exist. Allah's law as written in the Quran, lived by Muhammad as Sunna, and enacted in Sharia Law is eternal and supreme and can never be amended or changed - never.

These laws are stated in Quran teachings: Qur'an (4:59) , Qur'an (4:123) , Qur'an (5:49) , Qur'an (39:9) , Qur'an (45:21) , Qur'an (63:8) , Qur'an (4:141) , Qur'an (39:9) ,

Qur'an (33:36) - "*It is not fitting for a Believer, man or woman, when a matter has been decided by Allah and His Messenger to have any option about their decision.*"

Qur'an (9:3) - "*...Allah and his messenger are free from obligation to the unbelievers...*"



Qur'an (4:141) - "...And never will Allah grant to the unbelievers a way (to triumphs) over the believers."

Bukhari (89:251) - *Allah's Apostle said, "Whoever obeys me, obeys Allah, and whoever disobeys me, disobeys Allah, and whoever obeys the ruler I appoint, obeys me, and whoever disobeys him, disobeys me."* The ruler referred to here is the Caliph, who is appointed by Allah, not by popular election. Democratic rule has no legitimacy against the will of the Caliph who, as we see by chain of reference, has the authority of Allah.

Muslim (19:4294) - *"When you meet your enemies who are polytheists [Christians...], invite them to three courses of action. If they respond to any one of these, you also accept it and withhold yourself from doing them any harm. Invite them to (accept) Islam; if they respond to you, accept it from them and desist from fighting against them ... If they refuse to accept Islam, demand from them the Jizya. If they agree to pay, accept it from them and hold off your hands. If they refuse to pay the tax, seek Allah's help and fight them"* Non-Muslims are intended to be subordinate to Muslims.

In Islam there is no democratic rule of law that protects the rights of all citizens. following is just a sample of the barbaric barbarism of Sharia Law.

The punishment for apostasy (changing or discarding one's Islamic religion) is death. Fatwa 4400, Part No. 1, Page 334 & 335

Mocking anything in the Qur'an or the Sunnah of the prophet Muhammad is apostasy and therefore punishable by death. *Fatwa 2196, Part No. 2, Page 42*

Criticizing Islam, shari'ah law or the Sunnah of the prophet Muhammad is apostasy and therefore punishable by death. *Fatwa 21021, Part No. 1, Page 414*

Any Muslim who states a preference for democracy rather than shari'ah law or questions anything in the Qur'an or Sunnah is a kafir (disbeliever), considered an apostate, and therefore sentenced to death *Fatwa 19351, Part No. 22, Page 239-248*

The punishment for theft is amputation of the right hand up to the elbow. *Fatwa 3339, Part No. 22, Page 218 & 219*

The penalty for premarital sexual intercourse is 100 lashes with a whip and one year of exile. *Volume 3, Part No. 3, Page 359*

The penalty for adultery between a married man and a married woman is 100 lashes with a whip and death by stoning. *Volume 3, Part No. 3, Page 359*

- The penalty for homosexuality is death. *Fatwa 4324, Part No. 22, Page 53 & 54*
- Non-Muslims living in lands ruled by Islamic law (shari'ah) must pay a poll tax (jizyah) in order to be subdued and feel subjugated to Muslims. Refusal to pay the tax grants

Muslims the right to wage war against the non-Muslims. *Fatwa 4461, Part No. 1, Page 21 Volume 3, Part No. 3, Page 183-190*

- Waging war against non-Muslims (jihad), even those who are peaceful, is encouraged so that other religions and atheism will be purged from the earth. *Volume 2, Part No. 2, Page 437-440*
- If a Muslim kills a Jew or Christian dhimmi (one who pays the poll tax), he must pay only half the amount of "blood money" he would have to pay for killing a Muslim. *Fatwa 5414, Part No. 21, Page 24*

Women are not permitted to attend universities where both men and women are taught or all-female schools with male teacher. *Fatwa 13814, Part No. 12, Page 150*

- Women over the age of puberty are not permitted to leave the house without covering the body (except face and hands) *Fatwa 667, Part No. 17, Page 142-150*
- Women are not permitted to travel without a spouse or male relative. *Fatwa 12139, Part No. 11, Page 38*
- Women are not permitted to be alone with men who are not relatives or spouses, and the punishment for such "indecent" is whipping or stoning. *Fatwa 9693, Part No. 12, Page 381 & 382*
- Women are not permitted to speak softly to a man or otherwise provoke his desire with letters, phone calls or glances, the punishment of which is whipping or stoning. *Fatwa 9693, Part No. 12, Page 381 & 382*

Men are entitled to twice the amount of inheritance a woman receives, regardless of what a person's wishes are as detailed in a will. *Fatwa 8778, Part No. 21, Page 234*

Standard Islamic legal reference, certified by Al-Azhar in Egypt, the chief center of Islamic and Arabic learning in the world, not only says one can kill one's children or grandchildren with impunity; impunity also extends to a Muslim who kills a non-Muslim, and to non-Muslims who kill apostates from Islam:

From page 583-584 of *The Reliance of the Traveller/Umdat Al-Salik*:

o1.2 The following are not subject to retaliation:

1. a child or insane person, under any circumstances...
2. a Muslim for killing a non-Muslim;
3. a Jewish or Christian subject of the Islamic state for killing an apostate from Islam...
4. a father or mother (or their fathers or mothers) for killing their offspring, or offspring's offspring.

Muhammad said: "Whoever changed his Islamic religion, then kill him" (Bukhari

9.84.57). The death penalty for apostasy is part of Islamic law according to all the schools of Islamic jurisprudence.

The law of one person, one vote is essential to democracy, but heretical to Islam. You have the full right as a human being to be an atheist, live your life anyway you want including having consensual pre-marital sex, you have the full right to commit adultery, think, write and speak whatever you want of Islam, Muhammad, Allah, you have the full right to leave Islam and join any other religion or not. Women have the full right to dress however they wish, leave and walk alone or in any male company they so decide, have sex with whomever they desire, be treated as complete equals in inheritance, education, career, law. Homosexuals have the full democratic right to be homosexuals without fear of beatings or death. Jews/Christians are the complete equals of Muslims and have the full right to practice their religion anywhere including all 57 Islamic countries without fear of death.

These are all essential democratic rights. These are all essential human rights.

No God, No man, No ideology has the right to deny any human being anywhere in the world these rights.

## **DEMOCRACY IN HEAVEN**

### **THE STRUGGLE CONTINUES**

#### **ALL ANGELS HAVE THE RIGHT TO SPIRIT LIFE, LIBERTY AND THE PURSUIT OF HAPPINESS**

##### **The struggle for democracy and freedom is eternal.**

The spirit is integral to mankind. It is the very essence of humanities being. When the body dies the spirit departs leaving behind a corpse and soul of soon to be decaying flesh. This spirit is humanity transformed. The human spirit is the *sum total* of everything about us that is non-physical—our thoughts, imaginations, plans, hopes, dreams, feelings, emotions, attitudes—and makes us each who and what we are.

After our death, it is supposed that our spirit stands before God, and those who did evil are condemned to a hell of eternal suffering.

Personally, I do not believe that Satan exists. That there is an actual, living, breathing, being of demonic evil. It is one thing to believe in one being – God but to have 2 beings existing Satan and God is beyond the realm of reason.

This begs the question - how does a God of Moral Perfection judge us? How does a God of Moral Perfection judge failed evil human beings like Prophet Muhammad, Hitler, Stalin and all the other countless killers and murderers of history. What of their millions and millions of followers who aided these killers in their evil acts? These evil people

choose a life of evil. They exercised their free will to commit evil. They utilized their God given brain to do evil.

If God does not exist then the answer is simple. They are all buried 6 feet under rotting away. That's it. But if God does exist then He cannot sentence them to hell fire and still be Moral Perfection. He cannot seek revenge or inflict pain and suffering. If God exists then He must be Moral Perfection and therefore Hell does not exist. And yet we cannot accept that Prophet Muhammad or Hitler get off scott free. To live an evil life and then walk. There has to be some form of punishment for their great crimes. It is my belief that when your soul stands before God you feel all the pain and suffering you have inflicted on others, all the bad/evil you have ever committed washes wave after wave over your soul tormenting it in great agony and then ..... ????

Do we form a congress of free, freedom loving spirits able to exercise our right to life, liberty and the pursuit of happiness or are we just poor pathetic lobotomized spirits flying around with no free will glorifying some being for all eternity. We shall all see sooner rather than later.

If there is a hell then there is no democracy in hell as Satan is the ultimate totalitarian with Muhammad, Hitler and Stalin and all their billions of Muslim men, and **Gestapo**, Nazis, KGB, Communist followers being tortured for Satan's enjoyment and pleasure for all eternity.

John Milton: " Better to rule in hell then serve in heaven."

I think not.

## **CITIZENSHIP: THE HIGHEST HONOR: THE GREATEST GIFT**

### **ISLAM WILL BAN ISLAM**

The highest honor and the greatest gift the United States can bestow on non citizens is citizenship. With this gift comes freedom from tyranny, freedom of speech, press, equality of all humans, women with men, races with races, equality before the law. With citizenship comes the responsibility to live your life not only under the protection of the constitution but in full support of the constitution. The right to life, liberty and the pursuit of happiness extends to all citizens, not only of the United States but all peoples of the world.

All acts that violate this greatest human right are ACTS OF TREASON. These acts are grounds for the IMMEDIATE stripping of citizenship from all those who advocate the destruction of the constitution or engage in acts of violence within the United States or without that would deny the right to Life, liberty and the Pursuit of Happiness to not only Americans but all peoples of any nation.

The question that must be asked is can you be a good, moral Muslim man and be a good, loyal American citizen. The answer is a **RESOUNDING NO**. It is impossible to be a good American and a good Muslim man.

A good American must believe in the following:

- Democracy and Freedom
- Constitution of the United States
- Rule of Law
- Equality before the Law
- Equality of Men and Women
- Equality of All Races
- Dignity of All Human Beings
- No Right to Own Slaves
- No Right to Sex Slaves
- No Right to Rape Any Woman Including Their Wife
- No Right to Have Sex with Children
- Freedom of Speech
- Freedom of Press
- Freedom of Legitimate Religion
- Freedom of Assembly
- Equality of Believers and Non Believers in Any Faith
- Right not to believe in God
- The Right to Leave Any Religion
- The Right Not to Be Stoned, Lashed or Beheaded for Any Reason
- The Right to Sexual Freedom
- Women are Not the Property of Men
- Children Cannot Be Killed By Their Parents for Any Reason

- Dogs are Wonderful Creatures

**Asking again - can a good Muslim be a good American? The answer is a resounding “no”.**

**Theologically no**, because his allegiance is to Allah (of the Quran)

**Humanity-wise no**, because a good Muslim cannot be a good, moral human being.

**Constitutionally no**, Because all manmade constitutions are an obscenity against Allah and must be destroyed and replaced with Sharia Law.

**Democratically no**, because democracy means the equality of kafirs with Muslims. A kafir can never be the equal of a Muslim.

**Morally no**, because he can own and rape kafir women, murder their husbands and sell her children and herself into slavery if he does not want her as his sex slave.

**Legally no**, Because kafirs can never be equal before the law.

**Freedom-wise no**, Because he is the slave of Allah and must obey Allah without question. There can be no freedom of thought, conscience, speech, and press under Islam.

**Equality-wise no**, Because he is superior to all other races.

**Religiously no**, Because no other religion is accepted by his Allah except Islam

**Scripturally no**, Because his allegiance is to the five Pillars of Islam and the Quran.

**Geographically no**, Because his allegiance is to Mecca, to which he turns in prayer five times a day.

**Socially no**, Because his allegiance to Islam forbids him to make friends with Christians or Jews.

**Politically no**, Because he must submit to the mullahs (spiritual leaders), who teach annihilation of Israel and destruction of America, the great Satan.

**Domestically no**, Because he is instructed to marry four women and beat and scourge his wife when she disobeys him (Quran 4:34) He can rape his wife(s). His wives are his property. He can marry child girls.

**Criminally no**, Because he has a right to murder non believers, gays, apostates, chop off hands of thieves, stone/lash adulators.

**Intellectually no**, Because he cannot accept the American Constitution, a man-made system of law. In Islam, only Allah has the authority of legislate laws and Whose laws for humanity are encapsulated in the Sharia. A Muslim cannot swear allegiance to any Constitution or system of law other than Sharia.

**Philosophically no**, Because Islam, Muhammad, and the Quran does not allow freedom of religion and expression. Democracy and Islam cannot co-exist. Every Muslim government is either dictatorial or autocratic.

**Spiritually no**, Because when we declare 'one nation under God,' we refer to the loving and kind Christian God, Who is radically different from the grave, severe and uncompromisingly punitive Allah, the Muslim God.

Does this mean that we can automatically strip every Muslim in the United States of their American citizenship - the answer is a **resounding no**. But what we can do is strip those Muslims of citizenship that engage in acts against the constitution that seek its destruction or acts advocating violence and violence itself. As we have already demonstrated, the advocating of Jihad is an act of treason. the advocating of acts of violence is treason. The advocating of any act that seeks the destruction of the right to Life, Liberty and the Pursuit of happiness are acts of treason.

### **WE DO NOT HAVE TO BAN ISLAM ISLAM WILL BAN ISLAM**

All mosques that commit the above acts must be immediately shut down. All Muslims who commit the above acts must be immediately stripped of their citizenship. Those Muslims who advocate Jihad, honor killings, anti Semitism, rape, slavery, racism, inequality of women, sexual molestation of women/girls, forced marriages, mutilation sexual or any other, murder or threats of murder against apostates, gays, or any human being - ANY other acts that destroy the right to Life, Liberty or the Pursuit of Happiness are guilty of acts against their rights and responsibilities as citizens. Again and again - they are guilty of treason.

There is no way Muslim men will congregate in their mosques and quietly, peacefully contemplate God. This is anti Islam. Violence, murder and subjugation of kafirs, the destruction of their constitutions, the destruction of all human beings right to Life, Liberty and the Pursuit of Happiness is Islam and Islam is Islam.

Islam will ban Islam.

## Islam's Degrading Dictates toward Women Entertained in British Court

### Islam: The Worst Anti-Woman Ideology Ever

The wearing of the Niqab, a compulsory religious obligation when women go out (Quran 33:59), is an act that is degrading and *demeaning* for Muslim women, which turns them into subhuman beings. Allah hates and belittles Muslim women, the sheer extent of which can [found here](#). She is the property of her husband, who can rape her (she cannot refuse her husband's sexual demands). If she is raped by another Muslim man, she must produce 4 Muslim male witnesses to incriminate the man. Otherwise she will be guilty of adultery and will be stoned to death.

The horrible thing is that this degrading dictate of Islam is being accepted in a British court, which is an affront to norm and the rule of law, is an affront to universal human dignity.

#### Veil exposes courtroom quandary

Niqab court row: Compromise reached over identification

A woman who refused to show her face in court for religious reasons has reached a compromise over the confirmation of her identity.

The 21-year-old from Hackney, London, had refused to remove her niqab and reveal her face in front of any man.

Judge Peter Murphy had said the interests of justice were paramount.

At Blackfriars Crown Court, the matter was resolved when the woman removed her veil for a female police officer, who then verified it was the defendant.

The police officer had previously photographed the woman.

#### 'Dictating through faith'

The woman, who cannot be named for legal reasons, entered a not guilty plea to a charge of intimidating a witness.

Once the plea was entered, there was further debate over the veil when the case comes to trial.



**OK for Muslim women to appear in British courts in such attire**



The defence said there should be a case-by-case approach by judges who should take into account the human right to express faith through attire.

Defence barrister Susan Meek added that the veil did not compromise open justice because a jury could have a photograph of the unveiled woman.

But the prosecution said in trials where witnesses need to identify a defendant, the veil could be an issue.

Judge Murphy said: "It cannot be satisfactory that one judge may go one way and another judge will go another way. Is it not right that there must be a common approach?"

The judge warned that in the absence of a clearer position, some faiths could be given a "degree of privilege".

The judge will rule on Monday how the issue should be dealt with when the trial begins.

The following teachings of Allah and Prophet Muhammad would show how the religion of Islam has sentenced 50% of humanity – the mothers of all mankind – to a life of animals.

### **Tyrannical dictates of Muhammad toward Muslim Women**

Bukhari (6:321) - Muhammad is asked whether it is right for a young woman to leave her house without a veil. He replies, "She should cover herself with the veil of her companion."

Bukhari (60:282) - After Muhammad issued the command (Sura 24:31) for women to cover themselves, the women responded by tearing up sheets to cover their faces.

Narrated Safiya bint Shaiba: 'Aisha used to say: "When (the Verse): "They should draw their veils over their necks and bosoms," was revealed, (the ladies) cut their waist sheets at the edges and covered their faces with the cut pieces."

Abu Dawud (2:641) – “The Prophet (peace\_be\_upon\_him) said: ‘Allah does not accept the prayer of a woman who has reached puberty unless she wears a veil.’”

Bukhari (52:250) - [The Prophet said] "It is not permissible for a man to be alone with a woman, and no lady should travel except with a Muhram (i.e. her husband or a person whom she cannot marry in any case for ever; e.g. her father, brother, etc.)." - Neither is a woman allowed to travel by herself.

### **Islamic God Allah’s demeaning dictates toward women**

Sura (24:31) - "And say to the believing women that they cast down their looks and guard their private parts and do not display their ornaments except what appears thereof, and let

them wear their head-coverings over their bosoms, and not display their ornaments except to their husbands or their fathers, or the fathers of their husbands, or their sons, or the sons of their husbands, or their brothers, or their brothers' sons, or their sisters' sons, or their women, or those whom their right hands possess, or the male servants not having need (of women), or the children who have not attained knowledge of what is hidden of women; and let them not strike their feet so that what they hide of their ornaments may be known." The woman is not only supposed to cover herself, except with relatives, but to look down, so as to avoid making eye-contact with men.

Sura (33:59) – “O Prophet! Tell your wives and your daughters and the women of the believers to draw their cloaks (veils) over their bodies (when outdoors). That is most convenient that they should be known and not molested.”

Sura (33:32-33) “O Consorts of the Prophet! ... stay quietly in your houses, and make not a dazzling display, like that of the former Times of Ignorance” (i.e., Muhammad's wives should stay in their houses)

Sura (33:30) “O Consorts of the Prophet! If...any of you are devout, obedient, and submissive in the service to Allah and His Messenger, and does good, to her shall We grant her reward twice. We have prepared for her a generously rich provision.”

Sura (33:53) “And when ye ask (his ladies) for anything ye want, ask them from before a screen: that makes for greater purity for your hearts and for theirs. Nor is it right for you that ye should... marry his [Muhammad's] widows after him at any time. Truly such a thing is in God's sight an enormity.” (i.e., Nobody can marry Muhammad's widows after he is dead.)

### **Muslim Women Are Sex Object for the Enjoyment of Men**

From Hadith: Bukhari (60:51)

Narrated Jabir: Jews used to say: "If one has sexual intercourse with his wife from the back, then she will deliver a squint-eyed child." So this Verse was revealed:-- "Your wives are a tilth unto you; so go to your tilth when or how you will." (2.223)

Muhammad said: "If a husband calls his wife to his bed [i.e. to have sexual relation] and she refuses and causes him to sleep in anger, the angels will curse her till morning." -- Bukhari 4.54.460

"By him in Whose Hand lies my life, a woman cannot carry out the right of her Lord, till she carries out the right of her husband. And if he asks her to surrender herself [to him for sexual intercourse] she should not refuse him even if she is on a camel's saddle." -- Ibn Majah 1854

The concept of spousal rape does not exist in Islam. Muslim women are the property of Muslim men.

## **BEATING YOUR MUSLIM WIFE**

Muslim (4:2127) - Muhammad struck his favorite wife, Aisha, in the chest one evening when she left the house without his permission. Aisha narrates, "He struck me on the chest which caused me pain."

Bukhari (7:72:715) - A woman came to Muhammad and begged her to stop her husband from beating her. Her skin was bruised so badly that she it is described as being "greener" than the green veil she was wearing. Muhammad did not admonish her husband, but instead ordered her to return to him and submit to his sexual desires.

Abu Dawud (2142) - "The Prophet said: A man will not be asked as to why he beat his wife."

Bukhari 7:62:132 Narrated 'Abdullah bin Zam'a: "The Prophet said, "None of you should flog his wife as he flogs a slave and then have sexual intercourse with her in the last part of the day." (Ideally when you flog one of your wives, let her recuperate that day and sleep with your other wives or your slave girls.)

### **Allah, just like Muhammad, Had No Toleration For disobedient Women**

**The Quran:** (4:34) - "Men are the maintainers of women because Allah has made some of them to excel others and because they spend out of their property; the good women are therefore obedient, guarding the unseen as Allah has guarded; and (as to) those on whose part you fear desertion, admonish them, and leave them alone in the sleeping-places and beat them; then if they obey you, do not seek a way against them; surely Allah is High, Great."

By entertaining the degrading Islamic dictates toward Muslim women, Britain's esteemed judicial system has abandoned its democratic history and its tremendous contribution toward the empowerment and equality of women.

## **Islam in Action: Father Stones Daughter to Death**

Stoning is integral to Islam, a part of its Sharia Law – the divine constitution of Allah.

**The penalty for adultery between a married man and a married woman is 100 lashes with a whip and death by stoning.** (Volume 3, Part 3, p. 359)

So, when a daughter engages in illegal sexual acts, it becomes a religious responsibility for the father to mete out Allah's prescribed punishment, including death by stoning. Not only that, in Islam, killing children by their parents is not a crime as per "Umdat al-Saliq" or "Reliance of the Traveller", a manual of Islamic law, certified in 1991 as a reliable guide to Sunni Islam by al-Azhar University, the most prestigious and authoritative institute of Sunni Islamic jurisprudence in the world. This 14<sup>th</sup>-century law-manual states that punishment or "retaliation is obligatory against anyone, who kills a human being purely intentionally and without right", EXCEPT when "a father or mother (or their fathers or mothers)" kills their "offspring, or offspring's offspring" (section 01.1-2). In other words, a parent, who murders his/her child for the sake of honor or any other reason, does not commit any crime under Islamic law.

**Murdering one's child is murdering all mankind**

### **HONOR KILLING IS A HATE CRIME**

Hadiths record multiple incidents of stoning for adultery that were ordered by Muhammad. So, murdering someone by stoning for the act of adultery is a SUNNA, which Muslims must seek to enforce. For a detailed listing of Muhammad's stonings go to: <http://www.islamreform.net/new-page-26.htm>

The following is a video, wherein a father—following in the footsteps of his prophet Muhammad—stones his own daughter in front of a crowd screaming Allah Akbar – Allah is the Greatest. As the poor woman screams in pain and agony, the father happily smashes her head into a bloody pulp. What a barbaric crime that is.

[http://www.youtube.com/watch?feature=player\\_embedded&v=mmqXVfmXxxI](http://www.youtube.com/watch?feature=player_embedded&v=mmqXVfmXxxI)

Similar crimes of Muhammad have been recorded in vivid detail by his pious followers. One of the most barbaric acts of murder by Muhammad was stoning to death of a woman, who had just given birth (Muslim 17:4206). A woman, who became pregnant, confesses to Muhammad that she is guilty of adultery. Muhammad allows her to deliver the child, then had her stoned to death (Muslim (17:4206):

“...She said: Allah's Apostle, here is he (baby) as I have weaned him and he eats food. He (the Holy Prophet) entrusted the child to one of the Muslims and then pronounced punishment. And she was put in a ditch up to her chest and he commanded people and they stoned her. Khalid b Walid came forward with a stone which he flung at her head and there spurted blood on the face of Khalid and so he abused her. Allah's Apostle (may peace be upon him) heard his (Khalid's) curse that he had hurried upon her. Thereupon he

(the Holy Prophet) said: Khalid, be gentle. By Him in Whose Hand is my life, she has made such a repentance that even if a wrongful tax-collector were to repent, he would have been forgiven. Then giving command regarding her, he prayed over her and she was buried.”

Read the complete Hadith here: <http://www.islamreform.net/new-page-26.htm>

Can you imagine the level of barbarity of burying the mother of little baby up to her breasts and stoning her to death? If you try to imagine the whole episode in your mind, what a horror scene it turns out to be! Quoting the hadith: “Khalid b Walid came forward with a stone which he flung at her head and there spurted blood on the face of Khalid and so he abused her.” Do you not hear the yelling and screaming of this poor woman begging for mercy, the blood gurgling in her mouth? Quoting the narrator, “she has made such repentance that even if a wrongful tax-collector were to repent, he (Muhammad) would have been forgiven.” But he won’t show mercy to this poor woman for a non-criminal deviant behavior. What a monstrously cruel person Muhammad was, who us supposedly a finest prophet of God and prototype of all humanity.

So, if you make a comparison, there is absolutely no difference between the levels of cruelty perpetrated by the father of the girls in the above video and by Muhammad in the above hadith incident.

### **No mention of stoning for adultery in the Quran!**

So-called moderate Muslims frequently claim that stoning is not mentioned in the Quran. But that does not mean it is un-Islamic, since multiple hadiths mention Muhammad’s ordering of stoning to death for adultery, and the Quran commands Muslims to ‘obey Allah and His prophet’ in many verses. Furthermore, according to Muhammad’s child-wife Aisha, Allah had revealed a verse commanding stoning to death for adultery, but a goat ate the piece of papyrus, on which it was recorded.

[Narrated 'Aisha] "*The verse of the stoning and of suckling an adult ten times were revealed, and they were (written) on a paper and kept under my bed. When the messenger of Allah expired and we were preoccupied with his death, a goat entered and ate away the paper.*" (Musnad Ahmad bin Hanbal. vol. 6. p. 269; Sunan Ibn Majah, p. 626; Ibn Qutbah, Tawil Mukhtalafi 'l-Hadith (Cairo: Maktaba al-Kulliyat al-Azhariyya. 1966) p. 310; As-Suyuti, ad-Durru 'l-Manthur, vol. 2. p. 13)

It was narrated that 'Aishah said: “The Verse of stoning and of breastfeeding an adult ten times was revealed, and the paper was with me under my pillow. When the Messenger of Allah died, we were preoccupied with his death, and a tame sheep came in and ate it.” (Hasan) [Ibn Majah Vol. 3, Book 9, Hadith 1944]

These Hadiths are supported by other narrators.

God sent Muhammad, and sent down the scripture to him. Part of what *he sent down was the passage on stoning*. Umar says, “We read it, we were taught it, and we heeded it. The apostle Muhammad stoned, and we stoned after him.”

Sahih Bukhari 8.817, regarding the revelation of the stoning verse for adultery, records:

...In the meantime, Umar sat on the pulpit and when the callmakers for the prayer had finished their call, Umar stood up, and having glorified and praised Allah as He deserved, he said, “Now then, I am going to tell you something which (Allah) has written for me to say. I do not know; perhaps it portends my death, so whoever understands and remembers it, must narrate it to the others wherever his mount takes him, but if somebody is afraid that he does not understand it, then it is unlawful for him to tell lies about me. Allah sent Muhammad with the Truth and revealed the Holy Book to him, and *among what Allah revealed, was the Verse of the Rajam the stoning of married person (male & female)*... I am afraid that after a long time has passed, somebody will say, ‘By Allah, we do not find the Verse of the Rajam in Allah’s Book,’ and thus they will go astray by leaving an obligation which Allah has revealed. And the punishment of the Rajam is to be inflicted to any married person (male & female), who commits illegal sexual intercourse, if the required evidence is available or there is conception or confession. And then we used to recite among the Verses in Allah’s Book: ‘O people! Do not claim to be the offspring of other than your fathers, as it is disbelief (unthankfulness) on your part that you claim to be the offspring of other than your real father’...

Sahih Muslim 17:4194 also talks about revelation of the verse for stoning adulterers that was lost:

Abdullah b. Abbas reported that Umar b. Khattab sat on the pulpit of Allah’s Messenger (may peace be upon him) and said: Verily Allah sent Muhammad (may peace be upon him) with truth and He sent down the Book upon him, and *the verse of stoning was included* in what was sent down to him. We recited it, retained it in our memory and understood it. Allah’s Messenger (may peace be upon him) awarded the punishment of stoning to death (to the married adulterer and adulteress) and, after him, we also awarded the punishment of stoning, I am afraid that with the lapse of time, the people (may forget it) and may say: We do not find the punishment of stoning in the Book of Allah, and thus go astray by abandoning this duty prescribed by Allah. Stoning is a duty laid down in Allah’s Book for married men and women who commit adultery when proof is established, or it there is pregnancy, or a confession.

### **Stoning a legal divine punishment: Al-Quran**

Although, stoning for adultery verses was eaten up by the goat, thus excluding it from compilation in the Quran, yet the Quran refers to stoning as a legal form of punishment of Allah.

**Qur'an** 11:82: And we rained down on them a shower (of brimstone): Then see what was the end of those who indulged in sin and crime!

**Qur'an 7:84:** We rained down on them a shower (of brimstone): and evil was the shower on those who were admonished (but heeded not)!

**Qur'an 26:173:** And We rained down on them a shower (of brimstone): and evil was the shower on those who were admonished (but heeded not)!

**Qur'an 27:58:** Stoning is barbaric cruelty causing horrendous suffering. No God would ever have as his prophet a man who ordered stoning for any reason. Muhammad was no prophet of any god and therefore Islam is totally fraudulent.

Stoning is a barbaric cruelty causing horrendous suffering. No god would ever have as his prophet a man who ordered stoning for any reason. Muhammad was no prophet of any god and therefore Islam is totally fraudulent.

As noted, Aisha said there was a law of Allah, the verse of “Rajam”, which said that Muslim women were to be stoned for adultery which didn’t make it into the Koran because a goat ate the scrap of paper it was written on. Too bad the Billy didn’t devour the entire Quran.

## **Jihadists in Kenya Mall Massacre: ‘All Muslims Leave, We Want to Kill Non-Muslims Only’**

Allah’s Apostle said, “I have been made victorious with terror” [Bukhari 4:52:220]

Al-Shabab, a Jihadi Islamist militia from Somalia in an attack, reminiscent of the Mumbai and Boston Marathon Massacres, on a Nairobi shopping mall in Kenya killed 59 and wounding 175. According to eye witnesses, al-Shabab Jihadists targeted only the non-Muslims by declaring: “All Muslims leave, we only want to kill non-Muslims!” Well, that's exactly what Allah teaches Muslims in the Quran [48:29]: "...Those who follow him are harsh to the unbelievers but merciful to one another"

The killing was indiscriminate. They didn't care about whom they murdered, whether women and children.



These murderous al-Shabab Jihadis are good, moral, moderate, pious Muslims following exactly the teachings of the Quran, like verse 3:151, "Soon shall We cast terror into the hearts of the Unbelievers", and the Sunna of Prophet Muhammad, who committed many massacres, in which women and children also became the victims.

Muhammad, a brutal murderer, said it would be permissible to kill a child, who has no prospect of accepting Islam (Sahih Muslim 4457):

The Messenger of Allah (may peace be upon him) used not to kill the children, so thou shouldst not kill them unless you could know what Khadir had known about the child he killed, or you could distinguish between a child who would grow up to be a believer (and a child who would grow up to be a non-believer), so that you killed the (prospective) non-believer and left the (prospective) believer aside.



After capturing Mecca, the prophet of Islam also ordered the execution of two “singing girls”, who had mocked him in their singing verses:

“...two singing-girls Fartana and her friend who used to sing satirical songs about the apostle, so he ordered that they should be killed...” (Ibn Ishaq/Hisham 819)

Muhammad was told about the various murders, including that of the sleeping shepherd, whose only "crime" was his saying that he would never be a Muslim. His reaction:

[Muhammad] laughed so that one could see his back teeth. He asked me the news and when I told him what had happened, he blessed me. (Tabari 1441)

Muhammad ordered the death of captive Uqba bin Abu Mu'ayt brought before him. Uqba bin Abu Mu'ayt pleaded for his life:

“When the apostle ordered him to be killed, Uqba said, “But who will look after my children, O Muhammad?” [Muhammad’s reply] “Hell.” The man was put to death. (Ibn Ishaq/Hisham 458)

So, the al-Shabab Jihadis in the Nairobi mall massacre of the kuffar are perfect followers of the holy prophet of Islam.

Who will look after the children of those in the mall who were slaughtered in the name of Allah? They will all be living a living hell for the remainder of their lives.

For us by tomorrow this massacre will be a distinct memory but for those who lived it or lost loved ones - or were maimed forever the terror will be with them until the day they die. Every year on this day - September 21st a macabre anniversary that will always be there but never celebrated will tear thru these survivors/loved ones hearts.

If a 5 year old child was killed his entire future will never be. 20 years from now on Sept 21 2033 he would be 25 years old. But this will never be.

Imagine that many Kenyans and other visiting nationalities, who woke up in the morning and did their morning rituals, before heading to this mall for a Saturday outing only to be met with a hail of bullets from guns of Muslim Jihadis, looking to murder only the non-Muslims in the crowd.

This may appear incredible to average non-Muslims, but not to the dedicated Jihadi soldiers of Allah. This is just what the Islamic God did after the Massacre of Banu Qurayza when Muhammad beheaded 600 to 900 Jews, including young boys having the slightest trace of pubic hair.

Quran-8:67—“It is not for any prophet to have captives until he hath made slaughter in the land. Ye desire the lure of this world and Allah desireth (for you) the Hereafter, and

Allah is Mighty, Wise.” [Allah insisting that Muhammad kill all the prisoners, and should not keep any surrendered prisoners alive until He occupied entire Arabia.]

Quran-33:26- “And He brought those of the People of the Book [Jewish people of Banu Qurayza] who supported them from their fortresses and cast terror into their hearts, some of them you slew (beheaded) and some you took prisoners (captive)

Do you not hear the screaming and wailing of the women and children of the defenders as God brought their husbands, fathers and brothers (the defenders) down from their secured positions and cast terror into their hearts? Do you not feel the anguished torment of these women and their children? Do you not understand the terror instilled by God into the hearts of the defenders, besieged by Muhammad's army?

Hate and terror stream out from the teaching 33:26: "He [Allah] threw terror into their hearts" and "some you slew (beheaded)." Like a sadist, Allah enjoys torturing these people. Like a master terrorist, He rejoices the moment. God drove the Jews out of their secure forts by striking them with fear and terror, so that Muhammad and his co-jihadists could murder them and enslave the women and children.

But God never brought down the people of the book. All these Quranic teachings were the product of a psychotic and that psychotic was Muhammad AKA Allah.

While a non-Muslim may think that God would never commit such a brutal, immoral and hideous act, but that is exactly what Allah, the Islamic God—a criminal, mass murderer, sadist, psychopath, deranged maniac—committed as per the divine verses of the holy book of Islam.

Just as Muhammad—following Allah’s commands in the Quran—did to Banu Qurayza, so have the al-Shabab Jihadis at the Nairobi mall: Cast terror into the heart of the unbelievers. The pictures of the largely non-Muslims crowd, streaming out of the mall by the hundreds shows the kind of terror those Jihad have stricken into their hearts.

Their faces will someday maybe be your face. Maybe you will wake up some morning decide to go to Mall of America in Chicago or a mall in some other city and Muslim men will enter and either car bomb the mall as has been attempted a number of times in the US without success (thank God) and murder or seriously maim you and or your loved ones casting terror into your heart. Or maybe you will be one of the lucky ones to be recorded by news cameras running for your life with the look of stark terror in your eyes.

Getting up in the morning and leaving your home and arriving back alive will become a game of pure chance if nothing is done by our political elites to combat this very great evil – Islam.

One should take note of the fact that Kenya—just like India—is a predominantly non-Muslim country, where only 11% of its population are Muslims. As the Muslim population increasing quickly in Western countries, it may be only a matter of time that malls in New York, Chicago, Los Angeles, Toronto, London, Paris, Brussels and other

Western metropolis will become the scene of similar massacres by Muslim Jihadis in the years and decades to come. In fact, many such plots in the West have been foiled already.

Let us wish all those who were wounded a speedy recovery and offer our deepest condolences to the families and loved ones of those who died.

## **Caught up in Jihad Raid? What's a Muslim to Do?**

You are a good, moderate Muslim living in the land of the kafirs. One day you enter a busy shopping mall. As you are browsing around, suddenly the air is filled with thunderous explosions followed by booms of gunshots. For a moment you don't know what to make of all this. You would perhaps think it is some kind of sinful kafir celebration, or maybe the mall is celebrating its 20th year of operation.

Suddenly the sound of gunshots comes closer and you see hundreds of kafirs are scurrying for cover like the cockroaches they are – yelling and screaming in fear and terror. You see some of the kafirs get hit by bullets and fall on the floor with blood pouring out of their wounds. For some, heads are blown off, stomachs are torn open.

You wonder maybe a gun-trotting crazy kafir gone berserk again and you start running, to save yourself from being shot by the murderer on a rampage.

Then suddenly you hear the screams of “Allahu Akbar” as the murderers unleash their bullets.

Just stop.

You are a Muslim—moderate and pious—with the very basic knowledge of Islam, you have nothing to fear. These are good, moral Muslim soldiers of Allah, fulfilling Allah’s command of Jihad, their duty to Allah, for striking terror into the heart of the kafirs only.

But you are a Muslim. So, you have nothing to fear.

If you are carrying your ID carrying your Muslim name or you know the basic Islamic info, you must not risk certain death by trying to run.

So, what do you do?

Just stop right there and yell: "I'm a Muslim. I'm a Muslim."

As these pious Jihadis are determined only to kill the Kafirs and as determined to save the lives of fellow Muslim brothers, the Jihadi targeting his gun at you would scream:

"I don't know you are a Muslim. Show me your ID or answer my question and prove you are a Muslim."

If you are carrying an ID with your Muslim name printed on it, just throw it at the gun-trotting Jihad in front of you and your life is saved. If not, then prepare yourself to answer the following simple questions about Islam, Prophet Muhammad and the Quran:

Where was Muhammad born? Mecca

What year was he born? 570 AD

Who is the mother of all Muslims? Aisha.

How many chapters are there in the Quran? 114

What was the prophet's mother's name? asks the jihadist.

Oh Gosh! You know thousands of things about Islam, but have forgotten the name of Muhammad's mother. And your head is about to be sprayed with bullets.

### **SO – WHAT'S A MUSLIM TO DO?**

A wrong answer means instant death.

You pretend not to hear the question and probably start yelling: “Allah Akbar. Allah Akbar.”

But that may not be good enough to convince the jihadi, as kafirs universally know Muslim yelling of “Allah Akbar”. But within split seconds, you have to utter something to persuade the Jihadi that you are really a Muslim.

You may immediately start reciting from the Quran, for example Surah Fatiha, that you recite in your every prayer.

Or may be, you yell at the Jihadi: "You are the noble soldier of Allah. You are Allah's obedient servant." And then recite the following verses:

5:33 The only reward of those who make war upon Allah and His messenger and strive after corruption in the land will be that they will be killed or crucified, or have their hands and feet on alternate sides cut off, or will be expelled out of the land. Such will be their degradation in the world, and in the Hereafter theirs will be an awful doom;

8:12 When thy Lord inspired the angels, (saying): I am with you. So make those who believe stand firm. I will throw fear into the hearts of those who disbelieve. Then smite the necks and smite of them each finger.

8:67 “It is not for any prophet to have captives until he hath made slaughter in the land. Ye desire the lure of this world and Allah desireth (for you) the Hereafter, and Allah is Mighty, Wise.” [Allah insisting that Muhammad kill all the prisoners, and should not keep any surrendered prisoners alive until He occupied entire Arabia.] These teachings will bring a smile to the jihadi's face and joy to his heart.

Well, that's it. You are ready to go free.

But be careful not to recite verses like 2:256, urging no compulsion in religion. This may cause you to lose your head immediately, because such verses have been abrogated by later blood-curdling verses, such as verse of the sword, 9:5.

The following article, with vivid description of the Nairobi Jihad massacre, can help you give additional clues as to how to survive the next Jihad raid if you happen to be caught up in one: <http://www.dailymail.co.uk/news/article-2428875/Terror-Kenya-Survivors-reveal-gunman-executed-non-Muslims.html>

It need not mention that the kafirs of the world may find clues from this essay about how to save their heads if caught up in the midst of a Jihad operation in the future.

How can any human being with even just the slightest sliver of humanity be a Muslim. Its time to abandon this evil, vile ideology that was the product of a diseased mind. To believe that Muhammad met Gabriel and received these monstrous verses to kill, terrorize, slaughter is such a sin against God. The words to kill/fight are mentioned over 35,000 times in the Quran/Hadith/Sira.

To believe that if God exists, He would sanctify the Massacres of Nairobi, Boston, New York, London, Mumbai, Madrid, Banu Qurayza, Karbala just a few of thousands of Islamic massacres over the past 1400 years is true blasphemy.

**SHAME ON ALL MUSLIMS.**

## **MUHAMMAD - THE FIRST AND GREATEST ISLAMOPHOBE**

### **AN ATTACK ON MY RELIGION**

#### **ISLAM IS NOT BEING PERVERTED. ISLAM IS A PERVERSION**

CAIR'S Nihad Awad declared that the Nairobi Mall Massacre was "An attack on my religion". He also compared the al-Shabaab Muslim attackers with Islamophobes.

**“The common denominator between the extremists and advocates of Islamophobia (creating fear of Islam) is that both believe that a perverted view of Islam is the foundation of religion.”**

It is fundamental to Islam that God transmitted to prophet Muhammad via Angel Gabriel the verses of the Quran. EVERY word and EVERY teaching in the Quran is from God. Every Muslim must believe that the Quran is the divine word of God that cannot be altered or changed for all eternity. To doubt just one word, let alone one teaching is apostasy punishable by death. The life of Muhammad as recorded in the Hadith and Sira is Sunna and must be emulated by all Muslims.

By declaring that the Nairobi massacre was a perversion of his religion - Islam, Nihad is now an apostate and must be killed.

The Nairobi, Mumbai, London, New York, Madrid, Ft. Hood Massacres are not perversions of the Quran or Sunna - they are the very essence of Islam - terrorism is Islam. But of course Nihad knows this fundamental truth. He knows that al Shabaab, Mohamed Atta, Major Hasan and all the other thousands of Muslims obeying the Quran teachings are true, good, moral Muslims fulfilling their duty to God and as per Verse 9:111 “Lo! Allah hath bought from the believers their lives and their wealth because the Garden will be theirs: they shall fight in the way of Allah and shall slay and be slain...”

If Muslims are slain in killing kafirs they are guaranteed accession to a virgin delight Paradise.

9:111 is in the Quran. It is not a perversion of Islam - it is a perversion of God. If God exists 9:111 - to murder in his name and ascend to Paradise to rape and sexually molest virgins for all eternity is such a crime and sin against not only God but all humanity. Islam is the greatest crime and sin ever created by man - Muhammad - against God and mankind.

The Quran is a book of pure evil.

To believe that God transmitted this verse to Gabriel is such madness, such monstrosity as to degrade the very humanity of humanity. Islam is not only anti God - it is anti Human.

We can state with absolute absoluteness that Allah never existed. That Muhammad never met the Angel Gabriel and never received one word from Gabriel. To believe in Islam is to believe in evil.

In my book God of Moral Perfection, I list 3 requirements for Islam to be from God.

### **THREE REQUIREMENTS FOR ISLAM TO BE FROM GOD**

There are 3 requirements for Islam to be from God:

1. Every word of the Quran must be Moral Perfection. If just one word is immoral then the Quran is not from God but from man and ALL Islam is fraudulent. <http://www.islamreform.net/new-page-195.htm>
2. God cannot have as his prophet - a criminal receiving divine teachings otherwise God is equally guilty in all the crimes committed by his prophet and therefore is no longer Moral Perfection and therefore no longer God. ALL Islam would be fraudulent. <http://islamreform.net/new-page-183.htm>
3. It is central to Islam that Sharia Law is the divine constitution of God. To be so EVERY teaching of Sharia Law must be Moral Perfection. If only one teaching is immoral then ALL Islam is fraudulent and not from God. <http://islamreform.net/new-page-205.htm>

In the Islamic trilogy - there are 327,547 words devoted to political violence. There are thousands of words in the Quran of murder, slaughter, terror, torture, hate that are the very essence of immorality.

How can any moral person believe that God sent via Gabriel:

Quran 5:33

“The punishment of those who wage war against Allah and His Messenger, and strive with might and main for mischief through the land is: execution, or crucifixion, or the cutting off of hands and feet from opposite sides, or exile from the land: that is their disgrace in this world, and a heavy punishment is theirs in the Hereafter;”

Quran: 8:12

“Remember thy Lord inspired the angels (with the message): "I am with you: give firmness to the Believers: I will instill terror into the hearts of the Unbelievers: smite ye above their necks and smite all their finger-tips off them."



There are 99 words here that when strung together are immoral. How can anybody believe that God stated "I am with you: give firmness to the Believers" God is stating he is with his killer followers i.e. Muslim men that he - God - " I will instill terror into the hearts of the Unbelievers": that God's fighters will chop off kafir necks and all their finger tips. Instill not love, not goodness, into unbeliever hearts but terror.

This is such bestiality such evil incarnate, but ALL Muslims must believe 8:12. Nihad not only must believe in 8:12 - he actually believes this teaching is from God. **Nihad is perverted for believing in such a perverted God.** This verse is from the mouth of God - it is divine and timeless FOREVER AND EVER. This is what al-Shabaab did at the mall.

**Eyes, ears, and noses gouged out. Bodies hanging from hooks. Fingers torn out with pliers. Children found dead in freezers with knives sticking out of them. Men were castrated, then blinded and hanged. Hostages reportedly had their throats slashed from ear to ear and were thrown screaming from third-floor balconies.**

This is what the 19 killers did on 9/11 employing not knives or AK 47's but 747s. 2,971 people were mutilated and died horribly in a raging inferno.

Cutting off hands and feet from opposite sides - there is a sickness here. Muslims are making mischief and corruption in the land of the human heart and soul. They are making mischief and corruption out of humanity and God.

And what of Muhammad - the first Muslim terrorist. He made up Allah and these Quranic verses. He killed and murdered - raped and enslaved thousands. At the massacre of Banu Quraiza, Muhammad personally beheaded 2 Jewish leaders and then ordered the beheading of 600 to 900 Jewish men. The women were raped and gang raped and those women the Muslim men did not want as sex slaves were sold with their children into slavery. There is no difference between the Nairobi and Banu Quraiza massacres. Al-Shabaab and Muhammad are one and the same - Muslim killers and according to Nihad suffering from Islamophobia.

To justify this great criminal act - the great Islamophobe - Muhammad fabricated 5 teachings of the Quran

Quran-8:17—"It is not ye who Slew them; it is God; when thou threwest a handful of dust, it was not Thy act, but God's....." (Allah said, the killing of surrendered soldiers were done by the wish of Allah)

Quran-8:67—"It is not for any prophet to have captives until he hath made slaughter in the land. Ye desire the lure of this world and Allah desireth (for you) the Hereafter, and Allah is Mighty, Wise." (Allah insisting Prophet to kill all the prisoners, and should not keep any surrendered prisoners alive until He (Prophet) occupied entire Arabia.

How can you believe in a God who instructs the murder of all prisoners? These are CRIMES AGAINST HUMANITY. THESE ARE CRIMES AGAINST GOD. This law was an order from Allah (the AntiGod) to murder all prisoners until Arabia was conquered for Islam. Take no prisoners. Kill them all. "Make slaughter in the land." MASS MURDER. The word "slaughter" is so outrageous that only the insane can believe in Islam. As we have already seen - if God murdered human beings – just one human being, He would no longer be Moral Perfection and therefore, no longer God. He would be nothing more than just a murderer. IT WAS EVIL MUSLIMS WHO SLEW THE JEWS NOT GOD.

Quran-33:25- "Allah turned back the unbelievers [Meccans and their allies] in a state of rage, having not won any good, and Allah spared the believers battle. Allah is, indeed, Strong and Mighty."

Quran-33:26- "And He brought those of the People of the Book [Jewish people of Banu Qurayza] who supported them from their fortresses and cast terror into their hearts, some of them you slew (beheaded) and some you took prisoners (captive)"

Do you not hear the screaming and wailing of the women and children of the defenders as God brought their husbands, fathers and brothers (the defenders) down from their secured positions and cast terror into their hearts? Do you not feel the anguished torment of these women and their children? Do you not understand the terror instilled by God into the villagers, themselves the defenders against Muhammad's aggression?

Words of hate and terror stream out from the teaching 33:26: "in a state of rage" "he threw terror into their hearts" & "some you slew (beheaded)." Can you imagine the power of God, the creator of the universe—the full force of the sheer hatred of God striking fear and terror into the hearts and souls of men, women and children, the old and the infirm? Like a sadist, God enjoys torturing these people. Like a master terrorist, he reviles in the moment. God drove the Jews out of their secure forts in fear and terror, so that Muhammad and his co-jihadists could murder them and enslave the women and children.

Think about that for a moment. Can anybody in their right mind believe that God would commit such a brutal, immoral and hideous act? God as a criminal, mass murderer, sadist, psychopath—a deranged maniac. If at all, a perfect God will manifest himself only to show love and affection to his creatures - not to incite hate, violence and terror against any of them. Nihad is a true believer who along with al-Shabaab believes in such a monster God.

God never brought down the people of the book (Banu Quraiza Jews) from their secure positions for killing and enslaving them. God never cast terror into their hearts. It was Muhammad and his jihadi murderers that committed these evil acts. Indisputably, Quran 33:26 is a criminal and barbaric teaching. The Quran, therefore, is not a book of Moral Perfection, not authored by God, but by Allah (the AntiGod) and his messenger - Muhammad.

Again, says Allah: Quran-8:17 – “It is not ye who Slew them; it is God; when thou threwest a handful of dust, it was not Thy act, but God’s.....”

How evil is the Quranic teaching that shifts the blame of Muhammad and his murderous followers' indiscriminate killing of kafirs onto God's shoulder. It is unacceptable even to invoke God in acts of evil. Verse 8:17 is one of the most despicable, immoral teachings of the Quran. In order to justify mass murder, in order to allay the pangs of conscience of his Muslim murderers, Muhammad fabricates that "it was not you who slew them, but it was God who killed them." In this verse, God advises Muhammad's followers: 'don't worry about those mindless murders; do not have any nightmares; do not have any feelings of remorse and regret - instead, steel your hearts against the enemies of God.' God did a good thing through your hands.

True God can never justify the killing and murdering of his own creations. It was Muhammad and his Muslim warriors who killed them, not God. This teaching of the Quran is truly evil, not authored by a God of Moral Perfection.

Does anybody in their right mind believe that God would order such a brutal, immoral, hideous act? God as a criminal. God as a mass murderer. All the above teachings are evil and immoral and not of God. Therefore, as already documented numerous times and will be further documented being not perfect – to say the least – the entire Quran is not a book of Moral Perfection – not a book of God but a book of evil.

This is the book whose teachings Nihad claims are being perverted by Islamophobes like al-Shabaab and Muhammad.

From the prophet's Sunna:

Bukhari V1B7N1331 “The Prophet said, ‘I have been given five things which were not given to any one else before me. 1. Allah made me victorious by awe by His terrorizing my enemies. 2. The earth has been made for me. 3. Booty has been made lawful for me yet it was not lawful for anyone else before me. 4. I have been given the right of intercession. 5. Every Prophet used to be sent to his nation only but I have been sent to all mankind.’”

Following are the divine laws of Allah (the AntiGod) inviting Muslims to enjoy booty of Banu Qurayza Jews sanctioned for them. Below are some examples how Quran openly supported Islamic jihadi's immoral acts:

Quran-8:1— “They ask thee concerning (things taken as) spoils of war (booty). Say: "(such) spoils are at the disposal of Allah and the Messenger: So fear Allah, and keep straight the relations between yourselves: Obey Allah and His Messenger, if ye do believe.”

Quran-8:41— “And know that out of all the booty that ye may acquire (in war), a fifth share is assigned to Allah, - and to the Messenger, and to near relatives, orphans, the

needy, and the wayfarer, - if ye do believe in Allah and in the revelation We sent down to Our servant on the Day of Testing, - the Day of the meeting of the two forces. For Allah hath power over all things.”

According to verse 8:41, a fifth share of the booty was taken by Muhammad some of which was distributed to near kin, etc. as stipulated in the verse. However, this distribution was at Muhammad's discretion. The booty included the captives, who were made slaves.

Banu Quraiza was just one massacre of the 62 ordered by Muhammad.

These massacres were fulfilling Jihad - unholy war in the name of God. Jihad is not a perversion of Islam - Jihad is the very essence of Islam. It takes up a large portion of the Trilogy. From politicalislam.com - "Material for jihad is 24% of the Medinan Koran and 9% of the total of the entire Koran. Jihad takes up 21% of the Bukhari material and the Sira devotes 67% of its text to jihad. (98% of jihad is devoted to jihad of the sword. Only 2% is the greater Jihad of inner struggle.)"

We could write hundreds and hundreds of more pages detailing the perversion of God by Islam. Below is a listing of some of these immoral teachings not from any God, not from any human but from a beast - Muhammad - a monster of history. If there is a hell - Muhammad is in it. Nikad and his ilk who have murdered 270,000,000 - the greatest holocaust in history or by their silence supported these murders becoming accomplices in murder during the past 1400 years will sooner rather than later be joining their prophet for having been given free will to choose between good and evil, Nikad and his ilk has chosen the evil that is Islam.

<http://www.islamreform.net/new-page-145.htm> THE 10 MOST DIABOLICAL EVIL TEACHINGS IN ALL HUMAN HISTORY

<http://www.islamreform.net/new-page-96.htm> 22 CATEGORIES OF ISLAMIC MURDER 52 REASONS MUSLIM MEN CAN KILL SANCTIONED BY GOD

<http://www.islamreform.net/new-page-193.htm> Islam is hate

<http://www.islamreform.net/new-page-23.htm> 691 teachings of immoral depravity  
<http://www.islamreform.net/new-page-16.htm> Jewish hatred

<http://www.islamreform.net/new-page-187.htm> Allah (AKA Muhammad) Hates All Women

<http://www.islamreform.net/new-page-7.htm> TERRORIZE ENEMIES OF THE ANTIGOD:THE KAFIRS

<http://www.islamreform.net/new-page-41.htm> 91 KORNIC TEACHINGS COMMANDING MUSLIMS TO OBEY AND FOLLOW THE PERFECT MUSLIM - MUHAMMAD

<http://www.islamreform.net/new-page-42.htm> 217 TEACHINGS OF HELL

<http://www.islamreform.net/new-page-15.htm> Looting and pillaging

**AND MUCH, MUCH MORE**

**We have destroyed Islam not with one immoral word but with thousands of diabolical immoral words and teachings. Islam is fraudulent. Muhammad is fraudulent. Allah is fraudulent. Islam is Evil In The Name of God™**

## **MUHAMMAD: THE BIG, BAD WOLF**

## MUHAMMAD: THE BIG, BAD WOLF

### Hey Germans! Muhammad Was a Big, Bad Wolf, Not a ‘Prophet of Peace’

#### Germany Adds Lessons in Islam in Schools to Better Blend Its Melting Pot

##### SANITIZING EVIL

Germany has a long history of creating fantastic fairytales. It was the Brothers Grimm, who catalogued such great fairytales as Snow White, Hansel and Gretel, Sleeping Beauty, Little Red Riding Hood and many more.

The elites of Germany have now embarked on the creation of two of the ever-greatest fairytales in history – that (1) Islam is a ‘religion of peace’, and (2) Muhammad was a ‘prophet of peace’.

Schools in Germany will now teach Islam to primary school students starting in the first grade to better integrate Muslims into German society.

A report in [NY Times](#) says:

"The classes offered in Hesse State are part of a growing consensus that Germany, after decades of neglect, should do more to acknowledge and serve its Muslim population if it is to foster social harmony, overcome its aging demographics and head off a potential domestic security threat....

By offering young Muslims a basic introduction to Islam as early as first grade, emphasizing its teachings on tolerance and acceptance, the authorities hope to inoculate young people against more extreme religious views while also signaling state acceptance of their faith."

This article further states that Germany has failed its Muslim population. *"I think it's clear now that for years we made the mistake of alienating people,"* said education minister Nicola Beer in Hesse.

It is probably true that Muslims have been a failed community in Germany, but it is not Germany and the Germans, who have failed Germany's Muslims. Instead, it is Muslims who have refused to accept German democracy and freedom, freedom of speech and the press, equality of citizens, equality of women with men – all of which are central to Germany's constitutional democracy.

Germany has a fantastic welfare system that ensures a good life from cradle to the grave for its citizenry. But rather than embracing Germany, its language and culture, Muslims seek to create their own parallel society and no-go zones, governed by Sharia Law, and seek to enforce Sharia and Islam on the entire country in a step-by-step process.

It is the Muslim mantra that Muslims must not integrate into Germany. Instead it is the Germans who must integrate into and surrender to Islam.

In light of the above reality with Germany's Muslim populace, what the German political and intellectual elites doing today is the same old grave mistake they committed by empowering Adolf Hitler and his Nazism.

Islam is a worse a cult than Nazism. German elites are establishing a fairytale sanitized image of Islam for the sake of "tolerance and acceptance" – a civilized ethos for which Islam only has abhorrence and its followers have little regard.

While there are a few verses in the Quran issued at the early stages of Muhammad's prophetic career when he was weak that may seem conciliatory, but they were all abrogated by his later verses, calling for murder, rape and plunder of non-Muslims until the entire world is terrorized into submitting to Islam alone. To understand Abrogation of Islamic teachings, go to: <http://godofmoralperfection.com/new-page-34.htm>

By putting forward the fantasized image of Islam – that don't worry, Islam is all love and tolerance, peace and beauty; it is just a small group of Jihadist and Salafists who have misunderstood the Quran and causing the mayhem – German elites are only helping a great evil become mainstream. They are just putting a Happy Face on an evil.

Well, the elites of Germany! The Jihadists and Salafists are not at all the misunderstanders of Islam. Instead, they are the true practitioners of Islam, the true Islam. They are following exactly in the footsteps of Muhammad, the first Muslim terrorist, torturer, child molester, and rapist – the first Muslim Jihadist and a monster of history.

The German fairytale "Little Red Riding Hood" is meant for warning the unaware little children to be aware of the big, bad wolf that may come their way. For the Germans, for all Westerners, indeed for all of humanity, the big, bad wolf of relevance today is Muhammad, who sexually molested the little child Aisha when she was six years old and raped her when she turned 9.

How are the schools in Germany going to teach its children about the sexual molestation and raping of little child Aisha by Muhammad, their so-called 'prophet of peace'?

Sahih Bukhari 7:62:64:

Narrated By 'Aisha: "That the Prophet married her when she was six years old and he *consummated his marriage* when she was nine years old, and then she remained with him for nine years" (i.e., till his death). (To read more about Muhammad's abuse of little Aisha, click [Baby Aisha And Muhammad: A Love Story...From The Holy Book Of Islam.](#))

The answer is: These schools will never teach the truth about Muhammad's evil character, such as his sexual perversions – from his child abuse to polygamy to sex-slavery.

The fact is: Not only did Muhammad molest and rape the little child Aisha, but also created a Quranic teaching making the raping of little children a divinely justified act for all eternity. Thus Muhammad, the evil child molester, established pedophilia as a



sanctified eternal tradition in Islam. He is the big, bad wolf of the Little Red Riding Hood story for the innocent German children, especially little girls.

The legitimacy of “marrying” pre-pubescent girls, who have not yet had their “monthly courses”, is established both in the Qur’an (65:4) and in the "perfect example" set by Muhammad for his Muslim followers to come until the end of the world.

The Qur'an:

Such of your women as have passed the age of monthly courses, for them the prescribed period, if ye have any doubts, is three months, and for those who have no courses (it is the same) (65:4)

Here the rule concerns the remarriage of divorced Muslim women. Allah commands divorced Muslim women to observe a three-month waiting period before they can remarry, so as to make sure that they weren't pregnant previous husbands' child.

Elsewhere the big, bad wolf of Islam encouraged his men to marry “young girls” for greater sexual pleasure:

"Allah's Apostle said to me, "Have you got married O Jabir?" I replied, "Yes." He asked "What, a virgin or a matron?" I replied, "Not a virgin but a matron." He said, "Why did you not marry a young girl who would have fondled with you?" (Bukhari59:382)

What of all the women Muhammad – the big, bad wolf – captured in his aggressive wars and whom he and his men raped, kept the prettiest ones as their permanent sex-slaves and sold the undesirable ones into slavery.

In the Massacre of Banu Qurayza, Muhammad raped captive girl Rayhana bint Amr and allowed his merry killers to enslave and rape the rest of the Qurayza women:

“The apostle had chosen one of their women for himself, Rayhana bint Amr . . . one of the women of . . . Qurayza, and she remained with him until she died, in his power. The apostle had proposed to marry and put a veil on her, but she said: "Nay, leave me in your power, for that will be easier for me and for you." So he left her. She had shown repugnance towards Islam when she was captured and clung to Judaism” (Ibn Ishaq p. 466)

The looted property and the Jewish women and children were divided among the Muslims as detailed by Ibn Ishaq:

“Then the apostle divided the property, wives, and children . . . among the Muslims, and he made known on that day the shares of horse and men, and took out the fifth. A horseman got three shares, two for the horse and one for the rider. A man without a horse got one share (p. 466). Then the apostle sent Sa'd b. Zayd al-Ansari brother of b. 'Abdu'l-Ashhal with some of the captive women of B. Qurayza to Najd and he sold them for horses and weapons.” [p. 466]

And a much greater evil wolf than he was than that of *Little Red Riding Hood* story, Muhammad ordered all the Jewish men – 600 to 900 of them – be beheaded. The young boys were checked for pubic hair growth and those showing traces of pubic hair were dragged to the slaughter house as the Muslim crowd rejoiced their harrowing beheadings.

Dawood, Book 38, Number 4390:

Narrated Atiyah al-Qurazi: “I was among the captives of Banu Qurayzah. They (the Companions) examined us, and those who had begun to grow hair (pubes) were killed, and those who had not were not killed. I was among those who had not grown hair.”

Quran 8:17—“It is not ye who Slew them; it is God; when thou threwest a handful of dust, it was not Thy act, but God’s.....” (Allah said, the killing of surrendered soldiers were done by the wish of Allah)

Quran 8:67—“It is not for any prophet to have captives until he hath made slaughter in the land. Ye desire the lure of this world and Allah desireth (for you) the Hereafter, and Allah is Mighty, Wise.” (Allah insisting Prophet to kill all the prisoners, and should not keep any surrendered prisoners alive until He (Prophet) occupied entire Arabia.

Quran 33:25: “Allah turned back the unbelievers [Meccans and their allies] in a state of rage, having not won any good, and Allah spared the believers battle. Allah is, indeed, Strong and Mighty.”

Quran 33:26: “And He brought those of the People of the Book [Jewish people of Banu Qurayza] who supported them from their fortresses and cast terror into their hearts, some of them you slew (beheaded) and some you took prisoners (captive)”

The list of Muhammad’s cruel and barbaric acts is way too long. Just one more example will suffice here to illustrate the extent of Muhammad’s cruelty, the killing of Umm Qirfa. She was a very old woman, wife of Malik and the leader of her community. Zayd ordered Qays to kill Umm Qirfa and he killed her cruelly by tying her legs with ropes, which two camels pulled in opposite directions, thus tearing her into two parts. (Ibn Ishaq/Hisham 980)

How would the German schools teach Muhammad’s barbaric killing of Umm Qirfa to its little children so as to show that Muhammad was a ‘prophet of peace’. How would German schools teach its mostly Christian and Jewish children that Muhammad forbade Muslims not to take Jews and Christians as friend?

*Qur'an 5:51* "Believers, take not Jews and Christians for your friends."

If German schools are to teach the real teaching and history of Muhammad, there is only one way to portray him—a big, bad wolf, not a ‘prophet of peace and tolerance’ that German schools are bent on. Indeed, there are few parallels in history of the evils committed by Muhammad. Given he committed all his cruelties and barbarism claiming as the divine prophet or as divine commands of God, this makes his every horrible action a eternal holy act that Muslims should try their utmost to emulate at all times. This makes

Muhammad a much much much greater evil than even Hitler.

Despite all these, German schools will find ways to sanitize Muhammad's character by hiding his every evil action to portray him as peaceful and tolerant prophet. This sanctification of one of the history's evil-most persons into a divine saint will do nothing but paving the way for the destruction of German way of life – its democracy, freedom and tolerance. I urge the Germans to look at the Muslim world first, which is sure to come ashore to German, thanks to such naïve actions. Indeed, it is already there – just look at this video and see the true reality of Islam in Germany already:

[http://www.liveleak.com/view?i=b41\\_1366642977](http://www.liveleak.com/view?i=b41_1366642977)

And programs such as this that German schools are undertaking will only enhance and accelerate the process of Islam's destruction of the German life and society. The only hope of saving Germany from destruction by Islam as it is happening right now lies in seeing Muhammad for what he was – A Big Bad Wolf.

## THE MORAL PERFECTION OF MUHAMMAD

(Part 1 of Series Exposing the Immoral Evil Perfection of Muhammad. From Book – GOD OF MORAL PERFECTION free download at [www.godofmoralperfection.com](http://www.godofmoralperfection.com) )

### Prophet Muhammad – An Epitome of Moral Perfections or Paragon of Evil?

#### SUNNA OF EVIL PERFECTION

For Islam to be true, both Muhammad and his supposed God must be of moral perfection as conceived by the human conscience. In fact, the Quran, the holy book of Islam, does claim that Muhammad was the most perfect human being ever created:

"Ye have indeed in the Apostle of God a beautiful pattern (of conduct) for any one whose hope is in God and the Final Day, and who engages much in the Praise of God." (Quran 33:21)

Therefore, Muhammad is expected to be the "Paragon of Moral Perfection" as per Islam's own claim. Also in the following verses, Allah endows moral greatness upon Muhammad:

"Say (O Muhammad to mankind): 'If you (really) love Allah then follow me (i.e. accept Islamic Monotheism, follow Quran and the Sunnah), Allah will love you and forgive you your sins. And Allah is Oft-Forgiving, Most Merciful.' Say (O Muhammad): 'Obey Allah and the Messenger (Muhammad).' But if they turn away, then Allah does not like the disbelievers." (Quran: 3:31)

"And whatever the Messenger gives you, take it, and whatever he forbids you, leave it. And fear Allah: truly Allah is severe in punishment. (Quran 59:7)

"And verily, you (O Muhammad SAW) are on an exalted standard of character." (Quran 68:4)

"And We have not sent you but as a mercy to the worlds." (Al-Anbiya' 21:107)

Bukhari 1:2:25

Narrated Abu Huraira:

Allah's Apostle was asked, "What is the best deed?" He replied, "To believe in Allah and His Apostle (Muhammad). The questioner then asked, "What is the next (in goodness)? He replied, "To participate in Jihad (religious fighting) in Allah's Cause." The questioner again asked, "What is the next (in goodness)?" He replied, "To perform Hajj (Pilgrim age to Mecca) 'Mubruur, (which is accepted by Allah and is performed with the intention of

seeking Allah's pleasure only and not to show off and without committing a sin and in accordance with the traditions of the Prophet)."

There are 91 verses in the Quran that say: *obeying God is obeying Muhammad and obeying Muhammad is obeying God*(see <http://www.islamreform.net/new-page-41.htm>). Therefore, Muhammad and Allah have the same standing in Islam, are equal to each other.

It is supposed that God created man in His own image. Prophet Muhammad did God one better. Muhammad created God in his own image and bestowed upon his god – Allah (the AntiGod) – his own characteristics, personality, desires and ambitions. Muhammad made up the Allah of the Quran (the AntiGod) and all the Quranic teachings to create a perfect totalitarian system. How could the word of God be challenged? Muhammad was Allah and Allah was Muhammad

Evidence for moral perfection of Muhammad is found in the Sunnah – the sacred sayings and deeds of Islam’s holy prophet. Sunnah literally means “path”. In Islam, Sunnah is the “path” laid down by the character, manners, ritual habits, deeds, examples and legislative actions of Prophet Muhammad.

Sunnah is also the explanation of the Quran. It is the creed of ahl-i-Sunnah, which has been constituted with collecting true hadiths of Prophet Muhammad and interpretation of these hadiths by great scholars in later times. Sunnah, thus, sets a perfect way for anyone seeking to draw close to Allah.

The collection of hadiths of Sahih al-Bukhari is second-most holiest book in Islam, next only to the holy Quran. Sahih Bukhari is also the best and most authentic and trusted book, outlining the “Sunnah” or “path” for Muslims, and the moral perfections of Prophet Muhammad. Each report in Bukhari’s collection was checked for compatibility with the Qur'an, and the veracity of the chain of reporters had to be painstakingly established. His collection of hadith is considered second to none. (Sahih Muslim is another respected collection of Hadith.)

A prophet of a supposed God of the Universe must be the light for leading humanity from the abyss of violence and evil to a world of peace and justice. Therefore, as commonsense demands, one cannot be an unjust conqueror and warlord, leading armies into battle for looting and mass-murder, and be a prophet of God.

As the supposed God of Universe must be of moral perfection, so would be His representatives on earth. And Allah duly claims the same about Prophet Muhammad in the Quran as related above.

Therefore, the Hadiths that outline the character and personality of Muhammad would be of moral perfection. If any actions or sayings of Muhammad in any of the Hadiths show any immorality, injustice or evil on his part, then he was not a prophet of God but a fraud. Just one instance of immoral imperfection of Muhammad is enough to negate the claim of his prophethood. But there are way too many instances of Muhammad’s immorality,

injustice or evil recorded in all the Hadith collections that not only prove that Muhammad was far from the “moral perfection” desired of a prophet of God, but also obviate that Muhammad was a “Paragon of Evil”.

### **SUNNA OF EVIL PERFECTION**

The following are a short-list of the evil Sunnah of Muhammad, recorded in the Hadiths of Bukhari:

Child sexual molestation and Pedophilia is Sunna in Islam.

Murder, even Mass Murder, is Sunna in Islam.

Extermination and ethnic cleansing of communities is Sunna in Islam.

Rape is Sunna in Islam.

Sex Slavery is Sunna in Islam

Beheading is Sunna in Islam.

Stoning to death for sexual deviation is Sunna in Islam.

Beating one’s wife is Sunna in Islam

Murdering Kafir children is Sunna in Islam.

Murdering Muslims’ own children is Sunna in Islam.

Murdering Jews is Sunna in Islam

Murdering Christians is Sunna in Islam

Slavery is Sunna in Islam

Booty is Sunna in Islam

Whipping is Sunna in Islam

Torture is Sunna in Islam

Terror is Sunna in Islam

Maiming is Sunna in Islam

Jihad is Sunna in Islam

Extortion is Sunna in Islam

Inferiority, oppression, subjugation of Women Is Sunna in Islam

Looting, pillaging is Sunna in Islam

Murdering elderly is Sunna in Islam

Murdering musicians is Sunna in Islam

Murdering those who are being sarcastic about Allah's name, His command, His interdiction, His promise, or His threat is Sunna in Islam

Murdering those who deny any verse of the Quran or 'anything which by scholarly consensus belongs to it, or to add a verse that does not belong to it is Sunna in Islam

Murdering those who hold that 'any of Allah's messengers or prophets are liars, or to deny their being sent is Sunna in Islam

(Murdering those who revile the religion of Islam, being sarcastic about any ruling of the Sacred Law; denying that Allah intended 'the Prophet's message to be the religion followed by the entire world is Sunna in Islam

Murdering apostates is Sunna in Islam

Murdering prisoners of war is Sunna in Islam

Murdering gays is Sunna in Islam.

Forcible conversion is Sunna in Islam. Murdering those who are critical of Islam is Sunna in Islam

Murdering those who revile Allah or his Messenger is Sunna in Islam

This above catalogue of the holy Sunnah of Muhammad simply represents the characteristic of an evil maniac (All these criminal Sunnas will be documented with relevant Hadith reference in this series of articles to come). But in Islam, they represent the Sunnah, the sacred “path”. And quite desirably this is the Sunna that Muslim men were emulating at the Kenyan, Boston, WorldTrade Center, and all the other Jihad massacres across the globe. Since the Muslim perpetrators of those Jihadi terror attacks were simply modeling their behavior on Muhammad, they are good, moral Muslims – not deviant fanatics. Far from the naïve thinking that their violence and intolerance are alien to inherently peaceful Islam, they are directly rooted in Muhammad's example.

The above catalogue of Muhammad’s evil Sunnah would obviate that he was among the rare instances of evil persons ever walked the earth, not the person of moral perfection as claimed by Allah. And when Allah picked such an “evil incarnate” as his best representative to mankind, then Allah could not be a loving and merciful creator of the Universe but a Monster of Evil.

# THE IMMORAL EVIL PERFECTION OF PROPHET MUHAMMAD

(Part 2 of Series “Prophet Muhammad – An Epitome of Moral Perfections or Paragon of Evil?” exposing the Immoral Evil Perfection of Muhammad. From Books – KILLING PROPHET MUHAMMAD and GOD OF MORAL PERFECTION™ - free download at [www.godofmoralperfection.com](http://www.godofmoralperfection.com)

## ANGEL GABRIEL

### THE FIRST KILLER PREDATOR DRONE

A Predator drone - MQ-1 Predator - created by General Atomics is an [unmanned aerial vehicle](#) (UAV) built by [General Atomics](#) and used primarily by the [United States Air Force](#) (USAF) and [Central Intelligence Agency](#) (CIA). Initially conceived in the early 1990s for [reconnaissance](#) and forward observation roles, the Predator carries cameras and other sensors but has been modified and upgraded to carry and fire two [AGM-114 Hellfire missiles](#) or other munitions.

On February 4, 2002, the CIA first used an unmanned Predator drone in a targeted killing. The strike was in Paktia province in Afghanistan, near the city of Khost.

The first Killer Predator drone was Angel Gabriel.

Angel Gabriel was the transmitter of the Quran from God to Muhammad. Every word of the Quran was dictated by God to Angel Gabriel who re-transmitted them to Muhammad.

[Quran 2:97](#) expressly narrates:

"Who is an enemy to Gabriel! For he it is who hath revealed (this scripture) to thy heart by God's leave, confirming that which was (revealed) before it, and a guidance and glad tidings to believers."

Narrated Said bin Jubair: ...The Statement of Allah: And 'When we have recited it to you (O Muhammad through Gabriel) then you follow its (Qur'an) recital' (75.18) means 'listen to it and be silent.' Then it is for Us (Allah) to make It clear to you' (75.19) means 'Then it is (for Allah) to make you recite it (and its meaning will be clear by itself through your tongue). Afterwards, Allah's Apostle used to listen to Gabriel whenever he came and after his departure he used to recite it as Gabriel had recited it."

Sahih Bukhari 1:1:4

Sometimes he would appear with six hundred wings.

Narrated ASSAILANT: ..."Abdullah (bin Mas'ud) informed us that Muhammad had seen Gabriel with six hundred wings."

Sahih Bukhari 6:60:380



## THE MASSACRE OF BANU QURAIZA

We will now document from both Hadith and Quran one of the most important massacres by Muhammad – the massacre of Banu Quraiza.

Gabriel was much more than just a mere transmitter. He was no Guardian Angel but a Killer angel who actively participated in the crimes of Muhammad. It was the Angel Gabriel who instructed Muhammad to attack the Jews of Bani Qurayza. It was Angel Gabriel who led an army of jihadi killer Angels to stand side by side with Muhammad in the assault of Banu Quraiza. Can you imagine Gabriel and his killer angel compatriots flying over the ramparts attacking the Jews defenders behind their fortifications – the first predator drones.?

In the Massacre of Banu Quraiza, Muhammad – the most perfect human being ever created – the Moral Perfection of Moral Perfection - ordered the beheading of 600 to 900 adult men (determined by pubic-hair growth) and he himself chopped off a few heads. He enslaved all the women and children of the Jewish tribe. The women were kept as sex-slaves with Muhammad himself taking a beautiful Jewish woman as his own sex-slave and sold the rest of the captives into slavery. He also looted the property of the murdered Jews and took possession of all their wealth and properties. Allah, like a godfather and mafia chieftain of the Muhammad's criminal gang, rejoiced this barbarous and heinous acts of slaughtering the Jews and enslavement of their women and children by creating 5 teachings in the Koran as the Eternal Laws of God.

For Islam to be true - since EVERY word of the Quran was transmitted by God to Angel Gabriel and re-transmitted to Muhammad then every word of the Quran must be Moral Perfection. If just one word is immoral then the Quran is not from God but from man and ALL Islam is fraudulent. As stated in Part 1 of series – “As the supposed God of the Universe must be of Moral Perfection, so would be His representatives on earth. And Allah duly claims the same about Prophet Muhammad in the Quran (read series Article 1). Therefore, ALL the Hadiths that outline the character and personality of Muhammad would be of moral perfection. Just as with the one word of immoral imperfection to negate the entire Quran as the word of God, if any actions or sayings of Muhammad in any of the Hadiths show any immorality, injustice or evil on his part, just one criminal act committed by Muhammad - just one act of violence, just one act of leading or ordering or planning just one act of war, let alone ordering murder, massacres, rape, enslavement, booty, child molestation, sex slavery, stoning, maiming, terror, torture then he was not a prophet of God but a fraud. Just one instance of immoral imperfection of Muhammad - just one immoral Hadith destroys the Moral Perfection of Muhammad and is enough to negate the claim of his prophethood and therefore Muhammad and all Islam are fraudulent.” For 6 Requirements For Islam To Be From God go to: <http://www.godofmoralperfection.com/new-page-13.htm>

There are 7 immoral. evil Hadiths in this article along with 9 Quranic immoral, evil verses. We have not 1 immoral word but 362 immoral words in these Quranic teachings.

We have not 1 evil Hadith but 7 with thousands and thousands more immoral, evil Hadiths creating the evil Sunna of Muhammad. Therefore ALL Islam is fraudulent.

## **THE MASSACRE OF BANU QURAIZA**

### **Angel Gabriel Appears To Muhammad And Orders Him To Attack The Rich Jewish Settlement Of Banu Quraiza**

Sahi Bukhari :Volume 5, Book 59, Number 443:

Narrated Aisha:

When the Prophet returned from the battle of Al-Khandaq (i.e. Trench) and laid down his arms and took a bath, Gabriel came and said (to the Prophet), "you have laid down your arms? By Allah, we angels have not laid them down yet. So set out for them." The Prophet said, "Where to go?" Gabriel said, "Towards this side," pointing towards Banu Quraiza. So the Prophet went out towards them. (Book 019, Number 4368)

Sahi Bukhari Volume 5, Book 59, Number 444

Narrated Anas:

“As if I am just now looking at the dust rising in the street of Banu Ghanm (in Medina) because of the marching of Gabriel's regiment when Allah's Apostle set out to Banu Quraiza (to attack them).”

These traditions about Gabriel's leadership are designed to give divine support for the atrocity that Muhammad was about to be unleashed upon Banu Qurayza. Today, we may see this as fanciful, but to millions of Muslims this is real and divine.

The Jews of Banu Quraiza fiercely fought against God, his killer Angel Gabriel and his regiment of jihadi angels and God's killer prophet Muhammad and 3000 of his jihadi – The Companions.

Can you imagine the fearsome sight of Angel Gabriel with 600 wings, sword in hand with his angel regiment assailing the fortifications of Banu Quraiza?

With such a force Gabriel could have flown over the walls protecting the town, attacked the Jews in the town, and opened the gates so Muhammad's forces could have poured into the city.

Gabriel could have smashed the fortifications with just one swing of his sword, just as a US drone missile strike would have blown the city walls to smithereens

These killer Angel drones were unable to conquer the city for 25 days. Not even the raging of God pouring his hatred of the Banu Quarizans to Angel Gabriel (in Quranic verses to come in this article) for re-transmission to Muhammad could force the Jews to quickly surrender.

Can you imagine God – the creator of the universe 6,000,000,000,000 miles x 14,000,000,000 light years in size with 140,000,000,000 earth like planets just on our Milky Way – a 1000 Milky Ways – comprising our universe for 140,000,000,000,000 earth like planets. God who created the laws necessary for this creation. The intelligence of God would have to be infinitesimal. Not even God could bring down the city walls.

Both God and the Angel Gabriel became accomplices in mass murder, rape, looting and slavery because they did nothing to stop Muhammad from committing these crimes against humanity. Both God and Gabriel were coated in the blood of the murdered Banu Quraizans. Indeed God transmitted Quranic verses sanctifying this slaughter.

**Muhammad Besieges Banu Quraiza For 25 Days. After The Jews Unconditionally Surrender All Men Are Beheaded, Women and Young Girls Raped and Sold into Slavery. Their Property was looted.**

Sahi Bukhari Volume 5, Book 59, Number 448

“So Allah's Apostle went to them (i.e. Banu Quraiza) (i.e. besieged them). They then surrendered to the Prophet's judgment (unconditionally after 25 days of fierce resistance) but he directed them to Sad (ally) to give his verdict concerning them. Sad said, "I give my judgment that their warriors should be killed, their women and children should be taken as captives, and their properties distributed."

The Prophet said, "You have judged according to the King's (Allah's) judgment." (Hadith No. 447, Vol. 5)

The sentence was Death by decapitation for around 300—600 men and pubescent boys, and enslavement for the women and children. Ibn Ishaq says that the number may have been as high as 800—900 (p. 464).

The text of Sirat [Ibn Ishaq, page 464]:

Then they surrendered, and the apostle confined them in Medina in the quarter of d. al-Harith, a woman of B. al-Najjar. Then the apostle went out to the market of Medina (which is still its market today) and dug trenches in it. Then he sent for them and struck off their heads in those trenches as they were brought out to him in batches. Among them was the enemy of Allah Huyayy b. Akhtab and Ka`b b. Asad their chief. There were 600 or 700 in all, though some put the figure as high as 800 or 900. As they were being taken out in batches to the apostle they asked Ka`b what he thought would be done with them. He replied, 'Will you never understand? Don't you see that the summoner never stops and those who are taken away do not return? By Allah it is death!' This went on until the apostle made an end of them.

Huyayy was brought out wearing a flowered robe in which he had made holes about the size of the finger-tips in every part so that it should not be taken from him as spoil, with his hands bound to his neck by a rope. When he saw the apostle he said, 'By God, I do not blame myself for opposing you, but he who forsakes God will be forsaken.' Then he went

to the men and said, 'God's command is right. A book and a decree, and massacre have been written against the Sons of Israel.' Then he sat down and his head was struck off.

Muhammad ordered and participated in the digging of the trench into which the massacred Jews were to be thrown. He STRUCK OFF the heads of at least two of those captives.

### **How Did The SS Jihadist Executioners Decide on Which Jewish Boys To Slaughter Or Leave Alive To Be Sold Into Slavery**

To separate the young Jewish men from the minors, Muhammad ordered that their pants be pulled down and the youngster's genital area examined SS style for pubic hairs and if they had grown any pubic hair, it was enough to behead them.

Book 38, Number 4390

Narrated Atiyyah al-Qurazi:

I was among the captives of Banu Qurayzah. They (the Companions) examined us, and those who had begun to grow hair (pubes) were killed, and those who had not were not killed. I was among those who had not grown hair.

### **Aisha's Description of the Only Woman Murdered: She was Delirious Because Her Husband Had Just Been Beheaded. She was taken by the SS Jihadist and Beheaded.**

Book 14, Number 2665:

Narrated Aisha, Ummul Mu'minin

No woman of Banu Qurayzah was killed except one. She was with me, talking and laughing on her back and belly (extremely), while the Apostle of Allah (peace\_be\_upon\_him) was killing her people with the swords. Suddenly a man called her name: Where is so-and-so? I asked: What is the matter with you? She said: I did a new act. The man took her and beheaded her. I will not forget that she was laughing extremely although she knew that she would be killed.

### **Muhammad Took One Of The Jewish Women As His Sex-slave**

The apostle had chosen one of their women for himself, Rayhana bint Amr . . . one of the women of . . . Qurayza, and she remained with him until she died, in his power. The apostle had proposed to marry and put a veil on her, but she said: "Nay, leave me in your power, for that will be easier for me and for you." So he left her. She had shown repugnance towards Islam when she was captured and clung to Judaism. (Ibn Ishaq p. 466)

### **The Looted Property And The Jewish Women And Children Were Divided Among the Muslims**

More specifically, Ibn Ishaq says the spoils were divided among the Muslims thus:

Then the apostle divided the property, wives, and children . . . among the Muslims, and he made known on that day the shares of horse and men, and took out the fifth. A horseman got three shares, two for the horse and one for the rider. A man without a horse got one share (p. 466). Then the apostle sent Sa'd b. Zayd al-Ansari brother of b. 'Abdu'l-Ashhal with some of the captive women of B. Qurayza to Najd and he sold them for horses and weapons. [page 466]

### **Allah Allows Muhammad and His Jihadists To Have Sex With Their Sex-slaves**

Allah also allows jihadists to have sex with female slaves. Sources: Ibn Ishaq, pp. 464-66; Tabari, vol. 8, pp. 27-41.

### **Muhammad Enriches Himself**

Muhammad had captured huge spoils from his "final solution" of Jews of Banu Qurayza. Muhammad gets one-fifth of the Jewish property (movable, immovable and human), and his jihadist followers get the rest. The tribe of Banu Qurayza likely consisted of about 500 families, who were wealthiest in Medina. Therefore, Muhammad enriched himself with the wealth of about 100 rich families as well as their women and children from this single act of successful Jihad.

Here is how Allah sanctions the enrichment of Muhammad and his Jihadist followers from his expedition:

Quran-8:41— "And know that out of all the booty that ye may acquire (in war), a fifth share is assigned to Allah,- and to the Messenger, and to near relatives, orphans, the needy, and the wayfarer,- if ye do believe in Allah and in the revelation We sent down to Our servant on the Day of Testing,- the Day of the meeting of the two forces. For Allah hath power over all things.

### **Why Does Muhammad Not Show Mercy?**

It is Allah who guides Muhammad into committing these heinous, barbaric cruelty against the people of Arabia. Below are a list of Allah's commands to Muhammad to carry out those acts of carnage:

God celebrates this slaughter and enslavement of the Jews in the Quran. God called on Angel Gabriel spoke to Gabriel the following words and Gabriel flew down to Muhammad and re-transmitted these evil immoral words.

### **THE MASSACRE OF BANU QURAYZA. ALLAH (The AntiGOD) CELEBRATES THE GREAT VICTORY OF HIS CREATOR – MUHAMMAD**

Allah (the AntiGod) was overjoyed by the great victory of His apostle and celebrated this slaughter and enslavement of the Jewish kafirs of Banu Qurayza. Merciful Allah promptly sent Quranic teachings during that period of Banu Qurayza war to justify the cruelty of prophet Muhammad. Again, the hate of Allah pours like rivers of blood from these hideous words. The Quran is coated in the blood of the kafirs. Here are the

Quranic teachings that are criminal in any concept of laws, but are valid in the eternal laws of Allah (the AntiGod) and must be obeyed by all Muslims for all eternity.

Quran-8:17—“It is not ye who Slew them; it is God; when thou threwest a handful of dust, it was not Thy act, but God’s.....” (Allah said, the killing of surrendered soldiers were done by the wish of Allah)

Quran-8:67—“It is not for any prophet to have captives until he hath made slaughter in the land. Ye desire the lure of this world and Allah desireth (for you) the Hereafter, and Allah is Mighty, Wise.” (Allah insisting Prophet to kill all the prisoners, and should not keep any surrendered prisoners alive until He (Prophet) occupied entire Arabia.

How can you believe in a God who instructs the murder of all prisoners? These are CRIMES AGAINST HUMANITY. THESE ARE CRIMES AGAINST GOD. This law was an order from Allah (the AntiGod) to murder all prisoners until Arabia was conquered for Islam. Take no prisoners. Kill them all. “Make slaughter in the land.” MASS MURDER. The word “slaughter” is so outrageous that only the insane can believe in Islam. As we have already seen - if God murdered human beings – just one human being, He would no longer be Moral Perfection and therefore, no longer God. He would be nothing more than just a murderer. IT WAS EVIL MUSLIMS WHO SLEW THE JEWS NOT GOD.

Quran-33:25- “Allah turned back the unbelievers [Meccans and their allies] in a state of rage, having not won any good, and Allah spared the believers battle. Allah is, indeed, Strong and Mighty.”

Quran-33:26- “And He brought those of the People of the Book [Jewish people of Banu Qurayza] who supported them from their fortresses and cast terror into their hearts, some of them you slew (beheaded) and some you took prisoners (captive)”

Do you not hear the screaming and wailing of the women and children of the defenders as God brought their husbands, fathers and brothers (the defenders) down from their secured positions and cast terror into their hearts? Do you not feel the anguished torment of these women and their children? Do you not understand the terror instilled by God into the villagers, themselves the defenders against Muhammad's aggression?

Words of hate and terror stream out from the teaching 33:26: “in a state of rage” “he threw terror into their hearts” & “some you slew (beheaded).” Can you imagine the power of God, the creator of the universe—the full force of the sheer hatred of God striking fear and terror into the hearts and souls of men, women and children, the old and the infirm? Like a sadist, God enjoys torturing these people. Like a master terrorist, he reviles in the moment. God drove the Jews out of their secure forts in fear and terror, so that Muhammad and his co-jihadists could murder them and enslave the women and children.

Think about that for a moment. Can anybody in their right mind believe that God would commit such a brutal, immoral and hideous act? God as a criminal, mass murderer,

sadist, psychopath—a deranged maniac. If at all, a perfect God will manifest himself only to show love and affection to his creatures - not to incite hate, violence and terror against any of them.

God never brought down the people of the book (Banu Quraiza Jews) from their secure positions for killing and enslaving them. God never cast terror into their hearts. It was Muhammad and his jihadi murderers that committed these evil acts. Indisputably, Quran 33:26 is a criminal and barbaric teaching. The Quran, therefore, is not a book of Moral Perfection, not authored by God, but by Allah (the AntiGod) and his messenger - Muhammad.

Again, says Allah:. Quran-8:17 – “It is not ye who Slew them; it is God; when thou threwest a handful of dust, it was not Thy act, but God’s.....”

How evil is the Quranic teaching that shifts the blame of Muhammad and his murderous followers' indiscriminate killing of kafirs onto God's shoulder. It is unacceptable even to invoke God in acts of evil. Verse 8:17 is one of the most despicable, immoral teachings of the Quran. In order to justify mass murder, in order to allay the pangs of conscience of his Muslim murderers, Muhammad fabricates that "it was not you who slew them, but it was God who killed them." In this verse, Allah advises Muhammad's followers: 'don't worry about those mindless murders; do not have any nightmares; do not have any feelings of remorse and regret - instead, steel your hearts against the enemies of God.' God did a good thing through your hands.

True God can never justify the killing and murdering of his own creations. It was Muhammad and his Muslim warriors who killed them, not God. This teaching of the Quran is truly evil, not authored by a God of Moral Perfection.

Does anybody in their right mind believe that God would order such a brutal, immoral, hideous act? God as a criminal. God as a mass murderer. All the above teachings are evil and immoral and not of God. Therefore, as already documented numerous times and will be further documented being not perfect – to say the least – the entire Quran is not a book of Moral Perfection – not a book of God but a book of evil.

## **ENJOY THE BOOTY**

After killing, Allah (the AntiGod) now declares that Muhammad can take the defender's land, their homes, their money, and lands he never had stepped upon. God can do all things. It's now your land, your homes, your money. Take it all. Allah is not only a mass-murderer, but also a looter, a robber. Allah's prototypic teaching is: ‘Attack and kill the kafirs, enslave the women and children and take possession of their property.’

How can acquiring earthly wealth and money through such immoral, barbaric and cruel means come into the equation of God's teaching? Indeed booty is so important to God that He titles an entire Surah in the Quran; Surah 8: “The Spoils of War Booty.” This Surah was created to prevent fighting among Muslims for booty.

Ishaq: 307 “The ‘Spoils of War’ Surah came down from Allah to His Prophet concerning the distribution of the booty when the Muslims showed their evil nature. Allah took it out of their hands and gave it to the Apostle.”

Bukhari V1B7N1331 “The Prophet said, ‘I have been given five things which were not given to any one else before me. 1. Allah made me victorious by awe by His terrorizing my enemies. 2. The earth has been made for me. 3. Booty has been made lawful for me yet it was not lawful for anyone else before me. 4. I have been given the right of intercession. 5. Every Prophet used to be sent to his nation only but I have been sent to all mankind.’”

Following are the divine laws of Allah (the AntiGod) inviting Muslims to enjoy booty of Banu Qurayza Jews sanctioned for them. Below are some examples how Quran openly supported Islamic jihadi’s immoral acts:

Quran-8:1— “They ask thee concerning (things taken as) spoils of war (booty). Say: "(such) spoils are at the disposal of Allah and the Messenger: So fear Allah, and keep straight the relations between yourselves: Obey Allah and His Messenger, if ye do believe."

Quran-8:41— “And know that out of all the booty that ye may acquire (in war), a fifth share is assigned to Allah, - and to the Messenger, and to near relatives, orphans, the needy, and the wayfarer, - if ye do believe in Allah and in the revelation We sent down to Our servant on the Day of Testing, - the Day of the meeting of the two forces. For Allah hath power over all things.”

According to verse 8:41, a fifth share of the booty was taken by Muhammad some of which was distributed to near kin, etc. as stipulated in the verse. However, this distribution was at Muhammad's discretion. The booty included the captives, who were made slaves. After the first successful campaign, the Battle of Badr, Muhammad released the captives who were not ransomed by the Meccans. This clemency had been opposed by some Muslim leaders like Umar who wanted them executed. However, in later battles the general rule was that the men who refused to convert were executed while women and children were taken into slavery.

Quran-8:69—“But (now) enjoy what ye took in war (booty), lawful and good; but fear God...” (Allah encouraging Muslims to accept booty spoils of war) Had there not been a previous sanction from God, you would have been sternly punished for what you have taken. Therefore, enjoy the good and lawful things which you have gained in war, and fear God.”

Quran-33:27- “And He made you heirs of their lands, their houses, and their goods, and of a land which ye had not frequented (before). And Allah has power over all things.” [Merciful Allah asked Prophet Muhammad to confiscate entire properties of the surrendered Jews]



Can you imagine God teaching “And He made you heirs of their lands, their houses, and their goods, and of a land ..”

Thou shalt steal.

Take all the property of the kafirs, steal it all, it's yours – looting, pillaging as holy duties of God.

All the booty received through all the teachings of the Quran from the sale of boys and women into slavery, and looting and pillaging the property of murdered kafirs were distributed as per instructions of Quran 8:41 - 1/5 went to Allah (the AntiGod) and of course his valiant partner in crime - Muhammad - leaving the remaining 80% to be divided among the murderous bandits. In this way, the prophet was able to raise an army, equip and finance his wars of conquest and extermination. Raping slaves, selling women and children, killing people for their property and sharing the proceeds with God is evil incarnate – these laws of God – are so morally outrageous – that too claim that these teachings in the Quran are the word of God – is the greatest sin that Muslims have committed against God. Again - the Quran is an evil book and an obscenity against God.

Of course, God in his infinite wisdom created a SPECIAL LAW OF GOD SOLELY FOR MUHAMMAD – that allowed his prophet to keep 100% of the booty if no fighting was involved. (Quran 59.5). One must obey Muhammad's decision (blindly and totally.) This is utter self serving nonsense.

Quran 59:6 “What God has bestowed on His Apostle (and taken away) from them - for this ye made no expedition with either cavalry or camelry: but God gives power to His apostles over any He pleases: and God has power over all things.”

Quran 59:7 “Whatever booty goes to Muhammad belongs to Allah; it shall go to the relatives, the poor and the travelling alien; accept whatever booty Muhammad gives.”

This is truly insane. How can any rational, reasonable, normal, moral person believe that God would have as his prophet - a killer, a murderer, a terrorist who slaughtered human beings, had the pants of young terrified boys pulled down to determine whether they lived or died, allowed the raping of the women and young girls, sold the women and young girls Muslims did not want as sex slaves into slavery, and took for himself one of the young beautiful women to be his sex slave. Can you imagine the horror of rape being divine, eternal laws of God? Can you imagine the horror of children being sold into slavery – their fathers beheaded – their mothers and sisters raped and gang raped. How can anyone belong to such a religion? Worship such an antiGod? Where is the humanity of Muslims? Where is our humanity that we can accept such barbarism as a religion? Again - where is our outrage?

How can any rational, reasonable, normal, moral person believe that God would celebrate such evil, heinous crimes with teachings for all eternity to be recorded in a so called holy book – the Quran?

How can any rational, reasonable, normal, moral person believe that God would share in the looting and pillaging of murdered Jew's property? God as the Mafia chieftain of Muhammad's Muslim crime family – that God would name an entire Surah of the Quran; “Spoils of War Booty.” These are words of immoral imperfection - encouraging and promoting looting, stealing kafir property is the very essence of immorality. Murder the kafirs, torture them to hand over their property as Muhammad did to the Jewish chieftain of Khaybar, and take their women and children as booty. These are not the divine acts a God of Moral Perfection would ever condone let alone glorify.

These acts are so evil as to be unspeakable. Again, by taking the concept of God - an all loving God of peace and goodness – a God of Moral Perfection and turning Him into the AntiGod of all evil - Muhammad has committed great crimes against God. Through his evilness, Muhammad has led himself and his followers into Hell. As with all humans, Muhammad was given a free will by God to do good or evil. By freely choosing a life of evil and never repenting, Muhammad has condemned himself as depicted on the cover to Hell for all eternity.

The Prophet Muhammad had already expelled two other Jewish tribes (Qaynuqa and Nadir) from Medina, and the Banu Qurayza Jews begged for the same punishment to them. But the Islam's prophet of peace and mercy declined this relatively more merciful and humane option.

Such a heartless, evil incarnate was the prophet of Islam!

The reality is that it was Muhammad who ordered the massacre of Banu Qurayza. Muhammad never met Gabriel. The Quranic verses quoted above were fabricated by Muhammad along with the entire Quran. Muhammad utilized God to give cover for his great crimes.

### **MUHAMMAD WAS ALLAH AND ALLAH WAS MUHAMMAD.**

The Massacre of Banu Qurayza was a crime against both God and humanity. The hadiths describing this massacre are immoral and evil, the Quranic verses celebrating this heinous act are evil and immoral. Not Moral Perfection.

There is no statute of limitations for murder. Arrest warrants for Muhammad should be issued and he should be tried in absentia for these great crimes. All Islam is fraudulent. Islam is EVIL IN THE NAME OF GOD.

## **Michelle Obama, Boko Haram and Prophet Muhammad WHITE WASHING EVIL**

### **Declaring Boko Haram A Perverted, Monstrosity of Islam Is a Perverted, Monstrosity of Islam**

#### **WHOM YOUR RIGHT HANDS POSSESS ISLAM IN ACTION OWNING AND RAPING SEX SLAVES IS HALAL**

(Part 3 of Series “Prophet Muhammad – An Epitome of Moral Perfections or Paragon of Evil?” exposing the Immoral Evil Perfection of Muhammad. From Books – KILLING PROPHET MUHAMMAD and GOD OF MORAL PERFECTION™ - free download at [www.godofmoralperfection.com](http://www.godofmoralperfection.com) For Part 1 go to <http://www.godofmoralperfection.com/new-page-46.htm> Part 2 <http://www.godofmoralperfection.com/new-page-48.htm>

At the start of each article of this series, we will repeat this fundamental truth: “For Islam to be true - since EVERY word of the Quran was transmitted by God to Angel Gabriel and re-transmitted to Muhammad then every word of the Quran must be Moral Perfection. If just one word is immoral then the Quran is not from God but from man and ALL Islam is fraudulent. As the supposed God of the Universe must be of Moral Perfection, so would be His representatives on earth. And Allah duly claims the same about Prophet Muhammad in the Quran (read series Article 1). Therefore, ALL the Hadiths that outline the character and personality of Muhammad would be of moral perfection. Just as with the one word of immoral imperfection to negate the entire Quran as the word of God, if any actions or sayings of Muhammad in any of the Hadiths show any immorality, injustice or evil on his part, just one criminal act committed by Muhammad - just one act of violence, just one act of leading or ordering or planning just one act of war, let alone ordering murder, massacres, rape, enslavement, booty, child molestation, sex slavery, stoning, maiming, terror, torture then he was not a prophet of God but a fraud. Just one instance of immoral imperfection of Muhammad - just one immoral Hadith destroys the Moral Perfection of Muhammad and is enough to negate the claim of his prophethood and therefore Muhammad and all Islam are fraudulent.” For 6 Requirements For Islam To Be From God go to: <http://www.godofmoralperfection.com/new-page-13.htm> ”

#### **MICHELLE OBAMA: WHITE WASHING EVIL**

Michelle Obama replaced the President for his weekly address (May 10) to express outrage at the kidnapping of 300 girls by Boko Haram in Nigeria.  
<http://www.nbcnews.com/storyline/missing-nigeria-schoolgirls/michelle-obama-heartbroken-over-nigeria-kidnapped-girls-n102061>

Quoting Michelle:

"This unconscionable act was committed by a terrorist group determined to keep these girls from getting an education — grown men attempting to snuff out the aspirations of young girls."

"In these girls, Barack and I see our own daughters," she added. "And we can only imagine the anguish their parents are feeling right now."

Michelle like all of the mainstream Western press is whitewashing this very evil act. The kidnapping of these poor girls has nothing to do with keeping these girls from "getting an education."

Boko Haram is on Jihad – unholy war against Kafirs in this case Christians of Northern Nigeria that has claimed over 1500 lives. This kidnapping of these girls 90% of which are Christian is an act of jihad allowing Muslims to take women no matter their age as sex slaves. The Muslim girls were released as per Quran 48.29.

Michelle Obama not only does not mention the murder of these thousands – the burning of their homes and churches but neither does she mention the murder of Christians throughout Muslim countries. She does not mention the rape and enslavement of Christian girls in Egypt, Pakistan, Iran, Syria, Iraq etc.

Michelle Obama does not demand that this murder cease. She does not demand that All Muslim countries give their women equal rights.

Shame on not only Michelle and the President but most of the political elites of not only the Republican and Democratic parties but all the mainstream Western political parties.

### **WHOM YOUR RIGHT HANDS POSSESS**

Sex slavery is central to Islam. It is enshrined in the Quran and the Hadith - the Sunna of Muhammad. What is meant by "*or (slaves) that your right hands possess*" is slave women whom you own.

Many southerners in the US justified the enslavement of blacks. That most slave owners were wonderful people who took good care of their slaves. They fed and clothed them, educated their children.

There is no such creature as a wonderful slave owner. There is no wonderful slavery.

The term master is evil.

The ownership of any human being is evil.

Sex between a master and his slave is an act of rape.

If there is a God then All human beings are equal. All women are equal to men. All human beings have the full right to life, liberty and the pursuit of happiness. To live their lives in democracy and freedom. No man – no woman is the slave of any other man.

Slavery is an abomination against God.

To be the word of God every word of the Quran must be Moral Perfection. If just 1 word is immoral evil then ALL Islam is fraudulent.

According to Islamic jurisprudence, slaves were merchandise. The sales of slaves was in accordance with the sale of animals. Women and children captured in a jihad operation become the slaves of Muslims; the women's previous marriage is immediately annulled (o9.13; p.604). Reliance of the Traveller (Umdat al-Saliq)

These Christian girls will be raped and gang raped and sold as sex slaves.

Following are just a few examples of sex slavery and slavery from the Quran. Slavery and raping of slaves are divine institutions of God in the Quran. Remember every word of these Quranic verses were transmitted to Angel Gabriel who then flew down to earth and recited them to Muhammad. To deny any of these verses for a Muslim is to deny Islam and become an apostate – a death sentence.

4:24 “Also (forbidden are) women already married, except those (captives and slaves) whom your right hands possess. Thus has Allah ordained for you. All others are lawful, provided you seek (them in marriage) with Mahr (bridal money given by the husband to his wife at the time of marriage) from your property, desiring chastity, not committing illegal sexual intercourse, so with those of whom you have enjoyed sexual relations, give them their Mahr as prescribed; but if after a Mahr is prescribed, you agree mutually (to give more), there is no sin on you. Surely, Allah is Ever AllKnowing, AllWise”

4.3 “And if you fear that you shall not be able to deal justly with the orphan girls then marry (other) women of your choice, two or three, or four; but if you fear that you shall not be able to deal justly (with them), then only one or (slaves) that your right hands possess. That is nearer to prevent you from doing injustice”

23:5-6 And those who guard their chastity (i.e. private parts, from illegal sexual acts); Except from their wives or (the captives and slaves) that their right hands possess, for then, they are free from blame;

16:71 “And Allah has preferred some of you above others in wealth and properties. Then, those who are preferred will by no means hand over their wealth and properties to those (slaves) whom their right hands possess, so that they may be equal with them in respect thereof. Do they then deny the Favour of Allah?”

Other verses of sex slavery; 33:50, 70:29-31, 70:29-30

Other verses of slavery: 2.178: 24.31: 23.1-6: 24.32: 16.75 39.29: 70: 2

Islam allows a man to have intercourse with his slave woman, whether he has a wife or wives or he is not married. A slave woman with whom a man has intercourse is known as a *sariyyah*(concubine) from the word *sirr*, which means marriage.

The Quran indicates that the sexual relationships that are permitted are only of two types, either marriage or those (women slaves) whom one's right hand possesses. The wife has no right to object to her husband owning female slaves or to his having intercourse with them. It is not permissible for anyone to regard sex slaves/slavery as haraam or to forbid it. Whoever regards that as haraam is a sinner.

All these verses are immoral evil to say the least. They are not from God but from Muhammad who fabricated all of them.

### **RAPING SEX SLAVES AND OWNING AND TRADING IN SLAVES IS SUNNA**

What of the Sunna of Muhammad? The prophet followed his Quranic teachings to the letter. Following are just a few Hadiths of Muhammad raping and beating his sex slaves and encouraging his companions to do the same.

Hadiths from Sahi Bukhari

*"Volume 8, Book 77, Number 600:*

*Narrated Abu Said Al-Khudri:*

*That while he was sitting with the Prophet a man from the Ansar came and said, "O Allah's Apostle! We get slave girls from the war captives and we love property; what do you think about coitus interruptus?" Allah's Apostle said, "Do you do that? It is better for you not to do it, for there is no soul which Allah has ordained to come into existence but will be created."*

*"Volume 5, Book 59, Number 459:*

*Narrated Ibn Muhairiz:*

*I entered the Mosque and saw Abu Said Al-Khudri and sat beside him and asked him about Al-Azl (i.e. coitus interruptus). Abu Said said, "We went out with Allah's Apostle for the Ghazwa of Banu Al-Mustaliq and we received captives from among the Arab captives and we desired women and celibacy became hard on us and we loved to do coitus interruptus. So when we intended to do coitus interrupt us, we said, 'How can we do coitus interruptus before asking Allah's Apostle who is present among us?' We asked (him) about it and he said, 'It is better for you not to do so, for if any soul (till the Day of Resurrection) is predestined to exist, it will exist.' "*

## **Muhammad Beats His Sex Slave Girl**

Sunan Abu-Dawud, Book 38, Number 4458: Narrated Ali ibn AbuTalib: "A slave-girl belonging to the house of the Apostle of Allah (peace\_be\_upon\_him) committed fornication. He (the Prophet) said: Rush up, Ali, and inflict the prescribed punishment on her. I then hurried up, and saw that blood was flowing from her, and did not stop. So I came to him and he said: Have you finished inflicting (punishment on her)? I said: I went to her while her blood was flowing. He said: Leave her alone till her bleeding stops; then inflict the prescribed punishment on her. And inflict the prescribed punishment on those whom your right hands possess (i.e. slaves)".

Sahih Muslim Book 008, Number 337:

Abu Sirma said to Abu Sa'id al Khadri (Allah he pleased with him): O Abu Sa'id, did you hear Allah's Messenger (may peace be upon him) mentioning al-'azl? He said: Yes, and added: We went out with Allah's Messenger (may peace be upon him) on the expedition to the Bi'l-Mustaliq and took captive some excellent Arab women; and we desired them, for we were suffering from the absence of our wives, (but at the same time) we also desired ransom for them. So we decided to have sexual intercourse with them but by observing 'azl (Withdrawing the male sexual organ before emission of semen to avoid-conception). But we said: We are doing an act whereas Allah's Messenger is amongst us; why not ask him? So we asked Allah's Messenger (may peace be upon him), and he said: It does not matter if you do not do it, for every soul that is to be born up to the Day of Resurrection will be born.

Sunan Abu Dawud Book 11, Number 2166:

Narrated AbuSa'id al-Khudri:

A man said: Apostle of Allah, I have a slave-girl and I withdraw the penis from her (while having intercourse), and I dislike that she becomes pregnant. I intend (by intercourse) what the men intend by it. The Jews say that withdrawing the penis (azl) is burying the living girls on a small scale. He (the Prophet) said: The Jews told a lie. If Allah intends to create it, you cannot turn it away.

"He [Mohammed] replied, 'Conceal your private parts except from your wife and from whom your right hands possess (slave-girls).'" [Abu Dawud vol.3, no.4006, p.1123.]

Abu Dawud vol.3 no.4443-4445 p.1244 shows that having sex with a slave-girl a man owns is fine.

As was typical of wealthy Arab men, Mohammed apparently had need of a few slave girls too. See Bukhari vol.7 book 64 ch.6 no.274 p.210.

Mohammed briefly had a "very beautiful" captive before he gave her to Mahmiyah b. Jaz' al-Zubaydi. al-Tabari vol.8 p.151

One of the slave girls belonging to Mohammed house committed fornication with someone else. It is the "someone else" part that was a problem. Abu Dawud vol.3 no.4458 p.1249

Mohammed called a black slave-girl to come and conceal Abu Dharr behind a curtain while he was taking a bath. Abu Dawud vol.1 no.332 p.87

Mention Umm Ayman (=Barakah), a client (slave-girl) of the prophet. al-Tabari vol.39 p.287

In general, Abu Dawud vol.3 no.4443-4445 p.1244 teaches that having sex with a slave-girl a man owns is OK.

But, having sex with a wife's slave girl is OK if the wife made her lawful for him. Note that he did not have to be married to the slave girl. Ibn-i-Majah vol.4 no.2551 p.12

Mohammed "had intercourse with her [Mary] by virtue of her being his property." al-Tabari vol.39, p194. Footnote 845 explains, "That is, Mariyah was ordered to veil herself as did the Prophet's wives, but he did not marry her."

His institution of slavery as a divine order of society was one of the worst curses that could happen to humanity. Allowing sex with slave-girls made it worse. His trading of slaves, was another evil institution.

Muhammad engaged in all these cruel, dehumanizing and horrible acts with the divine sanctions of Allah. Muhammad and his brainchild Allah were the worst evils to befall humankind, the detriment of which continues to afflict humanity with no end in sight. Boko Haram are good, moral, moderate Muslims following EXACTLY the Quran and Sunna of Muhammad. They are not an abomination of Islam. THEY ARE ISLAM.

The above Quranic verses and Hadiths are only a few out of numerous such verses scattered throughout the Quran and Hadiths. What could be more unethical than owning slaves and raping slave girls? God graciously allowed Muslims to own and rape slave girls. Prophet Muhammad himself and his disciples routinely raped their slave girls. Muslim men were permitted unlimited raping of their slaves and even gang rape. Sex slaves were one of the main factors in the spread of Islam. This is the evil that is Islam. The second greatest crime a man can commit against a woman is to rape her (murder being the greatest crime.) In Islam - rape is not only a sexual weapon – it is a weapon of war. Having murdered the kafir woman's man, Muslims can now - sanctioned by the law of God complete their final humiliation and domination of her body. Rape instills fear and subjugation in the kafir. A God of Moral Perfection would never allow any man to commit such a heinous crime – rape of any woman. He would never permit the sexual enslavement of kafir women/children. There are no such laws of God.

“Whom your right hands possess” is one of the most evil diabolical set of words ever written in any text. God has written in quite clear language that a Muslim can own another human being. Read the words: “your right hands possess” truly only Allah (the AntiGod) could conceive of such a wording. Slavery equated to – your right hand. Truly ingenious. Truly Allah. Not a God of Moral Perfection. It's impossible for any reasonable person to conceive of a God that permits slavery. A God that not only permitted slavery but allowed as a holy duty the sheer horror of unlimited raping of women. In all human history – in all human thinking – there has never been a more vile institution ever created



than slavery. 120 million blacks were murdered by Islam in the slave trade – truly one of the greatest holocausts in history. Black male slaves were castrated by their Muslim overlords to ensure that they would not breed. Many died after castration.

However, slavery in Islam applies not only to blacks but to ALL kafirs. Millions of Europeans were enslaved by Muslims. “Whom your right hands possess” is so evil as to be unspeakable. These words are not Moral Perfection but immoral imperfection. Islam is the greatest criminal ideology in history. God as a slave trader profiting on 20% of the profits earned from breeding and selling human beings. (8:41) How can 1.6 billion people believe in such craziness? Unfortunately, they do and the number is growing daily.

Islam is a morally bankrupt and unethical ideology. Repeating, the reality of Islam discussed in the books - slavery, raping slave girls, owning slaves, murdering kafirs, killing apostates of Islam, selling boys and women as trophies of war, looting and pillaging the property of murdered kafirs, sharing the booty obtained from the sale of boys and women and the proceeds of looting with God - Himself, the subjugation and beating of women, martyrdom for those who kill and are killed for God, a depraved Paradise filled with virgins who re – generate as virgins after sex as the sex slaves of the killers of Islam – these are just some samples of utterly unethical and evil teachings in the Quran.

Can you tell us if you find some man in any civilized country who owned slaves let alone raping slaves, what will be your conclusion about that guy? Can you tell us how a man who Muslims claim was the apostle of God – the prophet of peace – was authorized by God – to own and rape slaves – a God who created evil laws that allowed the ownership of slaves, their purchase and sale, and their sexual abuse?

Islam codifies and legalizes the diabolical evil of rape. God and his messenger Muhammad not only endorsed the institution of slavery but also the raping and sexual molestation of female slaves. The very proposition that God would make rape a divine, holy act and have as his prophet a man who raped, allowed his male followers to attack their female captives is simply outrageous. **Where is the outrage?**

## **ALLAH SUPPORTS THE CRIMES OF HIS CREATOR IN THE QURAN**

**MUHAMMAD WAS ALLAH AND ALLAH WAS MUHAMMAD  
MUHAMMAD AKA ALLAH AKA MUHAMMAD  
MUHAMMAD THE CREATOR OF ALLAH**

**God cannot have as his prophet - a criminal receiving divine teachings otherwise God is equally guilty in all the crimes committed by His prophet and therefore is no longer Moral Perfection and therefore no longer God. ALL Islam would be fraudulent.**

(Part 4 of Series “Prophet Muhammad – An Epitome of Moral Perfections or Paragon of Evil?” exposing the Immoral Evil Perfection of Muhammad. From Books – KILLING PROPHET MUHAMMAD and GOD OF MORAL PERFECTION™ - free download at [www.godofmoralperfection.com](http://www.godofmoralperfection.com) For Part 1 go to <http://www.godofmoralperfection.com/new-page-46.htm> Part 2 <http://www.godofmoralperfection.com/new-page-48.htm> Part 3 <http://www.godofmoralperfection.com/new-page-49.htm>

For Islam to be true - since EVERY word of the Quran was transmitted by God to Angel Gabriel and re-transmitted to Muhammad then every word of the Quran must be Moral Perfection. If just one word is immoral then the Quran is not from God but from man and ALL Islam is fraudulent. As stated in Part 1 of series – “As the supposed God of the Universe must be of Moral Perfection, so would be His representatives on earth. And Allah duly claims the same about Prophet Muhammad in the Quran (read series Article 1). Therefore, ALL the Hadiths that outline the character and personality of Muhammad would be of moral perfection. Just as with the one word of immoral imperfection to negate the entire Quran as the word of God, if any actions or sayings of Muhammad in any of the Hadiths show any immorality, injustice or evil on his part, just one criminal act committed by Muhammad - just one act of violence, just one act of leading or ordering or planning just one act of war, let alone ordering murder, massacres, rape, enslavement, booty, child molestation, sex slavery, stoning, maiming, terror, torture then he was not a prophet of God but a fraud. Just one instance of immoral imperfection of Muhammad - just one immoral Hadith destroys the Moral Perfection of Muhammad and is enough to negate the claim of his prophethood and therefore Muhammad and all Islam are fraudulent.” For 6 Requirements For Islam To Be From God go to: <http://www.godofmoralperfection.com/new-page-13.htm>

## **ALLAH SUPPORTS THE CRIMES OF HIS CREATOR IN THE QURAN**

It is supposed that God created man in His own image. Prophet Muhammad did God one better. Muhammad created God in his own image and bestowed upon his god – Allah (the AntiGod) – his own characteristics, personality, desires and ambitions.

Muhammad made up the Allah of the Quran (the AntiGod) and all the Quranic teachings to create a perfect **totalitarian** system. How could the word of God be challenged? Muhammad was Allah and Allah was Muhammad.

After having created his own god Allah, Muhammad utilized fake teachings from Allah to justify his crimes. Again these criminal acts are not crimes in Islam but are the Sunna of Muhammad to be emulated by all Muslim men. Following is just a sample of Muhammad crimes recorded in the Hadith being sanctified by Allah AKA Muhammad in the Quran.

### **CHILD MOLESTATION/RAPE**

Muhammad molested his child wife Aisha at 6 and raped her when she was 9. For sexual molestation of Aisha by this prophet Monster go to <http://www.islamreform.net/new-page-208.htm> His creation Allah not only divinely sanctioned this molestation but happily granted all Muslim men the divine right to molest and rape Muslim baby girls forever with verse 65:4.

"And those of your women as have passed the age of monthly courses, for them the 'Iddah (prescribed period), if you have doubts (about their periods), is three months, and for those who have no courses [(i.e. they are still immature) their 'Iddah (prescribed period) is three months likewise, except in case of death] . And for those who are pregnant (whether they are divorced or their husbands are dead), their 'Iddah (prescribed period) is until they deliver (their burdens), and whosoever fears Allah and keeps his duty to Him, He will make his matter easy for him." Quran 65:4

### **MUHAMMAD: THE GREAT EXTERMINATOR**

Sahi Bukhari Volume 5, Book 59, Number 448:

“So Allah's Apostle went to them (i.e. Banu Quraiza) (i.e. besieged them). They then surrendered to the Prophet's judgment (unconditionally after 25 days of fierce resistance) but he directed them to Sad (ally) to give his verdict concerning them. Sad said, "I give my judgment that their warriors should be killed, their women and children should be taken as captives, and their properties distributed."

The Prophet said, "You have judged according to the King's (Allah's) judgment." (Hadith No. 447, Vol. 5). The sentence: Death by decapitation for around 600 men and pubescent boys, and enslavement for the women and children. Ibn Ishaq says that the number may have been as high as 800—900 (p. 464).

Allah AKA Muhammad gave divine sanction to this barbarous slaughter

Quran-8:17—“It is not ye who Slew them; it is God; when thou threwest a handful of dust, it was not Thy act, but God’s.....” (Allah said, the killing of surrendered soldiers were done by the wish of Allah)

Quran-8:67—“ It is not for any prophet to have captives until he hath made slaughter in the land. Ye desire the lure of this world and Allah desireth (for you) the Hereafter, and Allah is Mighty, Wise.” (Allah insisting Prophet to kill all the prisoners, and should not keep any surrendered prisoners alive until He (Prophet) occupied entire Arabia.

## **MUHAMMAD WAS THE FIRST MUSLIM TERRORIST**

Bukhari: V4B52N220"Allah's Apostle said, 'I have been made victorious with terror.'

Allah AKA Muhammad Was A Terrorist.

### **Verse 8:60**

“Against them make ready your strength to the utmost of your power, including steeds of war, to strike terror into (the hearts of) the enemies, of God and your enemies, and others besides, whom ye may not know, but whom God doth know. Whatever ye shall spend in the cause of God, shall be repaid unto you, and ye shall not be treated unjustly”

### **SUICIDE**

Bukhari (52:54) - The words of Muhammad: "I would love to be martyred in Allah's Cause and then get resurrected and then get martyred, and then get resurrected again and then get martyred and then get resurrected again and then get martyred."

Muslim (20:4678) - During the battle of Uhud, Muhammad was desperate to push men into battle. He promised paradise for those who would martyr themselves, prompting a young man who was eating dates to throw them away and rush to his death.

Muslim (20:4655) - A man asks Muhammad "which of men is the best?" Muhammad replies that it is the man who is always ready for battle and flies into it "seeking death at places where it can be expected.

Muslim (20:4681) - "Surely, the gates of Paradise are under the shadows of the swords." Allah AKA Muhammad Loves Muslim Men who Shed Kafir Blood

### **Verse 4:74**

“Let those fight in the cause of God Who sell the life of this world for the hereafter. To him who fighteth in the cause of God, - whether he is slain or gets victory - Soon shall We give him a reward of great (value).”

### **BEHEADING**

Muhammad personally beheaded 2 Jewish Chieftains of Banu Qurayzah.

The text of Sirat:

“Then they surrendered, and the apostle confined them in Medina in the quarter of d. al-Harith, a woman of B. al-Najjar. Then the apostle went out to the market of Medina (which is still its market today) and dug trenches in it. Then he sent for them and struck off their heads in those trenches as they were brought out to him in batches.

## Allah AKA Muhammad Gives Beheading Divine Approval

### Verse 8:12

“Remember thy Lord inspired the angels (with the message): "I am with you: give firmness to the Believers: I will instill terror into the hearts of the Unbelievers: smite ye above their necks and smite all their finger-tips off them."

### MUHAMMAD OWNED AND RAPED HIS SEX SLAVES

Enjoying Special Booty (Gani-maater-maal): Muhammad Takes Safiya As Booty To Be His Sex Slave

Safiya bint Huyai/Huyayy was a captive Mohammed married after slaughtering her father, brother, husband and the men at Khaibar, according to Bukhari vol.2 book 14 ch.5 no.68 p.35; vol.4 book 52 ch.74 no.143 p.92; vol.4 book 52 ch.168 no.280 p.175 and Tabari vol.39 p.185.

Sunan Abu Dawud Book 11, Number 2166:Narrated AbuSa'id al-Khudri:

“A man said: Apostle of Allah, I have a slave-girl and I withdraw the penis from her (while having intercourse), and I dislike that she becomes pregnant. I intend (by intercourse) what the men intend by it. The Jews say that withdrawing the penis (azl) is burying the living girls on a small scale. He (the Prophet) said: The Jews told a lie. If Allah intends to create it, you cannot turn it away.”

Muhammad created the following Quranic verse allowing for the owning and raping of sex slaves as a divine right:

If you fear that you will not act justly towards the orphans, marry such women as seem good to you, two, three, four; but if you fear you will not be equitable, then only one, or what **your right hands own**; so it is likelier you will not be partial. (Quran 4:3)

### RAPE AND GANG RAPE

Bukhari (62:137) - An account of women taken as slaves in battle by Muhammad's men after their husbands and fathers were killed. The women were raped with Muhammad's approval.

Bukhari (34:432) - Another account of females taken captive and raped with Muhammad's approval. In this case it is evident that the Muslims intend on selling the women after raping them because they are concerned about devaluing their price by impregnating them. Muhammad is asked about coitus interruptus.

## **Rape Sanctioned By Allah AKA Muhammad**

Sunan Abu Dawud: Abu Sa'id al-Khudri said: The Apostle of Allah (may peace be upon him) sent a military expedition to Awta on the occasion of the battle of Hunain. They met their enemy and fought with them. They defeated them and took them captives. Some of the Companions of the Apostle of Allah (may peace be upon him) were reluctant to have intercourse with the female captives in the presence of their husbands who were unbelievers.

So Allah, the Exalted, send down the Qur'anic verse: "And all married women (are forbidden) unto you save those (captives) whom your right hand possess." [Surah 4:24] ...Sunan Abu Dawud, Book V, Chapter 711, Number 2150

## **TORTUROUS PUNISHMENT**

### **Hands Must Be Cut Off For Theft**

Volume 8, Book 81, Number 780:

Narrated 'Aisha: The Prophet said, "The hand should be cut off for stealing something that is worth a quarter of a Dinar or more."

The Quran says:

5:38 "Cut off the hands of thieves, whether they are male or female, as punishment for what they have done—a deterrent from God: God is almighty and wise." 39 "But if anyone repents after his wrongdoing and makes amends, God will accept his repentance: God is most forgiving and merciful. (Haleem)"

## **MUHAMMAD WAS A TORTURER**

Muhammad Ordered Feet And Hands Cut Off And Eyes Burnt Out, And Left To Suffer A Horrendous Death

From Sahih Bukhari, 1.234

Narrated Abu Qilaba: Anas said, "Some people of 'Ukl or 'Uraina tribe came to Medina and its climate did not suit them. So the Prophet ordered them to go to the herd of (Milch) camels and to drink their milk and urine (as a medicine). So they went as directed and after they became healthy, they killed the shepherd of the Prophet and drove away all the camels. The news reached the Prophet early in the morning and he sent (men) in their pursuit and they were captured and brought at noon. He then ordered to cut their hands and feet (and it was done), and their eyes were branded with heated pieces of iron. They were put in 'Al-Harra' and when they asked for water, no water was given to them." Abu Qilaba said, "Those people committed theft and murder, became infidels after embracing Islam and fought against Allah and His Apostle."

## **Allah AKA Muhammad Approved**

5.33 The punishment of those who wage war against Allah and His apostle and strive to make mischief in the land is only this, that they should be murdered or crucified or their hands and their feet should be cut off on opposite sides or they should be imprisoned [Pickthall and Yusuf Ali have “exiled” rather than “imprisoned”]

## **BOOTY**

Ishaq: 307 “The ‘Spoils of War’ Surah came down from Allah to His Prophet concerning the distribution of the booty when the Muslims showed their evil nature. Allah took it out of their hands and gave it to the Apostle.”

Bukhari V1B7N1331 “The Prophet said, ‘I have been given five things which were not given to any one else before me. 1. Allah made me victorious by awe by His terrorizing my enemies. 2. The earth has been made for me. 3. Booty has been made lawful for me yet it was not lawful for anyone else before me. 4. I have been given the right of intercession. 5. Every Prophet used to be sent to his nation only but I have been sent to all mankind.’”

## **Allah AKA Muhammad: Mafia Chieftain of the Universe**

Quran-8:1— “They ask thee concerning (things taken as) spoils of war (booty). Say: "(such) spoils are at the disposal of Allah and the Messenger: So fear Allah, and keep straight the relations between yourselves: Obey Allah and His Messenger, if ye do believe."

Quran-8:41— “And know that out of all the booty that ye may acquire (in war), a fifth share is assigned to Allah, - and to the Messenger, and to near relatives, orphans, the needy, and the wayfarer, - if ye do believe in Allah and in the revelation We sent down to Our servant on the Day of Testing, - the Day of the meeting of the two forces. For Allah hath power over all things.”

According to verse 8:41, a fifth share of the booty went to Allah (AKA Muhammad)

## **STONING**

Narrated Abu Huraira and Zaid bin Khalid Al-Juhani:

“A bedouin came and said, "O Allah's Apostle! Judge between us according to Allah's Laws." His opponent got up and said, "He is right. Judge between us according to Allah's Laws." The bedouin said, "My son was a laborer working for this man, and he committed illegal sexual intercourse with his wife. The people told me that my son should be stoned to death; so, in lieu of that, I paid a ransom of one hundred sheep and a slave girl to save my son. Then I asked the learned scholars who said, "Your son has to be lashed one-hundred lashes and has to be exiled for one year." The Prophet said, "No doubt I will judge between you according to Allah's Laws. The slave-girl and the sheep are to go back

to you, and your son will get a hundred lashes and one year exile." He then addressed somebody, "O Unais! go to the wife of this (man) and stone her to death" So, Unais went and stoned her to death."

Allah AKA Muhammad Approves (The only reason stoning is not in the Quran is because when Muhammad was dying a billy goat came into his room and ate the stoning laws of Muhammad AKA Allah. Unfortunately Billy did not eat the entire Quran)

24:2 "The woman and the man guilty of adultery or fornication, - flog each of them with a hundred stripes: Let not compassion move you in their case, in a matter prescribed by God, if ye believe in God and the Last Day: and let a party of the Believers witness their punishment."

## **SLAVERY**

Muhammad was a slaver who owned 40 slaves.

*Tabari VIII:56* According to Aisha: 'A great number of Mustaliq were wounded. The Messenger took many captives, and they were divided among all the Muslims. Juwayriyah was one of the slaves. When the Prophet divided the captives by lot [a gambling game], Juwayriyah fell to the share of Thabit, Muhammad's cousin. Juwayriyah was the most beautiful woman and she captivated anyone who looked at her. She came to the Apostle seeking his help. As soon as I saw her at the door of my chamber, I took a dislike to her, and I knew that he would see in her what I saw.'"

Allah AKA Muhammad made slavery a divine institution.

16.75 "Allah sets forth a parable: (consider) a slave, the property of another, (who) has no power over anything, and one whom We have granted from Ourselves a goodly sustenance so he spends from it secretly and openly; are the two alike? (All) praise is due to Allah!"

## **HATE THE JEWS/CHRISTIANS**

### **MUHAMMAD'S TEACHINGS OF PURE HATE**

#### **MASS EXILE FROM SAUDI ARABIA**

*Sahih Muslim* Book 019, Number 4366:

"It has been narrated by 'Umar b. al-Khattib that he heard the Messenger of Allah (may peace be upon him) say: "I will expel the Jews and Christians from the Arabian Peninsula and will not leave any but Muslim." [This single sahi hadith tells everything about Islamic intolerance]

Ibn Ishaq, Life of Muhammad (Karachi) p. 553:



...the Apostle of Allah said, "Kill any Jew that falls into your power."

Bukhari 4:52:176 Narrated 'Abdullah bin 'Umar: Allah's Apostle said, "You (i.e. Muslims) will fight with the Jews till some of them will hide behind stones. The stones will (betray them) saying, 'O 'Abdullah (i.e. slave of Allah)! There is a Jew hiding behind me; so kill him.'"

Allah AKA Muhammad Hated Jews/Christians

5:60 God has cursed the Jews, transforming them into apes and swine and those who serve the devil.

5:72 Christians will burn in the fire.

9:29 Fight against such of those have been given the Scriptures, Jews and Christians, as believe not in Allah nor the last Day

### **MUHAMMAD HATED MUSLIM WOMEN: ALLAH AKA HIS CREATOR HATED THEM**

For detailed hatred of Muslim women go to: <http://www.islamreform.net/new-page-187.htm>

"[Muhammad] said, '*Is not the evidence of two women equal to the witness of one man?*' They replied in the affirmative. He said, '*This is the deficiency in her intelligence.*'"  
[Bukhari 6:301]

Among the inmates of Heaven women will be the minority" (Sahih Muslim 36: 6600)

"I (Mohammed) have seen that the majority of the dwellers of Hell-Fire were women... [because] they are ungrateful to their husbands and they are deficient in intelligence" (Sahih Bukhari: 2:18:161; 7:62:125, 1:6:301).

*Women Are Deficient In Intelligence*

Males are to inherit twice that of females. [Quran 4:11]

*Women Are Inferior to Men*

Men are "a degree" above women. [Quran 2:228]

A woman is worth one-half a man. [Quran 2:282]

*Women Are Dirty*

"When it's time to pray and you have just used the toilet or touched a woman, be sure to wash up. If you can't find any water, just rub some dirt on yourself." [Quran 5:6]

*Most Muslim Women will go to Hell*

“And it is said unto the angels): Assemble those who did wrong, together with their wives (no matter how they behaved), and what they used to worship.” [Quran 37:22-23]

## **ISLAM TO BE SUPERIOR TO ALL OTHER RELIGIONS**

Muhammad said, “I have been ordered to fight with the people till they say, none has the right to be worshipped but Allah” (Al Bukhari vol. 4:196)

Quran 9:33. - “It is He {Allah} Who has sent His Messenger (Muhammad) with guidance and the religion of truth (Islam), to make it superior over all religions even though the Mushrikun (polytheists, pagans, idolaters, disbelievers in the Oneness of Allah) hate (it).”

**2:193** (or 2:189): “... Fight the unbelievers until **no other religion except Islam** is left.”  
**APOSTATES MUST DIE**

Muhammad said, “Whoever changes his Islamic religion, kill him.” (Hadith Al Bukhari vol 9.84.57. )

## **Allah AKA Muhammad Ordered Apostates To Be Murdered**

### **Verse 4:89**

“They but wish that ye should reject Faith, as they do, and thus be on the same footing (as they): But take not friends from their ranks until they flee in the way of Allah (From what is forbidden). But if they turn renegades, seize them and slay them wherever ye find them; and (in any case) take no friends or helpers from their ranks;”

## **BEATING YOUR MUSLIM WIFE**

Muslim (4:2127) - Muhammad struck his favorite wife, Aisha, in the chest one evening when she left the house without his permission. Aisha narrates, "He struck me on the chest which caused me pain."

Bukhari (7:72:715) - A woman came to Muhammad and begged her to stop her husband from beating her. Her skin was bruised so badly that she it is described as being "greener" than the green veil she was wearing. Muhammad did not admonish her husband, but instead ordered her to return to him and submit to his sexual desires.

Abu Dawud (2142) - "The Prophet said: A man will not be asked as to why he beat his wife."

Bukhari 7:62:132 Narrated 'Abdullah bin Zam'a:

The Prophet said, "None of you should flog his wife as he flogs a slave and then have sexual intercourse with her in the last part of the day." (Ideally when you flog one of your wives, let her recuperate that day and sleep with your other wives or your slave girls.)

### **Allah AKA Muhammad Like His Creator Had No Toleration For disobedient Muslim Wives**

**The Quran:** (4:34) - "Men are the maintainers of women because Allah has made some of them to excel others and because they spend out of their property; the good women are therefore obedient, guarding the unseen as Allah has guarded; and (as to) those on whose part you fear desertion, admonish them, and leave them alone in the sleeping-places and beat them; then if they obey you, do not seek a way against them; surely Allah is High, Great."

### **The Tyranny Of Muslim Men Over Muslim Women**

Bukhari (6:321) - Muhammad is asked whether it is right for a young woman to leave her house without a veil. He replies, "She should cover herself with the veil of her companion."

Bukhari (60:282) - After Muhammad issued the command (Sura 24:31) for women to cover themselves, the women responded by tearing up sheets to cover their faces.

Narrated Safiya bint Shaiba: 'Aisha used to say: "When (the Verse): "They should draw their veils over their necks and bosoms," was revealed, (the ladies) cut their waist sheets at the edges and covered their faces with the cut pieces."

Abu Dawud (2:641) – “The Prophet (peace\_be\_upon\_him) said: ‘Allah does not accept the prayer of a woman who has reached puberty unless she wears a veil.’”

Bukhari (52:250) - [The Prophet said] "It is not permissible for a man to be alone with a woman, and no lady should travel except with a Muhram (i.e. her husband or a person whom she cannot marry in any case for ever; e.g. her father, brother, etc.)." - Neither is a woman allowed to travel by herself.

### **Allah AKA Muhammad Never Disappointed His Creator**

Sura (24:31) - "And say to the believing women that they cast down their looks and guard their private parts and do not display their ornaments except what appears thereof, and let them wear their head-coverings over their bosoms, and not display their ornaments except to their husbands or their fathers, or the fathers of their husbands, or their sons, or the sons of their husbands, or their brothers, or their brothers' sons, or their sisters' sons, or their women, or those whom their right hands possess, or the male servants not having need (of women), or the children who have not attained knowledge of what is hidden of women; and let them not strike their feet so that what they hide of their ornaments may

be known." The woman is not only supposed to cover herself, except with relatives, but to look down, so as to avoid making eye-contact with men.

Sura (33:59) – “O Prophet! Tell your wives and your daughters and the women of the believers to draw their cloaks (veils) over their bodies (when outdoors). That is most convenient that they should be known and not molested.”

Sura (33:32-33) “O Consorts of the Prophet! ... stay quietly in your houses, and make not a dazzling display, like that of the former Times of Ignorance” (i.e., Muhammad's wives should stay in their houses)

Sura (33:30) “O Consorts of the Prophet! If...any of you are devout, obedient, and submissive in the service to Allah and His Messenger, and does good, to her shall We grant her reward twice. We have prepared for her a generously rich provision.”

Sura (33:53) “And when ye ask (his ladies) for anything ye want, ask them from before a screen: that makes for greater purity for your hearts and for theirs. Nor is it right for you that ye should... marry his [Muhammad's] widows after him at any time. Truly such a thing is in God's sight an enormity.” (i.e., Nobody can marry Muhammad's widows after he is dead.)

### **Muslim Women Are Sex Object For Men's Enjoyment**

From Hadith: Bukhari (60:51)

Narrated Jabir: Jews used to say: "If one has sexual intercourse with his wife from the back, then she will deliver a squint-eyed child." So this Verse was revealed:-- "Your wives are a tilth unto you; so go to your tilth when or how you will." (2.223)

Muhammad said: "If a husband calls his wife to his bed [i.e. to have sexual relation] and she refuses and causes him to sleep in anger, the angels will curse her till morning." -- Bukhari 4.54.460

"By him in Whose Hand lies my life, a woman cannot carry out the right of her Lord, till she carries out the right of her husband. And if he asks her to surrender herself [to him for sexual intercourse] she should not refuse him even if she is on a camel's saddle." -- Ibn Majah 1854

The concept of spousal rape does not exist in Islam. Muslim women are the property of Muslim men.

### **OBEYING THE MESSENGER IS OBEYING ALLAH AKA MUHAMMAD**

Again - Being one and the same - obeying Allah AKA Muhammad AKA Allah was obeying the creator of Allah and his imaginary creation Allah. (33:21) Muhammad is the “excellent example” of conduct for Muslims.

Quran 4:80 "He who obeys the Messenger, obeys Allah."

Sahih Muslim (1:33) The Messenger of Allah said: "I have been commanded to fight against people till they testify that there is no god but Allah, that Muhammad is the messenger of Allah..." The last part is the Shahada, or profession of faith in Islam.

These are just a small sample from the thousands of Hadith of Muhammad inflicting heinous punishment. Ask yourself how any prophet of God could commit such evil acts? Again, if God had selected such a criminal to be His criminal prophet then God would be a criminal God but since God cannot be a criminal and be Moral Perfection – a criminal God cannot exist. Muhammad was no prophet. Can you imagine burning families alive? Can you imagine stoning a mother leaving a poor baby child motherless? Can you imagine - the Massacre of Banu Qurayza where Muhammad ordered 600 to 900 Jewish men beheaded and as you will read next had the pants of young Jewish boys pulled down and those poor boys with the slightest trace of pubic hair were dragged away and beheaded. Can you imagine the sheer terror of 13/14-year-old Jewish boys having their pants pulled down and groin inspected for pubic hairs and then their heads chopped off at the slightest trace? Following is a comparison between Hitler and Muhammad. You tell me what is the difference between prophet Muhammad and Fuhrer Adolf Hitler? There is none. Where is humanities outrage? For more Hadiths displaying the pure mercy of Muhammad go to <http://www.islamreform.net/new-page-8.htm>

## **War for the Equality of Muslim Women – The Calling of Our Time**

### **DEFCON 1 ECONOMIC SANCTIONS AGAINST ISLAM (RUSSIA/CHINA)**

**Time for universal application of the ‘life, liberty, pursuit of happiness’ clause of the Declaration of Independence**

**Denying Women Both Muslim and Kafir The Mothers of All Mankind Their Humanity is Not The Action of Men But of Animals**

Every human person has the right to life, liberty and pursuit of happiness. The equality of women and men, irrespective of race or religion, to live in democracy and freedom, is the very essence of life, liberty and pursuit of happiness.

Denying equal rights and opportunity to women, the Mothers of all Mankind, is the worst perversion of humanity and justice.

Yet, throughout the 57 Muslim nations, millions of women are being brutalized and subjugated, their humanity robbed. Non-Muslims living in those countries are often relegated to second class citizens, denied of their freedom and right to worship their own religion, or live their lives in equality with Muslims. One hundred thousand Christians are murdered in the Muslim world every year.

After the horrific murder of 25-year-old pregnant woman Farzana Parveen outside Lahore High Court in Pakistan by stoning by her own family members under the guise of honor killing, a coalition of Pakistani religious leaders has issued a religious edict declaring 'honour killings' a "highly condemnable" and "un-Islamic" action.

"[A] daughter is a gift by Allah. And the feeling of being dishonoured by your daughter is forbidden in Islam," reads the edict, issued on Friday.

"Killing one's daughter and humiliating them is a sign of ignorance."

"The act falls outside the ambit of the teachings of the Prophet Muhammad, the Quran and Sunnah [Islamic way of life]," he said.

Almost 900 women died of such crimes in Pakistan in 2013, as estimated by the Human Rights Commission of Pakistan. [Human Rights Commission of Pakistan](#).

This Fatwa is total Taqiyya (lie/deceit)

This Fawta of the coalition of Pakistani religious leaders is a complete Taqiyya. In reality, far from being condemnable and un-Islamic, honor killing is a central tenet of Islam. It is an integral part of the Sharia. Honor murder is sanctioned in “Umdat al-Saliq” or “Reliance of the Traveller”, a manual of Islamic law, certified in 1991 as a reliable guide to Sunni Islam by Cairo’s al-Azhar University, the most prestigious and authoritative institute of Sunni Islamic jurisprudence in the world. This 14<sup>th</sup>-century law-manual states that punishment or “retaliation is obligatory against anyone, who kills a human being

purely intentionally and without right”, EXCEPT when “a father or mother (or their fathers or mothers)” kills their “offspring, or offspring’s offspring” (section 01.1-2). In other words, a parent, who murders his/her child for the sake of honor, is not a crime under Islamic law or Shariah.

Consistent with the above-mentioned Islamic law, Grand Ayatollah Ruhollah Khomeini (1902-1989), the leader the Iranian Islamic revolution (1979) and the supreme authority of Shiite Islam, gave immunity for parents, who murder their children. In his book, “Resaleh Towzih Al-Massael” (“A Clarification of Questions”, 1961), Khomeini specifies under “conditions of retaliation” (section 2.3 of Appendix II) that there is no penalty for a father who kills his child. A killer is punished if: “*The slayer is not the father of the slain, nor the parental grandfather (apparently)*”.

Also stoning, the means by which Ms Farzana was killed, is integral to Islam. Many Hadiths narrate how Prophet Muhammad ordered the killing of adulterers by stoning. Here’s one story:

*“And when he had given command over her and she was put in a hole up to her breast, he ordered the people to stone her. Khalid b. al-Walid came forward with a stone which he threw at her head, and when the blood spurted on his face he cursed her...”* (Muslim, 4206)

To find out more about the Hadiths on stoning to death, see this page:  
<http://www.islamreform.net/new-page-26.htm>

In Saudi Arabia, women are not allowed to work in public places. Restaurants are segregated, some sections are male only. Women are not just ‘second class’ under shariah, they have almost no status at all. Daughters are owned by their fathers, and, when married, by their husbands. They are property to be disposed of at either her father or husbands whim. Nothing more.

Females of all ages are ‘sex toys’ under shariah, they are guilty of ‘tempting’ men just by existing, and if a male is ‘tempted’ it is the woman’s or the child’s fault - and she will be duly punished by a flogging or worse.

At the core of shariah is an appeal to all that is worst in the male psyche. Women in Saudi Arabia have no ‘spiritual’ footprint, the ‘female’ half of that society is locked in the zenana (inner apartments of a house in which the women of the family live) and only emerge under the close guard of a dominant male. This barbarism must end.

### **Time for universal application of the ‘life, liberty, pursuit of happiness’ clause of the Declaration of Independence**

Although the deprivation and discrimination against women as well as non-Muslims, even their brutal persecution, remains rife in Muslim countries, politicians from the US and other western countries have refused to make a strong pitch in their defense. It can rather be said that, all major western political parties have turned a blind eye to the enslavement of women and the widespread oppression and persecution, including

murder, of Christians and other non-Muslims in those countries.

The key to destroying the evils of Islam is the equality of Women, the equality of all humans.

While the UN has moved away from its original aim of spreading liberal ideals across the globe, and has instead become a pleasure-ground for thugocracy of all of the world's abusive dictators and violators of human rights, predominantly from Islamic countries, the US and other western nations must stick to liberal ideals and declare that ALL peoples of the world must be granted the Constitutional protection of their life, liberty and pursuit of happiness. And countries that violate the declaration can be sued in courts of the US and other western countries. This means that non-Muslims of Islamic countries can sue for their rights against oppression in Muslim countries. Muslim women too can sue for their total equality with Muslim men and so on. Rulings of the courts in such cases, if not complied with, would be enforced against those countries through various means, including denying visas to UN delegations, economic sanctions including the Defcon 1 sanctions listed below and arrest warrants. A class action lawsuit can be launched immediately in an US court for all those oppressed in the Muslim lands, which can be a game-changing historical event.

## **CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT: DECLARATION OF THE EQUALITY OF WOMEN**

### **Struggle for the Rights & Equality of Muslim Women: The Civil Liberties Movement of Our Time**

Again – in Islam, Muslim women are the property of their men. Muslim men can rape their wives and beat them, even can behead them. Muslim children can be murdered by their fathers in Honor killings. These are not crimes in Islam.

Western nations need to unite in pressing Muslim countries to undertake constitutional amendments to declare the complete equality of Muslim women with their men. (This Constitutional Amendment (Go to <http://www.islamreform.net/new-page-64.htm>) declaring the total and complete equality of women to be recorded in an Amendment to the Constitution titled: Universal Declaration of Total Equality of Women. And the enforcement of the equality must be carefully scrutinized, and countries that fail to implement the law can be punished by denial of visas to their UN delegations and other state dignitaries, and other forms of economic and diplomatic sanctions. It is also travesty of our time that there is a total lack of support from Western women and feminist organizations in the fight against enslavement, degradation and repression of Muslim women and the sexual abuse of little Muslim girls, thanks to the example of the Prophet Muhammad's pedophilic sexual abuse of 6-year-old Aisha.

Can you imagine when the General Assembly convenes and there are 57 empty seats displayed on TV. What a stunning moment this will be. The *thugocracy* of the UN will be dealt a crushing blow. What a message this would send to the world. YOU ARE NOT US. We are a free people who believe in the equality of all human beings. We are no



longer going to tolerate your existence. YOU ARE NOT OUR EQUAL.

We as a free people can no longer tolerate the enslavement of hundreds of millions of Muslim women and the rape and murder of kafir women. In order to enforce the equality of women not only would Visas be denied to Muslim countries UN delegations but a severe Defcon 1 sanction regime would be imposed to totally cripple their economies.

The equality of all human, men or women, is absolutely essential in the 21st century. Civilized people and nations of the world must do their utmost to ensure that every person at every corner of the world enjoys it.

### **DEFCON 1 RECIPROCAL SANCTIONS AGAINST ISLAM (RUSSIA/CHINA)**

These US sanctions (listed below) against All Islamic countries that refuse to enact the equality of women, and all kafirs must be obeyed by ALL nations. Those countries that do not obey ALL these sanctions then the totality of ALL these sanctions would apply to them. This includes the EU countries as well as China.

#### **SANCTIONS**

All countries receiving energy from those Islamic countries that refuse to enact and enforce this Universal Constitutional Amendment must pay for their energy supplies into a trust account and not directly to these countries. The payment price would be frozen to the lowest price for the proceeding 2 years. If these Muslim countries continued to refuse to grant equality and freedom to their peoples then these funds would be stripped from this trust account. If Islamic countries cut off energy supplies to any country then ALL other countries must reduce their imports of energy by the same amount including a total embargo. This includes China. These countries would be cut off from selling their gas to China – a DEATH BLOW. If China refused then all trade would cease between the USA, all other countries and China. The Chinese would be cut off totally from the world banking system. All Chinese commercial aircraft would not be allowed to fly to ANY country etc. etc. In short, the TOTALITY of ALL these sanctions would apply to China.

1. All flights between non complying Muslim countries and all countries would immediately cease. All countries would close their borders to ALL these Muslim countries including rail, ship and automobiles. If any country refused to ban any one of these Muslim countries planes from landing, cut off all rail, ship and highway access then the TOTALITY OF ALL THESE SANCTIONS WOULD APPLY TO THEM.

2. All Muslims from these countries would immediately have to return home to their respective countries. This would include their UN delegations etc.

3. All banks worldwide would cease all contacts with these countries. This includes ALL contacts especially the SWIFT transfer system, letters of credit etc. Any country that refuses and the totality of these sanctions would apply to them. This means that their country would be totally cut off from the world banking system as well as ALL provisions of these other sanctions listed here.

4. All trade FROM these Muslim countries who refused to reform to all other countries would immediately cease. Any country that refuses and the totality of these sanctions would apply to them. This means that their country would be totally cut off from the world trading system. Any country that refused to cut off and stop trading in goods coming FROM these Muslim countries with a country that had refused to obey these trade sanctions and the totality of these sanctions would apply to them.

5. If a country refuses to cut off trade with any country shipping goods into any of these Muslim countries under sanction then all other countries including China would have to stop all its goods being traded into these countries.

6. The cash reserves of these Muslim countries would be valued at \$100.00 US Reserve dollars is equal to \$1.00 US.

This means that if their cash reserves are \$1,000,000,000,000 US then these reserves would be valued at \$100.00 US Reserves = \$1.00 US. This would reduce the monetary value of these reserves from \$ 1 trillion to \$10,000,000,000 The \$990,000,000,000 US would be transferred to the US Federal Reserve. Any country that refused to accept this evaluation of US/Euro held currency reserves and ALL other provisions listed here then their reserves would be valued at \$100 US = \$1.00. For China this would mean US \$4 trillion reserves reduced to \$40,000,000,000 with \$3.96 trillion being transferred to the US Federal Reserve.

7. All Muslim companies from these countries would be delisted from all worlds' stock exchanges.

Stripped of their cash reserves and income from oil and ALL other sources, with large populations these sanctions will totally isolate and destroy their economies within weeks.

Islam can be destroyed. We need only the will to do it.

(These reciprocal sanctions could also be applied against Russia in the current Ukrainian crisis. Replace "Muslim countries" with Russia and the totality of these sanctions will devastate the Russian Economy. China cut off from Russian gas etc. The value of Russian Cash Reserves goes from \$600,000,000,000 to \$6,000,000,000. The ruble completely collapses. Cut off from the entire world Putin will face a revolution. The same with China in the current East and South China Sea grab for territory. China to be cut off from ALL energy supplies including Russia. Hundreds of millions of Chinese unemployed. Again - China cash reserves going from \$4 trillion to \$40, 000,000,000.) The Chinese economy totally collapses.

